The coupling between the AMOC and the atmosphere in CCSM3

Claude Frankignoul

Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris and Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution

Guillaume Gastineau, LOCEAN

Young-Oh Kwon, WHOI

Does the AMOC significantly influence the atmospheric circulation?

If so, is the impact realistic?

CCSM3 T85 version, 700-yr control run



GS/NAC shifted south

Maximum covariance analysis of AMOC (yearly) and SLP (3-month averages)



1/4 1/2 1/4 smoothing, ENSO subtracted

Oscillatory regime

Red noise regime

Maximum covariance analysis of AMOC (yearly) and SLP (3-month averages)

1/4 1/2 1/4 smoothing, ENSO subtracted



Oscillatory regime

SLP response in fall

Less robust signal

Red noise regime

SLP response in winter

strongest signal in FMA 5% significant until lag 10 (in year)

Red noise regime, late winter response



AMOC (in Sv) leads SLP (in hPa) by 3 years

AMOC intensit fication (EOF1)

Positive NAO

20% of seasonal NAO amplitude (more at lower frequencies)

cross-validated correlation 0.29

AMOC mostly driven by the NAO

weak positive feedback on the AMOC

Regression on AMOC time series (lagged to be in phase with maximum SLP response)



Northward shift of GS/NAC cooling to the south

SST

in K

Negative heat flux feedback northward shift of maximum heating of atmosphere

Regression on AMOC time series (lagged to be in phase with maximum SLP response)



Why isn't there a FMA response in the oscillatory regime?



Regression on AMOC PC1 3 years earlier

GS shifts south and NAC shifts north when AMOC intensifies

baroclinic growth reduced and shifted S in the west increased and shifted north in the east

Conflicting influences?

Opposite NAO response (NAO-) to AMOC intensification in 6 THOR climate models

Gastineau and Frankignoul 2012

Need to establish degree of realism of climate models

AMOC not observed

SST influence detected in observations at the seasonal scale can be used for model validation

Opposite NAO response (NAO-) to AMOC intensification in 6 THOR climate models

Gastineau and Frankignoul 2012

Need to establish degree of realism of climate models

AMOC not observed

SST influence detected in observations at the seasonal scale can be used for model validation



North Atlantic horseshoe SST leads NAO by 3 to 4 mo in early winter

Maximum covariance analysis of SST in JAS and SLP in NDJ

Czaja and Frankignoul 2002

Seasonal air-sea coupling in CCSM3, red noise regime



Similar patterns with SST, but less significant

Seasonal air-sea coupling in CCSM3, red noise regime



Maximum covariance analysis between T_{0-200 m} and SLP in late winter (FMA)

-2 -1 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 -0.050.05 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.6 0.8 1

T_{0-200 m}

corresponding to

AMOC decrease

leads NAO-

SST driven by AMOC increase

Comparison with the observations

CCSM3 red noise regime

L=4 T_{sub}-OND(n-1)/SLP-FMA(n) SC=400 (0%) R=0.24 (10%) SCF=82%



Late winter NAO- response to NAC cooling

20th century reanalysis (1901-2005)



Early winter NAO- response to NAH (subpolar warming)

Comparison with the observations

CCSM3 red noise regime

L=4 T_{sub}-OND(n-1)/SLP-FMA(n) SC=400 (0%) R=0.24 (10%) SCF=82%



Late winter NAO- response to NAC cooling

20th century reanalysis (1901-2005)



Early winter NAO- response to NAH (subpolar warming)

Atmospheric response to N. Atlantic SST is not realistic in CCSM3 (too strong GS/NAC shifts) Winter climate predictability expected from AMOC changes in CCSM3 useful?

The NAH SST anomaly is correlated with the AMO at low frequency

20th century reanalysis (1901-2005)





Early winter NAO- response to NAH (subpolar warming)

The AMO influences the early winter NAO

Gastineau et al. 2012