# Incorporating nonlinearities into the climate forcing & feedback framework

Jonah Bloch-Johnson and Jonathan Gregory, University of Reading & NCAS

Finding: Incorporating both spatial and state-dependent terms into the energy balance model of global warming allows us to recreate a GCM's nonlinear response to CO2 forcing.

### $N \propto (C, \Delta T)$ : the 0D linear energy balance model of global warming N

A zero-dimensional (0D) linear energy balance model (EBM): The 0D linear EBM is the basis of two common assumptions. Abrupting is the basis of two common assumptions.



<b>Transient linearity:</b> assume feedback ( $\lambda$ ) is		
constant across time		
0	HadCM3	
8	•	<ul> <li>abrupt√2x</li> <li>abrupt2x</li> <li>abrupt2√2x</li> <li>abrupt4x</li> </ul>
6		<ul> <li>abrupt4√2x</li> <li>abrupt8x</li> <li>years 1-150</li> <li>years 2500+</li> </ul>









Transient linearity allows one to use obs. to estimate  $\lambda$ , or the first 150 years of an abrupt2<sup>*C*</sup>x run to estimate  $\Delta T_{eq}(C)$ . *Equilibrium linearity* allows us to use the equilibrium response of any CO<sub>2</sub> forcing (i.e. abrupt4x) to estimate  $\Delta T_{2x}$ . HadCM3 appears to have transient and equilibrium linearity after 150 years, but has neither after 1000s of years. Abrupt*n*x simulations from LongRunMIP (Rugenstein et al. 2019) and the NonLinMIP (Good et al. 2016) experiments of

CMIP6 typically show increases in  $\lambda$  with time (transient nonlinearity) and increases in  $\Delta T_{eq}(C)/C$  with *C* (equilibrium nonlinearity) (Bloch-Johnson et al, 2021). In order to model this behavior, we have to extend the 0D linear EBM.

### $N \propto (C, \Delta T)$ : adding a spatial dimension to the linear EBM

Spatial terms can add transient nonlinearity.









GCMs have two distinct regions (tropical ascent, elsewhere). A linear two-region EBM recreates HadCM3's historical N.









Adding a region with a different feedback causes warming to occur at different time scales, so that globally averaged  $\lambda$  changes with time. We can also add spatial thermal inertia, heat transport, and nonlocal feedbacks, but as long as *F* is proportional to *C* and feedbacks and transport are proportional to  $\Delta T$ , the EBM will have equilibrium linearity.

Spatial feedbacks for HadCM3, estimated by running fixed-SST experiments, are similar to the map of vertical velocity at 700hPa, with strong negative feedbacks in regions of tropical ascent and modest feedbacks elsewhere (Zhou et al. 2017). Based on this, we divide the Earth into tropical ascent ("ta") and everywhere else ("ee") regions. We use estimates of  $\lambda_{ta}$  and  $\lambda_{ee}$  from fixed-sst experiments multiplied by time series of  $\Delta T_{ta}$  and  $\Delta T_{ee}$  to estimate the *N* created by amip experiments with historical and abrupt4x warming patterns. This two linear two-region EBM estimates the historical *N* well, but not the abrupt4x *N*. For larger forcings, we need to include nonlinear terms.

## $N \not \propto (C, \Delta T)$ : adding nonlinear terms to the spatial linear EBM

A 0D quadratic EBM to analyze equilibrium nonlinearity:









We use fixed-sst experiments to estimate  $N(C, \Delta T_{ta}, \Delta T_{ee})$ over a grid of  $\Delta T_{ta}$  and  $\Delta T_{ee}$ . Interpolation between estimated values of N at grid points (squares) allows us to fully recreate the abrupt2x, abrupt4x, and abrupt8x N (black dots in first panel), with cross-terms providing positive feedback temperature dependence. 2x and 4x simulations reach equilibrium value approximately at the estimated zero contour of N (solid black lines). The lack of intersection between estimated  $\Delta \vec{T}_{eq}(\Delta T)$  (dashed black line) and 8x's zero contour successfully predicts runaway warming.

If we define  $N_{eq}$  using the equilibrium pattern of warming, then it has  $\Delta T_{eq}(C)$  as its zero contour, and its quadratic approximation partitions equilibrium nonlinearity between three terms: nonlinear forcing, feedback CO<sub>2</sub> dependence, and feedback temperature dependence. The latter has the strongest effect on sensitivity (Bloch-Johnson et al. 2021). Many different nonlinear spatial EBMs can have the same  $N_{eq}$ . In this example, we add cross terms to the spatial example from above left, which creates positive global  $\partial_T \lambda$ , even though neither region has temperature dependence on its own. This motivates us to add both temperature dependence and cross terms to our two-region model.

#### Implications

150 years of an abrupt4x may not be enough to estimate  $\Delta T_{eq} \cdot \text{abrupt4x}$  experiments may not give us  $\Delta T_{2x} \cdot \text{Some of the high sensitivity models of CMIP6 may have}$ reasonable historical sensitivity but high  $\Delta T_{4x}$ , and therefore might not be "too hot."  $\cdot \text{Warming projections beyond 2100 that use an equilibrium linear EBM (e.g., those in AR6)}$ may underestimate the risk of high warming.  $\cdot \text{A}$  two-region nonlinear EBM with regions simply defined by tropical ascent can recreate both transient and equilibrium nonlinearity.

ReferencesRugenstein et al., 2019: LongRunMIP - motivation and design for a large collection of millennial-length GCM simulations, BAMSGood, et al. 2016: nonlinMIP contribution to CMIP6: model intercomparison project for non-linear mechanisms: physical basis, experimental design and analysis principles, GMD<br/>Bloch-Johnson et al, 2020: Climate Sensitivity Increases Under Higher CO2 Levels Due to Feedback Temperature Dependence, GRL<br/>Zhou et al., 2017: Analyzing the dependence of global cloud feedback on the spatial pattern of sea surface temperature change with a Green's function approach, JAMES<br/>This poster was made with funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the EU's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant no. 786427, project "Couplet").