Quantifying the relative role of atmospheric and oceanic processes in driving air-sea interaction in the Gulf Stream region Jacob T. Cohen¹ (jtcohen@uw.edu), LuAnne Thompson¹ 1. School of Oceanography, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

1. Motivation

Sea surface temperature variability is controlled both by ocean processes such as advection, Ekman transport, and mixing, and by surface heat flux driven by atmospheric variations. Here, we present a local metric derived from surface observations that quantifies the relative influence of ocean and atmospheric forcing in controlling upper ocean heat content variability. The ratio of H to the mixed layer depth gives an estimate of the renewal rate of the mixed-layer heat content from interior ocean processes relative to that from atmospherically driven surface fluxes. High ratios indicate the dominance of ocean processes relative to atmospheric variability on controlling mixed-layer heat content variability. Improved knowledge of how ocean dynamics drive air-sea interaction has the potential to improve climate forecasts on sub-seasonal to decadal timescales.



A large effective depth of air-sea interaction (row 3) and ratio (row 4) indicate that oceanic processes drive air-sea interaction and upper ocean heat content variability.

Winter

60°W

60°W

60°W

30°W

30°W









Summer





Sea Sur Temper Q_{turb}

Sea Lev Anoma

Smooth with a 200 km full width at half maximum filter

Remove the linear trend and the seasonal cycle Place on a common monthly 1° grid

 R_{MLD} is the regression coefficient of SLA to mixed layer heat content

Feedback

-20 -

-40

300

Oceanic processes play an important role in renewing upper ocean heat content variations and driving air-sea heat interaction in the Gulf Stream. The role of ocean dynamics is enhanced during the winter.

1.	Thomp
	Air-Sea
	prepara
2.	Roberts
	Conver
	ocean h
3.	Bishop
	Interact
4.	Small et
	coupled

School of Oceanography University of Washington

2. Data and Methods

riable	Resoluti on	Timescale	Source
face rature	1°	Monthly 1993-2019	OISST
	1°	Monthly 1993-2019	OAFLUX
vel ly	0.25°	Daily, 1993- 2019	CMEMS

Effective Depth

 $\mathcal{L}_{TT}\sigma_{TT}$

 $H_{eff} = \frac{R_{MLD}}{M_{SST}}$ $\rho_0 C_p \lambda_{SLA}$

4. Discussion and Future Work

Do observational results agree with model results?

Does the heat budget support our interpretation of the effective depth?

• We plan to recalculate R_{MLD} based on the seasonal mixed layer heat content

References

pson, L., J. T. Cohen, & C. Proistosescu, The Effective Depth of Interaction in the North Atlantic, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, in ation

ts et al. (2017). Surface Flux and Ocean Heat Transport rgence Contributions to seasonal and interannual variations of

heat content. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans.

et al. (2017). Scale Dependence of Midlatitude Air-Sea ction, J. Clim.

et al. (2020). What drives upper ocean temperature variability in d climate models and observations, J. Clim.