

Inconsistancy in the calculation of the time dependent climate feedback parameter.



Benoit Meyssignac, Robin Guillaume-Castel, Rémy Roca, Jonathan Chenal

LEGOS, Toulouse University - benoit.meyssignac@legos.obs-mip.fr

We introduce a time-dependent climate feedback parameter $\lambda(t)$ in Budyko's (1969) linear relationship between surface temperature and outgoing long-wave radiation. Using two different methods, we derive an energy balance model (EBM) with $\lambda(t)$ from energy conservation principles applied to the climate system.

We show the EBM with a time-variable λ : N = $\Delta F + \lambda(t) \Delta T_s$ (equation 1, N being the Earth energy imbalance, F the radiative forcing and T_s the surface temperature), is incomplete and should include another term $\Delta\lambda(t)T_{s_0}$. The corrected EBM accurately reproduces the surface temperature response to abrupt CO₂ increase in multi-millennia experiments at all time-scales.

Our estimates of $\lambda(t)$ are consistent across simulations and time-scales, with much smaller departures from the mean value than previous estimates. We argue estimates of $\lambda(t)$ with equation (1) are erroneous and should be abandoned. To support this statement we show simulations where eq. (1) leads to absurd $\lambda(t)$ values. This has profound consequences that are developed in a companion poster by Guillaume-Castel and Meyssignac.

Theoretical development

The climate system is a forced dynamical system. The surface temperature follows

ere:
$$C\frac{dT_s}{dt} = F + R - H$$
 (2)

►H the heat exchange with the deep ocean

C is the heat capacity

- ► Ts the surface temperature
- ► F the radiative forcing
- ► R the radiative response

On interannual and longer timescales, **R** is linear with T_S (Budyko, 1969): $R = \lambda T_{s}$ (3)

 $\triangleright \lambda$ is the climate feedback parameter.

If λ varies with time, the energy budget reads:

$$C\frac{dT_s}{dt} = F + \lambda(t)T_s - H$$

A given forcing F_0 is associated with steady state variables T_{S0} and λ_0 . On a steady state:

$$F_0 = \lambda_0 T_{S0} = R_0$$

H = 0 6

The preindustrial era/control experiments are considered to be on a steady state.

An increment forcing ΔF induces an increment radiative response ΔR . Equation **2** then becomes:

$$C\frac{dT_s}{dt} = F_0 + \Delta F + R_0 + \Delta R - H$$

Here, we derive the value of ΔR using three different methods.

Pattern effect hypothesis λ varies with the pattern of surface temperature warming, independently of the global average temperature:

$$R = \lambda(P)T_s = \lambda(t, \mathbf{X})T_s \mathbf{8}$$

Variations of λ are noted $\lambda(t) = \lambda_0 + \Delta \lambda(t)$ 9

Method I: Partial derivatives

We assume ΔR is small enough to be considered dR Following (\mathbf{B}) , we develop dR using the total derivative formula.



Method II: Perturbation theory

We assume a small deviation ΔF from F_0 induces $\Delta \lambda$. Ignoring H, the perturbed dynamical system therefore follows

$$C\frac{dT_{S}}{dt} = F_{0} + \Delta F + (\lambda_{0} + \Delta\lambda)T_{S}$$

Perturbation theory states that a solution to this system can be found close to a solution T_{S0} of the unperturbed system:

$$C\frac{dT_S}{dt} = F_0 + \lambda_0 T_S$$

We look for a 1st order solution to
$$\square$$
 with $T_S = T_{S0} + \Delta T_S$



Theoretical conclusion

В

With $\lambda(t)$, the radiative response increment following ΔF is:

The surface temperature anomaly follows:

$$C\frac{d\Delta T_{S}}{dt} = \Delta F + T_{S0}\Delta\lambda + \lambda_{0}\Delta T_{S}$$

Explicit pattern dependence $\Delta\lambda$ $\Delta R(t) = \lambda_0 \Delta T_S(t) + T_{S0} \Delta \lambda(t) \neq \lambda(t) \Delta T_S(t)$

Validation and Consequences

Reproducing the surface temperature dynamics

Numerical integration with 3-layer ocean

Global average surface temperature (K)



Comparing time varying λ in abrupt CO₂ increase experiments



The constant temperature experiment

Test if $\Delta R = \lambda(t) \Delta T_S$ can be true using a constant temperature experiment, with varying λ : needs to include the pattern effect.

Experiment setup

► There is no CMIP experiment with pattern but fixed Ts

	Workaround with 2 experiments:	amip-future4K	amip-p4K
		amip with 4K patterned increase	amip with 4K uniform increase

Compute λ , λ_0 and $\Delta\lambda$ from **amip-future4K minus amip-p4K**



The usual ΔR leads to absurd values of λ ΔR requires the supplementary term $T_{S0}\Delta\lambda(t)$



Budyko (1969). The effect of solar radiation variations on the climate of the Earth. tellus, 21(5), 611-619.

Rugenstein & Armour (2021). Three flavors of radiative feedbacks and their implications for estimating Equilibrium Climate Sensitivity. Geophysical Research Letters, 48(15), e2021GL092983.

More consequences are developped in the companion poster:

The Pattern Effect Workshop Boulder, CO, USA **RGC 2022**

Climate sensitivity with a time dependent climate feedback parameter by Guillaume-Castel & Meysignac