### **US CLIVAR** Workshop 2023

# Impacts of a Midlatitude Oceanic Frontal Zone on the Southern Baroclinic Annular Mode



\*<u>Morio Nakayama</u><sup>1</sup>, Hisashi Nakamura<sup>1</sup>, Fumiaki Ogawa<sup>2</sup>

1. Research Center for Advanced Science and Technology (RCAST), The University of Tokyo, Japan 2. Department of Natural History Sciences, Graduate School of Science, Hokkaido University, Japan

### **1. Introduction**

- Midlatitude oceanic frontal zone: tight meridional SST gradient
  - Energizes & thereby anchors storm-track activity & eddy-driven jet by efficiently maintain near-surface baroclinicity
    - & supporting recurrent development of baroclinic eddies

Climatological-mean e.g., Nakamura et al. (2004; 2008)

**SST** (contours) **850-hPa poleward eddy heat flux** (-v'T') (shading)



#### **Motivation**

nakayama@atmos.rcast.u-tokyo.ac.jp

- Impacts of oceanic frontal zone on storm-track variability remain to be shown
  - Baroclinic annular mode (BAM) was recently discovered Thompson & Woodworth (2014) Dominant variability in extratropical eddy activity Thompson & Barnes (2014) representing its **hemispheric-scale pulsing** at period of ~25 days
  - Seen in both hemispheres, but more distinct in Southern Hemisphere Thompson & Li (2015) △ Mechanism of BAM remains unclear

#### Purpose

To assess impacts of oceanic frontal zone on BAM (spatial structure, dynamics, ...)

### 2. Analysis

850-hPa [-v'T']

300-hPa [eastward

wave-activity flux]

(3e)

15

30S -

40S -

50S -

60S -

 $\dot{20}$ 

95%

significant

0.2

0.4

20S -

30S -

40S -

50S -

60S -

We assess storm-track activity & its BAM-associated variability in atmospheric reanalysis data & AGCM experiments

- Extract transient disturbances with 8-day high-pass filtering (noted with primes)  $\rightarrow$  Measure storm-track activity with eddy statistics (such as tEKE=(u'u'+v'v')/2)
- Assess BAM variability by defining BAM index as PC1 of [tEKE]

EOF domain: 925–200 hPa, 20–70°S

[]: zonal mean

## **3. BAM signature in the Southern Hemisphere**

JRA-55 atmospheric reanalysis (Kobayashi et al. 2015)

- Analysis period: 1979–2019 (41years)  $-1.25^{\circ} \times 1.25^{\circ}$ 



### Conclusions

Nakayama, Nakamura & Ogawa (2021, J. Clim.) Nakayama, Nakamura & Ogawa (2023, J. Clim., accepted)

With midlatitude oceanic frontal zone,

**BAM** variability is significantly amplified & thereby anchored

- Efficient restoration of near-surface baroclinicity
- BAM is found to be associated with structural modulations of transient disturbances (baroclinic structure, meridional elongation), which is more significant with oceanic frontal zone, due to more coherent & organized baroclinic wave packets

#### 4. Impacts of Oceanic Frontal Zone on BAM Nakayama et al. (2021, *J. Clim.*)

- **Aqua-planet experiments with & without** oceanic frontal zone are compared
- **SST front at 45° lat** CTL Observed SST in South Indian Ocean **SST front is artificially relaxed** NF by raising SST in high latitude
- Run with AFES (AGCM for Earth Simulator) - T79L56 (~150 km grid int, top=0.09 hPa)



Positive BAM index → hemispherically enhanced storm-track activity

• As important dynamical characteristic, we found BAM-associated structural modulations of transient disturbances

Nakayama et al. (2023, J. Clim.)

Composites for **positive** & **negative** phases of BAM (results for all months) BAM index>+1 BAM index<-1

(3f)

850-hPa cor.[-v', T'] In positive phase of BAM,

- Transient disturbances exhibit more distinct baroclinic structure (higher cor.[-v', T])
- $\rightarrow$  More efficient baroclinic growth
  - Transient disturbances exhibit **more** meridionally elongated structure

CTL well reproduces observed storm-track activity & BAM signature

• Removal of oceanic front significantly reduces amplitude of them

300-hPa [√*v'v'lu'u'*] 20S T (3g) (3h) & shifts their latitudinal maxima equatorward (higher  $\left[\sqrt{v'v'/u'u'}\right]$ ) 30S -30S -BAM-associated structural modulations in aquaplanet 40S -40S - $\rightarrow$  More efficient downstream Nakayama et al. (2023, J. Clim.) Composites for **positive** & **negative** phases of BAM 50S -50S development (eastward energy propagation) 850-hPa 300-hPa 850-hPa BAM-associated structural 60S -60S - $\rightarrow$  Yield further baroclinic growth [EGR] Eady growth rate √v'v'/u'u'] cor.[-v', T'] modulations of transient 20 -(4h)(4f)(4g)downstream 1.6 100 50 1.4 1.2 1.8 30 bold: significantly larger than ' disturbances in both CTL & NF with 95% confidence CTL  $\rightarrow$  Manifestation of significant One-point corr of T (shading) & -v (contour) based on T (all at 850 hPa) 50 summer<sup>50</sup> atmospheric internal dynamics **BAM-negative-**AM-positive **Favorable modulations for** (3j( 20S -• Higher cor.[-v', T'] in CTL formation & maintenance 0.2 0.4 1.2 1.6 1.8 1.4 0.6 0.4 0 (90% significant) (4k)(4j) (4i) of hemispheric 40S - $\rightarrow$  More distinct baroclinic enhancement of **NF** 40structure of disturbances storm-track activity! summer<sup>50</sup> 60S with oceanic front 60 -60 · More significant modulations of 70 <del>|</del> 0 80S --0.44 70· **—0.61** 1.2 14 1.6 1.8 0.2 0.4 [/day] 0.6 90E 30E 60E 60E 120 bold: significantly larger than 1 -0.39**v': -0.57** cor.[-v', T'] in CTL with 95% confidence (averages of one-point correlation minima) -0.6 -0.4 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 0.1