CLIVAR Mesoscale, Frontal-Scale Workshop 2023

What drives surface convergence over the Gulf Stream atmosphere fronts, ocean fronts, or both?



jsmall@ucar.edu

R. Justin Small¹, Lucas Laurindo¹, Victor Rousseau², Larry O'Neill³, Rhys Parfitt⁴, Ryusuke Masunaga^{5,6}, Niklas Schneider⁵, Ping Chang⁷

²CERFACS, Toulouse, France, ³, Oregon State University, USA ⁴, University of Miami, , USA ⁵, University of Hawaii, USA ⁶ AORI, University of Tokyo, Japan ⁷ Department of Oceanography, Texas A&M

Abstract:

Lap(SST)

The western boundary currents are key regions of air-sea interaction in the Extratropics. A striking example is the occurrence of near-surface convergence, and enhanced rain rate, over the Gulf Stream in time-averaged fields. The paper of Minobe et al. (2008, Nature) related these features to responses of the boundary layer to SST gradients via adjustments of surface pressure. However, more recent papers note that typical (e.g. median) conditions over the Gulf Stream are surface divergence, and the convergence seen in the time-mean is due to a few high amplitude convergence events (O'Neill et al 2017, J. Clim.), and Parfitt and Seo (2018, GRL) further revealed that atmospheric fronts provided the vast majority of the convergence. In this poster we examine the time-and-space-scale dependence of the surface convergence to determine the relative roles of these processes.

Models, Data and Methods: We use the ERA5 dataset

for most analysis (Hersbach et al. 2020, QJRMS). ERA5 is a state of the art atmosphere reanalysis, with model grid spacing 31 km (spectral TL639), and 137 levels in the atmosphere. Data assimilation is a 4D VAR ensemble. Among the many datasets ingested are satellite scatterometer nearsurface winds. Prior to September 2007, the boundary SST is from HadISST2.1.0.0 on a 0.25deg. Grid, and afterwards from OSTIA (0.05deg. Grid, Donlon et al. 2012). We analyze hourly output data. The results are highly consistent with those from a high resolution coupled simulation of CESM (Small et al. 2014, JAMES) indicating that the lack of coupling to the ocean in ERA5 is not a drawback for this work. For this poster we employ snapshots in time, monthly averages, and spectral techniques to analyze <u>co-variability of atmosphere and ocean properties.</u>

Case Study 1. Monthly-mean. Dec. 2018.			Case Study 2. Hourly snap	
			Lap(SST)	Lap(Ta)
p(SST)	Lap(T@2m)	10m divergence	LAPsst-2018-12-time-level-146-Lap*1e10	LAPtas-2018-12-time
LAPsst_avg-2018-12-Average-zoom-Lap*1e10	LAPtas_avg-2018-12-Average-zoom-Lap*1e10 laplacian_of_air_temperature@2m K/m2	div_avg-2018-12-Average-zoom-Divg*1e6	laplacian_of_sst K/m2	

shot, Dec. 2018. ------



Fig. 1. One-month average, December 2018. The time-mean 10m wind divergence is shown at right. Laplacian(air temperature at 2m, Ta) is clearly similar to Lap(SST). Also some features in the divergence field relate to Lap (Ta), (e.g. red box), but not all (in blue box the convergence field over Gulf Stream is much broader than the negative lap(Ta)). The Lap(sea level pressure, PSL) is mostly the sign-reverse of the divergence. The downwind SST gradient also shows similar structures to Lap(Ta) and to 10m wind divergence, although downwind SST gradient is more positive-signed, which would encourage wind divergence. Meanwhile, a measure of extremes (mean minus median for the month) shows enhancement over the Gulf Stream



Fig. 2 Hourly-snapshot in December 2018. The 10m wind divergence is shown at right. Laplacian (Ta) shows structures also seen in the divergence field (i.e. atmosphere fronts, see black arrows and red arrows). Lap(Ta) also has some structure similar to Lap(SST), but not so obvious as in monthly mean. Divergence and Lap(SST) have no obvious relationship. Lap(PSL) also shows atmosphere front signatures. The downwind SST gradient shows maximum magnitude in the ocean front region, and also shows wide bands of alternating sign which likely correspond to changing wind direction in sectors of storms. A frontal-detection mask (Parfitt et al 2017, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073662) confirms the location of the atmosphere fronts (in dark red).

Box 3. Spectral coherence analysis. Zonal-temporal Hovmoller diagrams at 40deg.N are analysed using spectral methods.



The panels above show results of spectral analysis in wavenumber and frequency space of pairs of variables shown in boxes 1-2. As a first step, Hovmollers are produced, at 40deg. N in the N. Atlantic, for each variable (longitude vs time), and then spectral (Fourier) coherence techniques are applied. In the above, the top panels are squared coherency (range 0 to 1), and the bottom panel the phase relationship (zero to 180deg., zero implying in phase, 180deg. is out of phase). Axes show frequency (left axis) and vavelength (top). The 10m wind divergence (DIVws) is strongly related to Laplacian of sea level pressure (LAPpsl) and also to Laplacian of 2m air temperature (LAPsst) and downwind SST gradient (DW_gradsst) both influence divergence at low frequencies, while Laplacian of air temperature and downwind SST gradient are themselves related, as shown in recent papers by Foussard et al.

Conclusions:

The surface convergence over the Gulf Stream is indeed driven by both atmosphere fronts, and they interact, with extreme events and atmosphere fronts clustering over the Gulf Stream front. Two different regimes of air-sea interaction over the Gulf Stream are identified. At short time periods (less than 10 days) atmosphere fronts and synoptic systems dominate the surface convergence field. They leave distinct signatures in convergence, Laplacian (sea level pressure) and Laplacian

