Current and Future Perspectives on North American Polar–Subtropical Jet Superposition Events

Andrew C. Winters Clairisse A. Reiher Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences (ATOC) University of Colorado Boulder

> Blocking and Extreme Weather In a Changing Climate Workshop Boulder, CO 20 March 2024



This work was supported by NSF AGS-1624316, CU Boulder, and NCAR-CISL Computing











Jet Superposition Impacts



Cyclones that develop in association with jet superpositions are **statistically deeper** than the average North American cyclone.

68% of jet superposition cases feature **10-m wind speeds** that exceed the 95th percentile over a synoptic-scale area.

Reiher and Winters 2024

Jet Superposition Impacts



Reiher and Winters 2024

54–84% of jet
superpositions feature an association with an
atmospheric river,
depending on the AR
detection algorithm.

64% of jet superposition cases feature 24-h accumulated precipitation that exceeds the 95th percentile over a synoptic-scale area.



Winters et al. 2020









Reanalysis trends suggest the polar and subtropical jets are getting closer together over North America and the North Atlantic



Manney and Hegglin 2018, their Fig. 13

Reanalysis trends suggest the Northern Hemisphere polar and subtropical jets are becoming wavier



Martin 2021, their Fig. 6

ERA5 (using 315 K and 350 K)



JRA55 (using 310 K and 350 K)



CFSR (using 310 K and 350 K)



Shading: Differences in jet superposition frequency between 2003–2022 and 1979–1998

<u>Contours</u>: 1979–2022 jet superposition frequency every 100 events

Jet superpositions are identified in a similar manner as in Winters and Martin 2014; Christenson et al. 2017.

ERA5 (using 315 K and 350 K)



JRA55 (using 310 K and 350 K)



CFSR (using 310 K and 350 K)



Shading: Differences in jet superposition frequency between 2003–2022 and 1979–1998

<u>Contours</u>: 1979–2022 jet superposition frequency every 100 events

Jet superpositions are identified in a similar manner as in Winters and Martin 2014; Christenson et al. 2017.

ERA5 (using 315 K and 350 K)



JRA55 (using 310 K and 350 K)



CFSR (using 310 K and 350 K)



Shading: Differences in jet superposition frequency between 2003–2022 and 1979–1998

<u>Contours</u>: 1979–2022 jet superposition frequency every 100 events

Jet superpositions are identified in a similar manner as in Winters and Martin 2014; Christenson et al. 2017.

CESM2-LENS2 (using 315 K and 350 K)



Shading: Percent change in jet superposition frequency from 1979–2014 to 2065–2099 **Contours**: Ensemble mean jet superposition frequency between 1979–2014 every 100 events **Hatching**: Locations where 8 of 10 total ensemble members agree on the change in jet superposition frequency

CESM2-LENS2 (using 315 K and 350 K)



Cumulative Jet Superposition Counts by Month

Aggregate jet superposition frequencies over North America/North Atlantic are projected to decrease.

350-K wind speeds at grid points featuring a jet superposition exhibit an average increase of 7.99 m s⁻¹ relative to the historical period.

315-K wind speeds at grid points featuring a jet superposition exhibit an average decrease of 2.38 m s⁻¹ relative to the historical period.

Relationship to Blocking

Historical changes in Greenland blocking frequency derived from climate models diverge from observations.

There are arguments for how jet superpositions over the North Atlantic may enhance or suppress Greenland blocking.

> Davini and D'Andrea 2020, their Figs. 8a,e







Remaining Questions

- What processes are responsible for changes in jet superposition frequency?
- How might climate change influence the dynamical processes that restructure the tropopause during jet superpositions?
- How might the association between jet superpositions and highimpact weather change in a future climate?
- What role do blocking events play during the development/evolution of jet superpositions?