An eddy-driven mechanism for partitioning the AMOC deep limb into the DWBC and an Interior Pathway

Stefan Gary, Duke University Susan Lozier, Duke University Claus Böning, IfM-GEOMAR Amy Bower, WHOI



Deutscher Akademischer Austausch Dienst German Academic Exchange Service 55 RAFOS floats with mission dates spanning 2003 to 2007 launched at 700 and 1500 m (*Bower et al.*, in press).

Annnapolis, MD, First US AMOC Annual Meeting, May 4, 2009



DAA

An eddy-driven mechanism for partitioning the AMOC deep limb into the DWBC and an Interior Pathway



Why is this important? Stommel's Model

What does the vorticity balance $\beta_v = f \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$ suggest about large-scale abyssal circulation?

- (1) Two sources: NADW and AABW
- (2) ... must be balanced by upwelling from the abyssal layer.
- (3) Interior flow is poleward
- (4) ... and a DWBC is proposed to maintain continuity in the abyssal layer.



(*Stommel*, 1958)



Suggestion of a possible mechanism from hydrographic data: eddy-driven recirculations











 σ





Suggestion of a possible mechanism from hydrographic data: eddy-driven recirculations

20°W

80°W

 σ_{2}

60°W

= 36.95









40°₩

20°W

(*Lozier*, 1997)

Suggestion of a possible mechanism from hydrographic data: eddy-driven recirculations





= 26.50 $\sigma_{_0}$





 σ





Focus

Are the signatures of eddy-driven flow inferred from hydrographic data commensurate with the behavior of eddies in ocean models?

- Hydrographic data cannot resolve absolute velocities or eddy scales.
- Model output is an estimate of the envelope of possible states of the ocean at high spatial and temporal resolutions.

OPEN QUESTIONS:

Are deep eddy-driven recirculations present in the models?

How well do they match patterns in observations?

To what extent do the eddy-driven pathways influence the export of waters from the subpolar to subtropical gyres?

Models Used: Spinup and Forcing

Global models, 46 z-levels, partially filled bottom cells, new advection scheme, ice model (*DRAKKAR Group*, 2007), (*Biastoch et al.*, 2008):

ORCA05

1/2° resolution

- Climatological 20 year spin-up and then 1958-2004 hindcast.
- CORE* forcing (30d freshwater reduced by 15-20% north of 30°N)

ORCA025

1/4° resolution

- Started with hindcast forcing, 1958-2004
- CORE forcing (6h NCEP, 1d ISCCP, 30d freshwater)

Regional model of the North Atlantic, 45 z-levels (Böning et al., 2006)

FLAME

1/12° resolution

- Spun up for 10 years and then hindcast 1990-2004
- ECMWF wind/heat climatologies with NCEP anamolies
- Open boundaries at the north and south.

Lagrangian Drifters: Expectations

(1) FLAME 1/12°

- Highest Resolution => eddy resolving
- Floats move away from boundary in a strong, broad interior pathway
- Strongest signs of deep recirculation gyres

(2) ORCA05

- Low Resolution => not eddy permitting, not eddy resolving
- Floats go down the DWBC in a well-defined pathway
- No deep recirculation gyres

(3) ORCA025

- Intermediate Resolution => eddy permitting
- Floats are split between the DWBC and the interior pathway
- Recirculation gyres are present but weaker

Where do the floats go in FLAME?



Position histograms of 1560 e-floats from 3-daily snapshots of FLAME '92-'04 velocity field for 13 year **Forward** (left) and **Backward** (right) trajectories, [# floats]. Arrows indicate launch site. All floats were launched at 1500 m.

Where do the floats go in ORCA05?



Position histograms of 1560 e-floats from 5-day averages of ORCA05 '92-'04 velocity field for 13 year **Forward** (left) and **Backward** (right) trajectories, [# floats]. Arrows indicate launch site. All floats were launched at 1500 m.

Where do the floats go in ORCA025?



Position histograms of 1560 e-floats from 5-day averages of ORCA025 '92-'04 velocity field for 13 year **Forward** (left) and **Backward** (right) trajectories, [# floats]. Arrows indicate launch site. All floats were launched at 1500 m.

Are these eddy-driven flow? Eulerian View

On a constant density surface, potential vorticity is quasi-conserved:

$$\frac{D q}{D t} = F + \Delta \approx 0$$

where:

F = forcing $\boldsymbol{\zeta} =$ local vorticity $\boldsymbol{\Delta} =$ dissipationf = planetary vorticity

$$q = \frac{(\zeta + f)}{\sigma_n} \frac{\partial \sigma_n}{\partial z}$$

After rearrangements and time averaging, we obtain the enstrophy ($\overline{q'}^2$) equation

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\overline{Dq'}^2}{Dt} = -\overline{u'q'} \cdot \nabla Q + \overline{F'q'} + \overline{\Delta q'}$$

where $q = Q + q'$
(*Rhines and Holland*, 1979)
$$Negligible (-) \\ sink (-) \\ si$$

Pressure on $\sigma_2 = 36.95$ isopycnal



Potential Vorticity on $\sigma_2 = 36.95$ isopycnal



Comparison of down-gradient eddy fluxes



TOP: Mean potential vorticity (Q) on $\sigma_2 = 36.95$ isopycnal in models $[1e^{-12} m^{-1}s^{-1}]$ **BOTTOM:** Zonal average (65°-55°W) of $\overline{u'q'} \cdot \nabla Q$



Lagrangian & Eulerian Synthesis, Summary



(1) We propose that a system of linked, deep, eddy-driven recirculation gyres is a mechanism enabling deep limb AMOC transport in an interior pathway.

(2) In eddy-permitting and eddy-resolving models, Lagrangian driftersfollow an interior pathway.

(3) The Eulerian signatures of eddy-driven gyres are similar in both hydrographic observations and eddy-resolving and eddypermitting models.

(4) Lagrangian pathways as determined from trajectories are in agreement with Eulerian analysis of eddy effects.

Lagrangian & Eulerian Synthesis, Summary



(1) We propose that a system of linked, deep, eddy-driven recirculation gyres is a mechanism enabling deep limb AMOC transport in an interior pathway.

(2) In eddy-permitting and eddy-resolving models, Lagrangian driftersfollow an interior pathway.

(3) The Eulerian signatures of eddy-driven gyres are similar in both hydrographic observations and eddy-resolving and eddypermitting models.

(4) Lagrangian pathways as determined from trajectories are in agreement with Eulerian analysis of eddy effects.

Bibliography

Biastoch, A., C. W. Böning, & J. R. E. Lutjeharms, Nature 456, 2008 Böning, C. W., M. Scheinert, J. Dengg, A. Biastoch, A. Funk, GRL 33, 2006 Bower, A. S., M. S. Lozier, S. Gary, C. W. Böning, submitted Bower, A. S., H. D. Hunt, JPO 30, 2000 DRAKKAR group, CLIVAR Exch. 12, 2007 Getzlaff, K, C. W. Böning, J. Dengg, GRL 33, 2006 Lozier, M. S., Science 277, 1997 Kieke, D., M. Rhein, L. Stramma, W. M. Smethie, J. L. Bullister, D. LeBel, GRL 34, 2007 Rhines, P. B., W. R. Holland, Dyn. Atm. Oce. 3, 1979 Rhines, P. B., W. R. Young, JFM 122, 1982 Sabine, C. L., et al., *Science* **305**, 2004 Schmitz, W. J., Rev. Geophys. 33, 1995 Schott, F. A., R. Zantopp, L. Stramma, M. Dengler, J. Fischer, M. Wibaux, *JPO* **817**, 2006 Smethie, W. M., R. A. Fine, A. Putzka, E. P. Jones, JGR 105, 2000. Stommel, H., DSR 5, 1958 Watts, D. R., <u>Deep Convection and Deep Water Formation in the Oceans</u>. Eds. P. C. Chu and J. C. Gascard, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1991

Deep Floats (3500m)



Expectations of Eulerian Fields

(1) FLAME 1/12°

- Highest Resolution => eddy resolving
- Regions of homogenized mean potential vorticity (Q)
- Eddy fluxes down the gradient of Q
- Floats' recirculations coincide with homogenized Q

(2) ORCA05

- Low Resolution => not eddy permitting or eddy resolving
- No deep eddy-driven recirculation gyres
- No homogenized Q
- No down-gradient eddy fluxes

(3) ORCA025

- Intermediate Resolution => eddy permitting
- Eddy effects similar to FLAME are present but they are weaker.

Comparison of down-gradient eddy fluxes





BOTTOM: Zonal average of $\boldsymbol{u}' q' \cdot \nabla Q$, 70°-50°W (red) 44.5°-33°W (black)

Pressure on $\sigma_{0} = 26.50$ isopycnal



Pressure on $\sigma_{1.5}$ = 34.60 isopycnal



EKE and Q on $\sigma_2 = 36.95$ isopycnal

FLAME

ORCA025

ORCA05



Map of eddy kinetic energy (EKE) $[m^2/s^2]$ and mean potential vorticity (Q) contours $[(ms)^{-1}]$ on $\sigma_2 = 36.95$, for:

FLAME averages over 1994, 1996, 1998, and 2000 3-daily snapshots, ORCA05 averages over 1968-1978 and 1992-2004 5-daily averages ORCA024 averages over 1968-1999 5-daily averages.

Deep Limb AMOC Transport Fate



Transport in Deep Limb AMOC



Context: Drifters and Tracers



Exits from the DWBC during the first 2 years





Where do the floats go in FLAME?



Real (grey) and synthetic (red = 700m, blue = 1500m) trajectories. E-floats were launched in the same locations as real floats but run on 3-daily snapshots of '94, '96, and '98 FLAME model velocity fields (*Bower et al.*, submitted).

Where do the floats go in FLAME?

2 years after launch



Gray = RAFOS traj.

Red = FLAME traj. launched at 700m RAFOS floats' positions

Blue = FLAME traj. launched at 1500m RAFOS floats' positions.

Used 1994, 1996, and 1998 3-daily snapshots to integrate 3D trajectories.

(*Bower et al.*, submitted)









Passive Tracers



Tracer age maps by comparing CFC concentrations in the water to the known atmospheric timeseries
(*Smethie et al.*, 2000) 4000 km/20 years = ~1cm/s.

Passive Tracers



Tracer age maps by comparing CFC concentrations in the water to the known atmospheric timeseries (*Smethie et al.*, 2000) 4000 km/20 years = ~1cm/s.



Meridional section of tracer age along the western boundary (*Doney and Jenkins*, 1994)

Passive Tracers



Tracer age maps by comparing CFC concentrations in the water to the known atmospheric timeseries (*Smethie et al.*, 2000) 4000 km/20 years = ~ 1 cm/s.



Meridional section of tracer age along the western boundary (*Doney and Jenkins*, 1994)

Results : Eddy fluxes in FLAME



Results: Eddy fluxes in FLAME



Magnitude of Eddy fluxes

Zonal average (black = 70°W to 50°W) (red = 44.5°W to 32°W) magnitude of eddy fluxes

 $|\overline{\boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{q}'}|$ [(s)⁻²] on $\sigma_2 = 36.95$ isopycnal in:

TOP: ORCA025 ('68-'99 5-daily averages)

BOTTOM: FLAME ('94, '96, '98, '00 3-daily snapshots)

