## What to do when we can't have

# EVERYTHING EVERYWHERE AL ATONCE

Bia Villas Bôas | villasboas@mines.edu

With material from: ASTZ study group, ODYSEA and S-MODE science teams, OASIS community, and Seo et al. (2023).









Meinig et al. (2019) Pearlman et al. (2019) Sabine et al. (2020) SCOR Working Group 154 (2020) Smith et al. (2019) Wang et al. (2019)



Anderson et al. (2019) Ardhuin et al. (2019a) Bange et al. (2019) Bax et al. (2019) Canonico et al. (2019) Domingues et al. (2019) Estes et al. (2021) Penny et al. (2019) Pinardi et al. (2019) WEATHER Powers et al. (2019)

Arico et al. (2021 Bax et al. (2018) Benson et al. (2018) Cronin et al. (2019) Cronin et al. (2021) Fennel et al. (2018) Foltz et al. (2019) Hermes et al. (2019) Maximenko et al. (2019) Smith et al. (2019) Speich et al. (2019) Wanninkhof et al. (2019)

Centurioni et al. (2019) Groom et al. (2019) Harcourt et al. (2019) Jamet et al. (2019) Muelbert et al. (2019) Muller-Karger et al. (2018) Newman et al. (2019) Lombard et al. (2019) Marandino et al. (2022) Kent et al. (2019) O'Carroll et al. (2019) Sequeira et al. (2021) Steinhoff et al. (2019) Subramanian et al. (2019) Swart et al. (2019)

Ardhuin et al. (2019b) Bourassa et al. (2019) Gentemann et al. (2020) Gommenginger et al. (2019) Morrow et al. (2019) Rodríguez et al. (2019) Shutler et al. (2020) Vinogradova et al. (2019)

Villas Bôas et al. (2019)







Anderson et al. (2019) Ardhuin et al. (2019a) Bange et al. (2019) Bax et al. (2019) Canonico et al. (2019) Domingues et al. (2019) Estes et al. (2021) Penny et al. (2019) Pinardi et al. (2019) WEATHER Powers et al. (2019)

Arico et al. (2021 Bax et al. (2018 Benson et al. (2018) Cronin et al. (2019) Cronin et al. (2021) Fennel et al. (2018) Foltz et al. (2019) Hermes et al. (2019 Maximenko et al. (2019 Smith et al. (2019 Speich et al. (2019) Wanninkhof et al. (2019)

Centurioni et al. (2019) Groom et al. (2019) Harcourt et al. (2019) Jamet et al. (2019) Muelbert et al. (2019) Muller-Karger et al. (2018) Newman et al. (2019) Lombard et al. (2019) Marandino et al. (2022) Kent et al. (2019) O'Carroll et al. (2019) Sequeira et al. (2021) Steinhoff et al. (2019 Subramanian et al. (2019) Swart et al. (2019)

Ardhuin et al. (2019b) Bourassa et al. (2019) Gentemann et al. (2020) Gommenginger et al. (2019) Morrow et al. (2019) Rodríguez et al. (2019) Shutler et al. (2020) Vinogradova et al. (2019)

Villas Bôas et al. (2019)

Meinig et al. (2019) Pearlman et al. (2019) Sabine et al. (2020) SCOR Working Group 154 (2020) Smith et al. (2019) Wang et al. (2019)



Meinig et al. (2019) Pearlman et al. (2019) Sabine et al. (2020) SCOR Working Group 154 (2020) Smith et al. (2019) Wang et al. (2019)



Anderson et al. (2019) Ardhuin et al. (2019a) Bange et al. (2019) Bax et al. (2019) Canonico et al. (2019) Domingues et al. (2019) Estes et al. (2021) Penny et al. (2019) Pinardi et al. (2019) WEATHER Powers et al. (2019)

Arico et al. (2021 Bax et al. (2018) Benson et al. (2018) Cronin et al. (2019) Cronin et al. (2021) Fennel et al. (2018) Foltz et al. (2019) Hermes et al. (2019) Maximenko et al. (2019) Smith et al. (2019) Speich et al. (2019) Wanninkhof et al. (2019)

Centurioni et al. (2019) Groom et al. (2019) Harcourt et al. (2019) Jamet et al. (2019) Muelbert et al. (2019) Muller-Karger et al. (2018) Newman et al. (2019) Lombard et al. (2019) Marandino et al. (2022) Kent et al. (2019) O'Carroll et al. (2019) Sequeira et al. (2021) Steinhoff et al. (2019) Subramanian et al. (2019) Swart et al. (2019)

Ardhuin et al. (2019b) Bourassa et al. (2019) Gentemann et al. (2020) Gommenginger et al. (2019) Morrow et al. (2019) Rodríguez et al. (2019) Shutler et al. (2020) Vinogradova et al. (2019)

Villas Bôas et al. (2019)



# EVERYTHING EVERYWHERE ALL AT ONCE



Anderson et al. (2019) Ardhuin et al. (2019a) Bange et al. (2019) Bax et al. (2019) Canonico et al. (2019) Domingues et al. (2019) Estes et al. (2021) Penny et al. (2019) Pinardi et al. (2019) WEATHER Powers et al. (2019)

Arico et al. (2021 Bax et al. (2018 Benson et al. (2018) Cronin et al. (2019) Cronin et al. (2021) Fennel et al. (2018) Foltz et al. (2019) Hermes et al. (2019 Maximenko et al. (2019 Smith et al. (2019 Speich et al. (2019) Wanninkhof et al. (2019)

Centurioni et al. (2019) Groom et al. (2019) Harcourt et al. (2019) Jamet et al. (2019) Muelbert et al. (2019) Muller-Karger et al. (2018) Newman et al. (2019) Lombard et al. (2019) Marandino et al. (2022) Kent et al. (2019) O'Carroll et al. (2019) Segueira et al. (2021) Steinhoff et al. (2019 Subramanian et al. (2019) Swart et al. (2019)

Ardhuin et al. (2019b) Bourassa et al. (2019) Gentemann et al. (2020) Gommenginger et al. (2019) Morrow et al. (2019) Rodríguez et al. (2019) Shutler et al. (2020) Vinogradova et al. (2019)

Villas Bôas et al. (2019)

Meinig et al. (2019) Pearlman et al. (2019) Sabine et al. (2020) SCOR Working Group 154 (2020) Smith et al. (2019) Wang et al. (2019)



Q1: What are the gaps in observations and modeling capability that need to be addressed to properly determine the role of ASTZ processes in weather and climate variability?

What regions, observables, and time and space scales should be a focus of modeling and observations?

Q2: What are potential outcomes of concerted modeling and observational programs focused on the ASTZ?







# **Processes**, **scales**, and **regions** define needs and help to identify gaps.





#### Processes











### Surface processes: fluxes and sea state



• Quantify the role of the sea state and ocean meso and submesoscales in mediating air-sea fluxes





### Surface processes: fluxes and sea state

### **OBL** and **MABL** turbulence and mixing

•



• Quantify the role of the sea state and ocean meso and submesoscales in mediating air-sea fluxes

Observe the vertical structure of both boundary layers to evaluate turbulence theory under a range of sea state conditions & improve relationships between surface flux and flux profiles.





#### Surface processes: fluxes and sea state

### **OBL** and **MABL** turbulence and mixing

•

#### **Cloud processes and precipitation**

clouds, the MABL, OBL, and surface processes



• Quantify the role of the sea state and ocean meso and submesoscales in mediating air-sea fluxes

Observe the vertical structure of both boundary layers to evaluate turbulence theory under a range of sea state conditions & improve relationships between surface flux and flux profiles.

Clouds are often rooted in the atmospheric boundary layer. Need colocated observations of





### Surface processes: fluxes and sea state

### **OBL** and **MABL** turbulence and mixing

•

### **Cloud processes and precipitation**

clouds, the MABL, OBL, and surface processes

#### Extremes

rivers ...



• Quantify the role of the sea state and ocean meso and submesoscales in mediating air-sea fluxes

Observe the vertical structure of both boundary layers to evaluate turbulence theory under a range of sea state conditions & improve relationships between surface flux and flux profiles.

• Clouds are often rooted in the atmospheric boundary layer. Need colocated observations of

• Improve prediction skill for floods & droughts, tropical cyclones, marine heat waves, atmospheric















COLORADOSCHOOLOFMINES











ENERGY 🗕 ENVIRONMENT



2400.0

1600.0





#### MINES.EDU

### Large scale

 Better constrain the effects of ocean forcing on synoptic storms, storm tracks, and rainfall patterns







### Large scale

 Better constrain the effects of ocean forcing on synoptic storms, storm tracks, and rainfall patterns

### **Oceanic mesoscale**

 Improve parametrizations of ocean-mesoscale-driven air-sea heat, momentum, and tracer fluxes in climate models that do not resolve these scales





### Large scale

 Better constrain the effects of ocean forcing on synoptic storms, storm tracks, and rainfall patterns

#### **Oceanic mesoscale**

 Improve parametrizations of ocean-mesoscale-driven air-sea heat, momentum, and tracer fluxes in climate models that do not resolve these scales

### **Oceanic submesoscale**

• Quantify the relative importance of thermal and current feedback









Assessment of near-term climate predictability requires models that resolve oceanic mesoscale







Assessment of near-term climate predictability requires models that resolve oceanic mesoscale



# Current and thermal feedback (together) modify wind stress gradients







Mean Net Surface Heat Flux  $(Wm^{-2})$ 



Cronin et al., 2019.







Mean Net Surface Heat Flux  $(Wm^{-2})$ 



Cronin et al., 2019.

### High Latitudes + MIZ

• Large disagreement between surface flux observational products (MOST/bulk falls apart, extreme winds and waves, ice cover)





Mean Net Surface Heat Flux  $(Wm^{-2})$ 



Cronin et al., 2019.

### High Latitudes + MIZ

• Large disagreement between surface flux observational products (MOST/bulk falls apart, extreme winds and waves, ice cover)

### **Boundary Currents**

 Quantify BCs local and remote impact on the ABL and free troposphere and feedbacks to the ocean.





Mean Net Surface Heat Flux  $(Wm^{-2})$ 



Cronin et al., 2019.

### High Latitudes + MIZ

• Large disagreement between surface flux observational products (MOST/bulk falls apart, extreme winds and waves, ice cover)

### **Boundary Currents**

 Quantify BCs local and remote impact on the ABL and free troposphere and feedbacks to the ocean.

### **Tropics**

Diurnal variability of the ABL, SST, OBL, and fluxes can be stronger than intraseasonal and annual cycles.

















# Strong diurnal variability of ASTZ variables in the tropics



#### Gille et al., 2005





#### MINES.EDU



### Q2: What are potential outcomes of concerted modeling and observational programs focused on the ASTZ?







## The Sub-Mesoscale Ocean Dynamics Experiment (S-MODE)

- NASA Earth Venture Suborbital mission (EVS-3)
- **Hypothesis:** ocean submesoscale processes make important contributions to vertical exchange of climate and biological variables in the upper ocean.
  - Pilot Campaign (Fall 2021)
    IOP-1 (Fall 2022)
    IOP-2 (Spring 2023)











## The Sub-Mesoscale Ocean Dynamics Experiment (S-MODE)













## Colocated observations of ASTZ variables





## Colocated observations of ASTZ variables

#### Wind-current coupling observed by DopplerScatt



#### Current-SST coupling observed by DopplerScatt + MOSES







Ernesto Rodriguez (JPL)

## Colocated observations of ASTZ variables

#### Wind-current coupling observed by DopplerScatt



#### Current-SST coupling observed by DopplerScatt + MOSES





#### Current-SST coupling observed by MASS/DoppVis



Mara Freilich's poster

Ernesto Rodriguez (JPL)





surface





## Using laser altimetry to understand sea state gradients







#### Marechal et al., in prep





# Using laser altimetry to understand sea state gradients



COLORADOSCHOOLOFMINES. EARTH • ENERGY • ENVIRONMENT





#### Marechal et al., in prep





## Most sea state parametrizations consider spatially smooth surface wave fields

- The spatial variability of Stokes drift results from a combined response to wind forcing and amplitude modulation due to currents
- Full directional spectrum is key for accurately estimating Stokes drift and improving model parametrizations



Lenain and Pizzo, 2020



Lenain and Melville, 2017





Marechal et al., in prep. See also Ardhuin et al., 2017; Romero et al., 2020;



# Ocean Dynamics and Surface Exchange with the Atmosphere – The ODYSEA mission concept

### How do ocean currents evolve at small and fast scales?







**ODYSEA** will bring into focus daily global surface currents and their interactions with winds to explore the Earth system and to improve weather & climate predictions

Learn more at: odysea.ucsd.edu













# Ocean Dynamics and Surface Exchange with the Atmosphere – The ODYSEA mission concept



#### Check out the ODYSEA simulator: https://github.com/awineteer/odysea-science-simulator









### **Butterfly** (next talk): Measuring fluxes from space





### Harmony: Wind, waves, currents, temperature, clouds, and ice flow











## GEOS/MITgcm Coupled Global Simulation (c1440 - Ilc2160)

Box 1



(a)

(b)





Box 3







0.0

Torres et al., 2022



0.5 1.0Surface currents m/s

- We need coupled simulations that can serve as nature runs for OSSEs
- The uncoupled nature run has to be forced with consistent atmosphere/ocean to allow for comparisons
- Easier to do for regional scale/ short time scale (see several studies by Renault et al., but challenging at global scale)







# What to de when we can't have everything everywhere all at once? Echoing the vision of several groups





Mean Net Surface Heat Flux  $(Wm^{-2})$ 









# What to de when we can't have everything everywhere all at once? Echoing the vision of several groups















# We need an integrated approach!



- How can we promote integrated ocean-atmosphere model development at the modeling center level?
- How can we promote funding for *integrated* ocean and atmosphere research?
- How can we promote closer collaborations among observationalists, theoreticians, and model developers to coordinate observations with ongoing efforts to evaluate and improve models, develop new parameterizations, and advance coupled data assimilation?
  - Inter-agency "synergy maker"



To move forward, we need to treat the ASTZ as a unit for both modeling and observation efforts







# We need an integrated approach!



- How can we promote integrated ocean-atmosphere model development at the modeling center level?
- How can we promote funding for *integrated* ocean and atmosphere research?
- How can we promote closer collaborations among observationalists, theoreticians, and model developers to coordinate observations with ongoing efforts to evaluate and improve models, develop new parameterizations, and advance coupled data assimilation?
  - Inter-agency "synergy maker"



To move forward, we need to treat the ASTZ as a unit for both modeling and observation efforts

villasboas@mines.edu











# ODYSEA will provide unprecedented spatial and temporal coverage

- 5 km postings, averaged for currents to reduce noise
- Possibility of 1 km postings to support coastal applications





# What we gain: Daily global wind coverage



ASCAT coverage:

- 550 km swath;
- 25 km resolution

**ODYSEA** coverage:

- 1700 km swath (more like QuikScat)
- 5 km resolution



# **ODYSEA Mission Overview**



- There are no sensors in orbit that measure total surface currents There are no US operational scatterometers that measure winds. The existing wind sensor constellation needs additional sensors to sample changing winds.
- Scaling DopplerScatt to space fills both of these needs
- 90% global coverage < 1 day (2x/day in many places)
- ~650 km sun-synchronous terminator (4:30 am/4:30 pm) orbit
- Capability for near-real time ocean wind and currents data products (<6 hour latency)
- Intend to serve Near Real-Time data products to operational agencies (Navy, NOAA, Air Force)
- Proposal due date anticipated to be late June/early July



# **ODYSEA Mission Overview**



- salient processes

 SO1: Fill key knowledge gaps in the coupling mechanisms between currents and winds by observing, quantifying, and understanding the

• SO2: Fill key knowledge gaps in fundamental patterns of surface currents globally and the dynamical ocean processes underlying these motions.

