Perspective on the needs and opportunities for data rescue & reprocessing

Bill Bell¹, Hans Hersbach¹, Paul Berrisford¹, András Horányi¹, Joaquin Muñoz Sabater¹, Julien Nicolas¹, Raluca Radu¹, Dinand Schepers¹, Adrian Simmons¹, Cornel Soci¹, Adrien Oyono Owono¹, Roberto Ribas¹, Martin Suttie¹, Jörg Schulz², Viju John², Timo Hanschmann², Paul Poli², Pascal Prunet³, Andrzej Klonecki³, Carsten Standfuss³, Jon Mittaz⁴, Tom Hall⁴, Bruno Six⁵, Jérôme Vidot⁶, Bruna Barbosa Silveira⁶, Pascale Roguet⁶, Emma Turner⁷, Roger Saunders⁷.

[2] EUMETSAT, Darmstadt, Germany. [3] Spascia, Toulouse, France. [4] University of Reading, Reading, UK. [5] ICARE, University of Lille, Lille, France. [6] Météo-France -CNRS, Lannion, France.

[1] ECMWF, Reading, UK.

[7] Met Office, Exeter, UK.



Climate Change





Overview

- The value of reprocessed and rescued data in reanalysis
- Reprocessing and rescue in the EU's Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S)
 - Conventional data
 - Early satellite data rescue
 - Reprocessed satellite data
- Opportunities and challenges
 - Resources
 - Collaboration
 - Scientific challenges





ERA5 & its preliminary extension back to 1950: reforecast skill

Change



Skill gains (ERA-Interim \rightarrow ERA5) realised from:

- \uparrow HPC power \rightarrow higher resolution
- Model & DA improvements
- Improved use of observations

Skill gains vs time due to the evolving observing system:

- → Expect incremental gains through improved observations (reprocessing)
- \rightarrow Expect (larger ?) gains from previously unused data (rescue)

Hersbach *et al*, QJRMS, 2020, The ERA5 Global Reanalysis Bell *et al*, QJRMS, 2021, The ERA5 Global Reanalysis, Preliminary Extension to 1950





Observations assimilated in ERA5

Satellite radiances



Satellite non-radiances 1979-2019



Reprocessed data, or change in processing since ERA-Int

Same as ERA-Int

Not used in ERA5, but used in ERA-Int

Not used in ERA-Int, but used in ERA5







Impact of early-era sounding data in ERA5

Change



Vertical Temperature Profiling Radiometer (VTPR)

• 8-channel IR sounder. 'HIRS predecessor'

Ensemble of Data Assimilations (EDA)

analysis spread

- Flown on NOAA-2-5 (Nov 1972 Feb 1979)
- Same L1 data assimilated in ERA-40 & JRA-55
- Benefitted from collaboration with JMA on:
 - cloud detection, observation errors, QC & RT modelling

Impact of VTPR

Background fits to surface pressure observations 1950-2020 Southern Hemisphere







Evolution of the mean state



- Discontinuities most evident above 10 hPa
- Caused by interplay of model biases (see Patrick's talk) & changing observing system
- Same effects likely at lower levels (e.g. lower stratospheric biases & ERA5.1)
- Improved reprocessing of observations will play a role in minimising these effects





C3S Approach: external partners provide long-term support in data rescue and reprocessing

Conventional Observations

- Surface and upper air meteorological data
- Facilitating data rescue
- Improving data records
- Common data standards •
- Archiving data in CDS





Early Satellite Observations

- Focus on pre-1979, mainly Nimbus
- Builds on NASA / NOAA activities
- Data formatting, QA and archiving
- Evaluation relative to ERA5 (O-A)
- Evaluation of bias models

Reprocessed Satellite Observations

- Focus on long-term operational missions
- Mainly post-1979
- Delivered by EUMETSAT
- Programme defined through a comprehensive review of requirements



Early Satellite Data Rescue (focus on pre-1979)



Dataset treated in C3S phase 1 Data assimilated in ERA5 Dataset treated in C3S phase 2 Dataset not treated yet



Geo-location issues for early satellite sensors

- Change
- VTPR has geolocation errors of up to 400 km.
- For the Nimbus sensors such as THIR, there are often problems with the anchor points used for geolocation at the poles.
- These problems can be fixed by recalculating the geolocation using modern software.

VTPR geolocation errors



ERA5 Temperature biases in the polar winter stratosphere – as observed by early satellite sensors (SIRS and IRIS)





Assessment of the Medium Resolution Infrared Radiometer (MRIR) data

Improved geolocation, relative to original data, using two line elements (TLEs)

Change





16/05/1966

Nimbus 2



16/04/1969

Corrected

Nimbus 3

15µm channel

Analysis of bias characteristics, relative to ERA5



Development of cloud screening methods, based on visible albedo, from 0.2-4.0 µm vis-NIR channel





Evaluation of reprocessed satellite data

Change

During 2016-2021 EUMETSAT developed several reprocessed datasets for assimilation in ERA6:

Radiances

- MHS (Metop-A and –B, 2007-2018)
- ATMS (NPP & JPSS-1, 2012-18)
- MWHS-1/-2 (FY-3A-D, 2008-18)
- HIRS (Nimbus-6, TIROS-N, NOAA-6 and MetOp-B, 1975 – 2018)
- MVIRI and SEVIRI (Meteosat, 1982-2020)
- SSM/T-2 (DMSP, 1994-2004)

GNSS-Radio Occultation data • GRAS, COSMIC, CHAMP & GRACE

Atmospheric Motion Vector Winds

MVIRI, SEVIRI and AVHRR

Scatterometer data

ASCAT



Climate Change

Improved GRAS-A RO fits and observation counts



- Results consistent with RD testing of equivalent updated processing for operational RT data stream
- Experiments underway to assess reprocessed COSMIC, CHAMP and GRACE data





Improved background fits to AMSU-A and ATMS

Change





EUMETSAT contribution to C3S reprocessing efforts 2021-2027

e e	For ERA6		
	 HIRS: infrared soundings (1978-2021) SSMT: microwave soundings (1991-2005) SSMIS: microwave soundings (2003-2021): addressing T/q sounding channels Japanese GEO radiances (1978-2015): assimilation readiness 	130 25 >3 0	Delivery and assessment 2023

For European and Arctic high-resolution reanalyses

METEOSAT: radiances & AMV from 1st & 2nd Gen. Rapid-Scan MVIRI AMV
AVHRR (Metop) LAC Polar AMV Release 3 (2006-2023)
31

9

5

<1

12

For early satellite era

- SMMR: microwave imager (1978-1987)
- SSH: infrared soundings (1977-1980)
- SI-1: infrared spectra (1977, 1979)
- THIR: Polar AMV (1970-1985)



Uncertainty-characterised MW FCDR

55

100

14

- MSU: microwave soundings (1978-2006)
- AMSU-A: microwave soundings (1998-2021)
- (A)MSU uncertainty assessment towards FIDUCEO
- ATMS: microwave soundings (2011-2021) FIDUCEO-type analysis, all channels



Close collaboration



Opportunities & Challenges I

Climate Most significant advances for the field of reanalysis in 5-10 years?

Change

- Performance (coupling, synoptics & mean state trends) will continue to improve in next-gen reanalyses
- Reanalysis established as an ever more powerful and widely used tool for climate monitoring, including:
 - Greater focus on performance at ever smaller scales (global \rightarrow continental \rightarrow national / regional)
 - Greater focus on 'higher order' statistics of anomalies (means \rightarrow extremes \rightarrow full pdfs) scientific & technical value
- These trends will bring increased scrutiny to our uncertainty estimates
- *Peak-carbon* is probably > 10 years away, next 5-10 years is the time to build capabilities to respond to this scrutiny
- Progress in unexplored aspects of this problem (*e.g.* mean-state uncertainties see WCRP WS) is likely
- Improved quality of, & understanding of, observational data will play a key role in this

What do you see are the most significant barriers to progress in the field of reanalysis?

Resources

- Compared to much larger effort focussed on operational NWP, resources devoted to reanalysis are small
- Some significant scientific challenges for reanalysis are less of a priority for NWP, e.g. :
 - Mean-state uncertainties
 - Developing & testing observation operators and DA configurations for historical sensors
 - Pre-1979 satellite data
 - More recent Research / Operational missions never fully exploited in NWP (SSMIS upper-strat & mesospheric channels, Windsat, limb-sounders, ...)
- Establishing effective modes of collaboration





Opportunities & Challenges II

Change

Which collaborations are currently working and which collaborations need to be fostered?

- Compared to NWP, reanalysis is a relatively solitary activity ! (? ...)
- Re. Satellite data. For NRT aspects, ITWG (~200), IROWG (~40), IWWG (~50), IPWG (~50) are very effective
- No (formal) equivalent for conventional observations
- Components related specifically to historical observations of relevance for reanalysis are currently fringe, and could be strengthened.
- Other groups exist (GSICS, CEOS WGCV) and are very active (*e.g.* in reprocessing) but are less DA-focussed than ITWG, IROWG, ... etc. How to strengthen links ?
- Post-pandemic modes of meeting offer an opportunity to strengthen reanalysis focussed collaborations
- What's the appetite for greater collaboration ?

What are the critical requirements for consistent Earth system reanalysis?

Consistency in time: improved treatment of biases (model & observation) and estimation of uncertainties Consistency across variables - improved coupling across domains





Opportunities & Challenges III

What observational datasets are required to support these requirements?

- Improved reprocessed & rescued data (see talk)
- Interface observations (to support coupling)
- [General point: Engagement of reanalysis communities in specifying next
- generation observing systems. Requirements not identically aligned with NWP requirements]

How is uncertainty quantified for your application?

- Synoptic uncertainties from EDA
- Mean-state uncertainties not evaluated (except through comparison with independent datasets)

Are there significant barriers for quantifying uncertainty in your field?

Mean state uncertainties - needs new approaches, building on work in climate / EPS communities





Thanks for listening !

