





CMIPnext: facilitating international climate science

Paul J. Durack – WIP Co-Chair, CMIP Panel member

Tuesday 1st August 2023

2023 US CLIVAR Summit (Seattle, WA, USA)



LLNL-PRES-852500 Prepared by LLNL under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344

Overview

- <u>Model Intercomparison Projects (MIPs) Overview</u>
- o CMIP6 status (current phase)
- CMIPnext planning
 - CMIP surveys; CMIP6Plus
- CMIP7
 - Forcing protocol (idealized/historical-proxy forcings); Data quantities, identities and formats
- CMEC
 - PCMDI Metrics Package (PMP); Collaborative activities in (climate) model evaluation

MIPs Overview



What are *MIPs

- o <u>M</u>odel <u>Intercomparison</u> <u>P</u>rojects
- Started with Atmospheric-only MIP (AMIP) in 1989
- Coupled (atmosphere & ocean) MIP (CMIP) initiated 1995, evolved to Earth System Models (ESMs) in CMIP5

• Standardized protocols

- Experimental (simulation length, model components)
- Forcing (idealized, or historical-proxy forcings)
- O Data formats, identities and quantities
- Expanded for non-model activities servicing core modelling activities
 - **input4MIPs** input (forcing) datasets for MIPs
 - o **obs4MIPs** observations for MIPs/model evaluation



Eyring et al. (2016) Overview of CMIP6, doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016



Timeline of *MIPs

Planning begins	1989	1993	1995	1997	2003	2008	2014
Simulations	AMIP1	AMIP2	CMIP1	CMIP2/ CMIP2+	CMIP3	CMIP5	CMIP6
Data Volumes	~1GB	~500GB	~1GB	~500GB	50TB	2PB	>20PB
Host Infrastructure	LLNL FTP <mark>*</mark>	LLNL FTP	LLNL FTP	LLNL FTP	LLNL FTP	ESGF 41 <mark>#</mark> nodes	ESGF 30 nodes
Data formats	Fortran formatted binary	Fortran formatted binary, GRIB → DRS <mark>⊽</mark>	GRIB → DRS	GRIB → DRS	CF netCDF-3	CF netCDF-4	CF netCDF-4
Operations begin	1989	1995	1996	1999	2004	2011	2018

^{*} For some groups in addition to data distribution, LLNL computing facilities were used to run contributing simulations

Data Retrieval and Storage (DRS) software library developed at PCMDI – pre-netCDF file format [#]The start of data federation - just 17 CMIP5 nodes remain, a ~50% loss from the CMIP5 peak - highlights tier 1 node importance for MIP data preservation







CMIP6 status



CMIP: driving science, informing policy





CMIP6: biggest yet!

- o 24 endorsed MIPs
- o 26 countries
- o 48 institutions
- o 130 models
- o 322 experiments
- 8450 citations of CMIP6 and MIP design papers
- Nearly 26 PB of CMIP6 data
- o 30+ ESGF data nodes

CMIP

CMIP6 - current phase

o DECK (core)

- <u>D</u>iagnostic, <u>E</u>valuation and <u>C</u>haracterization of <u>K</u>lima
- 4 experiments (lpctCO2, abrupt-4xCO2, piControl, AMIP) + historical + ESM variants
- 24 community MIPs focused on
 - ESM systematic biases
 - Forcing and feedback responses
 - Variability, predictability and future projections
 - Negative emissions pathways





CMIP structure

The DECK

The DECK (Diagnostic, **Evaluation and** Characterization of Klima) and CMIP historical simulations (1850 - nearpresent) that maintain continuity and help document basic characteristics of models across different phases of CMIP.

The MIPs

Model Intercomparison Projects (MIPs) address specific science questions across the climate and earth science communities. They are driven bottom up by the community.

Participation in MIPs by individual modelling groups is at their own discretion and depends on their scientific interests and priorities.

Common standards and open access

Common standards: experimental, forcing, data; Allowing coordination on infrastructure and documentation that facilitates distribution of model outputs and the characterization of the model ensemble.

ESGF Published CMIP6 data

- Over 6.4 million
 CMIP6 ESGF datasets across activities/MIPs
- Seamless delivery thanks to ESGF data challenges/stability testing
- Datasets unique variable collections per experiment RIPF (unique simulations)
- Footprint units of Petabytes



CMIP6 in AR6/IPCC

- CMIP6 "hot models" climate sensitivity > Sherwood et al. (2020) and > AR6 assessed very likely range
- AR first "constrained projections" models weighted by observational agreement downward "correction"
- Getting forcing (and response!) right underpins observed climate change attribution, future projections utility
- Projection user community growing markedly
- Raises model evaluation importance model projections use requires specialist guidance
- Operational "climate services" need next-level climate science guardrails



IPCC AR6 WG1, 2021, Summary for Policymakers, Fig 1.4 doi: 10.1017/9781009157896.001

CMIPnext planning



CMIP - a team sport!

- PCMDI
 - U.S. DOE provided 34-years of *MIP support
- ESGF
 - Originated by U.S. DOE ~2007
 - Major recent contributions from numerous others
 - IS-ENES and ENES-RI follow-on
 - European contribution to ESGF & CMIP infrastructure
 - Numerous other projects and institutions, including DKRZ, IPSL, CEDA, ES-DOC, NASA, NOAA, ...
 - CMIP6: 30+ ESGF nodes, 17 countries, 131 models, 48 institutions representing 26 countries, and many, many more...





The CMIP International Project Office

- CMIP expanded to point where coordination of elements requires dedicated administration and facilitation support.
- IPO established March 2022 at host institution, ESA's ECSAT site in Harwell, UK.
- IPO team consists of:
 - Director (Eleanor O'Rourke)
 - Programme Manager (Briony Turner)
 - Science & Communications Officer (Beth Dingley)
 - Technical Officer (Daniel Ellis)
 - Part-time administrative support (Alice Kolesnikov)







СМІР

CMIP6 Survey: Suggestions for CMIP7

- No big structural CMIP6 change but evolution.
- Retain IPCC alignment in some form.
- Reduce huge burden on modelling centres.
- Need for greater focus on climate impacts and adaptation relevant experiments (including updated scenarios).
- Need for operationalisation of critical elements (e.g., forcing) delays in forcing had major CMIP6 delivery impacts.
- Build on substantial CMIP6 data infrastructure progress to facilitate science and support improved, and more user friendly, data access.
- Continue and enhance active community input to the experimental design process.
- Nurture the CMIP future community and promote young and global South scientists.

Addressing community concerns

You said	We are
CMIP6 = burden on modelling centres	Reducing numbers of experiments.
IPCC timelines causes pressure	Proposing streamlined experiments on IPCC timeline. Freeing up community driven MIPs
Need for greater focus on climate impacts and adaptation relevant experiments	Seamless delivery across WGI, WGII and WGIII via updated scenarios tailored for mitigation and impacts policy applications with timely delivery to facilitate downscaling
Need for operationalisation of critical elements	Established Task Teams to deliver recommendations for sustainable delivery of near real time forcings.
Need sustainable funding of infrastructure to support improved, and more user friendly, data access.	Established Task Teams to deliver recommendations on requirements for future infrastructure and engaging with funders.
Continue and enhance active community input to the experimental design process.	Expanded CMIP Panel and established Task Teams through open calls plus rolling out extensive community engagement.
Nurture the future CMIP community and promote young and global South scientists.	Launched ECR group (Fresh Eyes on CMIP) and planning for CMIP Panel members from the global South.



The Task Teams

CMIP Task Teams have been established to drive forward definition of CMIP7 in an open and collaborative manner.

- Data access (Robert Pincus and Atef Ben-Nasser)
- Data citation (Martina Stockhause and Sasha Ames)
- Data request (Martin Juckes and Chloe Mackallah)
- Forcings (Paul Durack and Vaishali Naik)
- Model benchmarking (Birgit Hassler and Forrest Hoffman)
- Model documentation (David Hassell and Guillaume Levavasseur)
- Strategic ensemble design (Ben Sanderson and Isla Simpson)
- Fresh Eyes on CMIP (currently under evaluation)



The role of CMIP within the Modelling Multiverse



CMIP

Need for multi-model ensembles beyond CMIP6

- CMIP provides proven method for testing/validating climate models.
- Enhanced process understanding enabled by improved model fidelity, increased complexity and resolution (e.g., emergent properties).
- Hypothesis testing using climate change projections based on new, updated, and extended scenarios. CMIP infrastructure enables this.
- New observational comparison opportunities emerged that motivate new diagnostics and MIPs (e.g., SWOT, EMIT, BGC Argo also obs4MIPs).
- CMIP key international climate service (serves IPCC, policymakers).
- An extended 'gap' in CMIP delivery could result in poorer quality climate information being used by the policy and downstream user community.





CMIP Infrastructure



- Leveraging the CMIP6 infrastructure to benefit wider WCRP activities (CMIP6Plus).
- New and ongoing MIP activities can request guidance and limited support.
- Enable responsive activities (e.g., CovidMIP, ZECMIP, LESFMIP, CERESMIP, RAMIP, ...).
- Support CMIP and wider activities' evolution and potential operationalisation of components (e.g., testing next generation forcings)
- Determining a sustainable funding model for CMIP infrastructure.

CMIP6Plus: facilitating and enabling science

Can we leverage existing infrastructure investments, while not waiting?

- Agile, responsive evolution
 - Continuous DECK is a start
 - Facilitate, respond and enable science opportunities – CovidMIP, ZECMIP/C4MIP
- Allow CMIP to evolve and "operationalise"
 - Incremental change (e.g., maintain ESGF dependence)
 - Next generation forcings and observations
 - Change little, increment and allow modelling groups to focus on science
- Best prepare CMIP for exascale and the AI/ML onslaught.





CMIP6Plus: facilitating and enabling science

Can we leverage existing infrastructure investments, while not waiting?

- Continue beyond CMIP6 with few changes as CMIP7 is planned
 - Reduce time pressures loosen CMIPx to IPCC ARx linkage
 - Continuous CMIP science move away from monolithic ~7 year phases
- Facilitate and recognise contributors
 - Ensure all contributions are recognised
 - How can we support forcing data providers?
 - Funding? ("CMIP endorsed" data provider)
 - Infrastructure support?



Looking forward: CMIPnext ideas

Can we optimise to meet science goals without bloating the archive?

- Not just data request rather, MIPs provide diagnostics/code to implement
 - Rather than requesting data, request the targeted diagnostic
 - Plus = less data, minus = locks out spontaneous science opportunities
- MIPs define diagnostics to implement within models
 - Advance the inclusion of key simulators (e.g., COSP)
 - Encourage MIP diagnostic team development move workload to MIP chairs, not modellers
- How to best leverage community diagnostics
 - ESMValTool, CMEC (Coordinated Model Evaluations Capabilities), NOAA-MDTF
- Amalgamate efforts to reduce overheads (e.g., input4MIPs, obs4MIPs)





Climate forcing

- *MIP history proved external climate forcing matters
- Historical forcings are keys to climate change attribution
- Future forcing will determine future state (accurate projection/predictions)
- o input4MIPs began the process
 - CMIP forcing dataset coordination
 - Version control and documentation
- o Beyond CMIP6
 - Evaluate, quantify and better understand uncertainties
 - Dataset harmonization across products
 - Quantify, version control and document forcings
 - Feedback on MIP experimental design through forcing evaluation





Climate forcing



IPCC AR6 WG1, 2021, Box 7.2 Fig1 doi: 10.1017/9781009157896.009

Forcings provision (including scenarios)

- Delays in forcing dataset provision were highly problematic during CMIP6.
- There is a need to update, and develop new forcing datasets with adequate time for robust evaluation before CMIP7 commences.
- Scenario development is increasingly important to ensuring policy makers have the information they will need to address post 2030 mitigation and adaptation planning.
- Forcings session at AGU23 in December 2023.





CMIP7





Evolving CMIP7 structure



CMIP7 will address WCRP science objectives

Fundamental understanding of the climate system

Equilibrium climate sensitivity (gregory method) and transient climate response



2 Prediction of the near-term evolution of the climate system



Help reconcile the observational refutation of high ECS models and their continued prevalence in the ensemble.

Assess forcing uncertainty e.g., the potential to explore sensitivity to high aerosol forcing.



CMIP7 will address WCRP science objectives



Focus beyond 2100 including overshoots and e.g., how to better constrain sea level rise "deep uncertainty" under >3°C warming.

iocc IDCC **Climate Change 2021** The Physical Science Basis Climate Change 2022 pacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability iocc Climate Change 2022 WCRP СМІР

Bridging climate science and society

CMIP7 preliminary timeline

For the subset of CMIP7 experiments aimed at IPCC alignment, engagement with the new IPCC leadership is underway. Planning will coalesce in late 2023. Community MIPs do not need to align with that timeline, although they may choose to do so. Current estimates suggest:

- Historical forcings: Update expected, with data extending until December 2021 available by mid-2024 and revised in 2026 (CMIP Forcings timeline - current status).
- Scenario forcings: late 2026 (link to <u>ScenarioMIP pages</u>)
- Data request: Initial production versions expected early to mid-2025, with regular updates thereafter.
- Modelling centres: Consulting on expectations for when new models and associated infrastructure will be ready.
- ESGF nodes: ESGF needed to collate and serve the data. Ongoing discussions led by the WIP and ESGF community.
- CMIP7 description paper: Intention for submission in 2024.









Coordinated Model Evaluation Capabilities (CMEC)

- Effort to bring together a diverse set of analysis packages developed to facilitate Earth System Model systematic evaluation
 - PCMDI Metrics Package (PMP)
 - International Land Model Benchmarking Package (ILAMB)
 - Toolkit for Extremes Climate Analysis (TECA)
- Technical alignment, providing a simple and consistent driver interface across all contributing modules
- Coordinates and organizes output data produced by CMEC packages

https://cmec.llnl.gov

Coordinated Model Evaluation Capabilities

Coordinated Model Evaluation Capabilities (CMEC) is an effort to bring, together a diverse set of analysis packages that have been developed to facilitate the systematic evaluation of Earth System Models (ESMs), CMEC includes capabilities that are supported by the U.S. Department of Fenergy, Office of Biological and Environmental Research (BER), and Regional and Global Model Analysis (RGMA), along with capabilities contributed by community-based experts and international agencies.



CMEC is motivated by the need for comprehensive and holistic evaluation of Earth system models and datasets, so as to identify persistent blases and improve data credibility. With widespread and rapid growth in the number of available metrics and diagnostic tools, a lack of standards within the evaluation community have meant that running even a single evaluation tool can require extensive user intervention. Given the significant commonality in how these evaluation tool soperate, interoperability is a natural goal achievable through robust and light-weight standards.

The three goals of the CMEC project include: (1) to develop robust and light-weight standards for operation of evaluation packages and their output; (2) to develop accompanying tools for installation of evaluation packages, coordinated execution of evaluation packages, and obtaining data products necessary for operation of these tools; and (3) to build connections across groups, centers, and individual investigators performing model evaluation.

CMEC aims to operate on a variety of Earth system data products including but not limited to: Earth system model data such as contributions to the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP), reanalysis data products, and other data products produced by global modeling centers or individual research groups.

To advance this effort, participation is sought from new and existing ESM evaluation packages. Inclusion is not limited to packages for CMIP analysis, and both metrics and diagnostics packages are welcome.

> Office of Science Privacy & Legal Notice * LLNL-WEB-951693 * GitHub Learn about the Department of Energy's Vulnerability Disclosure Program

The PCMDI Metrics Package (PMP)

The PCMDI Metrics Package (PMP) provides a diverse suite of relatively robust high-level summary statistics that gauge differences between models and observations. The emphasis is on the physical system in Earth System Models (ESMs) and their component sub-models. The PMP provides holistic summaries spanning space and time scales for the simulated atmosphere, ocean, ice and land with the CMEC examples available on this site highlighting atmospheric characteristics.

The goals of the PMP are to:

- · Provide objective performance summaries of all CMIP DECK + Historical simulations
- Incorporate results spanning six generations of CMIP/AMIP, and to track performance changes through eras of climate model evolution
- · Enable modeling groups to use the PMP in their own analysis/development workflow, so to generate near instant feedback at the time of the model simulation completion, rather than await for feedback through the multi-year process that occurs through peer- publication
- · Collaborate with US and international expert teams to further diversify the suite of objective tests and expand these in new and novel ways
- . Further leverage and advance the conventions and protocols that were developed by PCMDI for CMIP, and use these to facilitate open source software collaboration across research groups and institutions

The PMP summaries include metrics from PCMDI's research, which rely on several summary diagrams designed by PCMDI (Taylor, 2001; Gleckler et al., 2008) that are now widely adopted by the research community. Well-established metrics generated by various expert teams (e.g., CLIVAR Pacific Region Panel for ENSO) are being implemented into the PMP. The software leverages advanced Python-based model analysis tools that have been developed with over two decades of support from the U.S. Department of Energy, along with the Earth System Grid Federation (ESGF), CMIP6, CMIP5, CMIP3 and earlier data holdings based on-site at LLNL.

As PMP development continues, we welcome collaborations from modeling groups and expert teams to further expand and improve the comprehensiveness of the calculated metrics.

Quick links: Repository, Installation, CMIP5/6 AMIP and Historical Results, CMIP5/6 Interannual variability Contact: Peter J. Gleckler (gleckler1@llnl.gov)



A More Powerful Reality Test for Climate Models

(f) (8) (4)

https://pcmdi.llnl.gov/research/metrics/

PCMDI Metrics Package

While the datasets and software found on this site can be used to confront models, we also maintain a collection of results for the community use. Below is a short description of each along with a preview and links to the full results: page.

Land Comparison of CMIP5 and CMIP6 Models

We examine the performance of historical simulations from a selection of coupled Earth system models with a contribution in the CMIP5 and CMIP6 eras.



Land Comparison of CMIP6 Models

This land-focused study includes coupled model results for the historical experiment from the CMIP6 ers. We also use this as a testing ground to include new datasets and additional models. If you have a suggestion of a reference dataset or would like to request we include a model, please raise an issue.



Land Comparison of Offline CMIP6 Models

We examine performance differences among a selection of land models (CLM, ISBA-CTRIP, and JSBACH) run using different forcings (GSWP3, CRUJRA, and Princeton).



Ocean Comparison of CMIP5 and CMIP6 Models

While the focus of ILAMB has been on land, we also have used the software to compare ocean model output which we refer to as international Ocean Model Benchmarking (IOMB). This study is analagious to the land comparison of CMIPS and CMIPS or models over the historical period.



https://www.ilamb.org

International Land Model Benchmarking

ILAMB



ILAMB development is primarily performed by the RUBISCO Science Focus Area and supported by the RGMA Activity of the EESD division of the BER program in the DDE's Office at Science.

Earth-System-Diagnostics-Stand	ards / EMDS	Cl Type [2] to search					n e
↔ Code ⊙ Issues 5 17 Pull reques	ts 💿 Actions 🖽 Projects 💿	Security 🗠 Insights					
EMDS Public			· Watch · 0	- 💡 Fork			Star D
j main + P1branch ⊙0tags		Ge to file Add file *	<> Code -	About			
acordonez Fix governance link		44074 KE ION 607 24	3 62 committa	Earth Syste Standards	m Metr	ics an	d Diagno
README.md	Fix governmente link		3 months app	III Readme			
📑 standards.md	Marge pull request 200 from Earth-Sy	stem-Diegnostics-Stenderds/	A months aga	Activity			
E README.md				 O watch U a test 	ng		

Releases

Packages

No mbiones publishes

No packages published

Contributors 4

dneelin

acordonez Ana Ordonez

🚍 aradhakrishnanGFDL Anama Radha...

Ikrasting John Krasting

Earth System Metrics and Diagnostics Standards (EMDS)

The Earth System Metrics and Diagnostics Standards serve as a guidance document for the design of Earth system metrics and diagnostics based software utilities. The standards document provides requirements for software interfaces, metadata, and metrics output format that are designed to promote the interoperability of software packages and reproducibility of results.

Standards document

The Earth System Metrics and Diagnostics Standards can be accessed in this repository at standards.mu. This is a living document that can be updated at any time. The procedures for updating the standards is provided in the <u>Covertance section</u>.

Background and aims

The Earth System Metrics and Diagnostics Standards arose from a collaboration on common standards between the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Model Diagnostic Task Force (MDTF) https://www.gith.meas.gov/mdtf-diagnostics.and the Department of Energy Coordinated Model Evaluation Capabilities (CMEC) effort https://cmec.ilini.gov/. The MDTF-CMEC coordination has been a bottom-up scientific collaboration, initiated in 2018 by P. Ulirich (CMEC) and D. Neelin (MDTF), recognizing the usefulness of a common standard and design commonalities that facilitate this.

The EMDF aims to foster interoperability of climate and weather model evaluation tools across agencies and among research groups, facilitating the flow of diagnostics from process research to improvement of climate models and helping to create a natural continuum between performance metrics and process oriented diagnostics. Outreach to additional agencies with related model evaluation requirements is underway.

Projects

Carl = 2020 (Retails loss

Projects that make use of these standards include Coordinated Model Evaluation Capabilities (CMEC) and NOAA Model Diagnostics Task Force (MDTF).

CMEC LLNL, ORNL, LBNL Dept of Energy





NOAA-MDTF NOAA-GFDL, UCLA, U/NCAR, PNNL, Colorado State, Florida State, UCD, UW Dept of Commerce/NOAA

https://github.com/ Earth-System-Diagnostics-Standards

Thank You!

Questions, suggestions..? <u>durack1@llnl.gov</u>

Contact <u>cmip-ipo@esa.int</u> with any questions or feedback. More information can be found at <u>wcrp-cmip.org</u>

Work completed by the PCMDI project is funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, Regional and Global Model Analysis Program







Extra slides



WCRP-CMIP / CMIP6_CVs		Q. Type 🛛 to	SEARCH	2 + • 0 11 0			
⇔ Code ⊙ Issues 7 □ Pull reque	sts 🖓 Discussions 💿 Actions	🗄 Projects 🧃 🖽 W	/iki 🕕 Security 4	🗠 Insights 🔅 Settings			
CMIP6_CVs Public		Sz Edit Pins +	Olowatch 18 -	💱 Fork 74 - 🌟 Starred 128 -			
P master + P 4 branches © 351	lags	Go to file Add	file - <> Code -	About			
durack1 Revise E3SM-2-0-NARRM #	source_jd license history (#1200)	🛷 Ste44a3 last we	sk: (1,657 commits)	Controlled Vocabularies (CVs) for use in CMIP6			
.github	Issue1100 durack1 deregister BNU+E	5M-1-1 and Institution_id Bi	w.) last year	D Readme			
docs	Revise E3SM-2-0-NARRM source_id	license history (#1200)	last week	?v Activity			
src .	Revise E3SM-2-0-NARRM source_id	license bistory (#1200)	last week	 12 stars 18 watching 			
igitignore	Update to collection versioning; 6.2.1		6 years ago	양 74 forks			
CMIP6_DRS.json	Revian E3SM-2-0-NARRM source_id	(icanse bistory (=1200)	lasz week	Report repository			
CMIP6_activity_id.json	Revise E35M-2-0-NARRM source_id	license history (#1200)	last week				
CMIP6_experiment_id.json	Revise ESSM-2-0-NARRM source_id	Releases					
CMIP6_frequency.ison	Revise E3SM-2-0-NARRM source_id	😳 351 tagx					
CMIP6_grid_label.json	Revise E3SM-2-0-NARRM source_id	license history (#1200)	last week	Contrin & new Indexist			
CMIP6_institution_id.json	Revise E35M-2-0-NARRM source_id	license history (#1200)	last week				
CMIP6_license.json	Revise E3SM-2-0-NARRM source_id	Revise E3SM-2-0-NARRM source_id license history (#1200) last week					
CMIP6_nominal_resolution.json	Revise E35M-2-0-NARRM source_id	Revise E35M-2-0-NARM source_id (cause history (#1200) last week					
CMIP6_realm.json	Revise E3SM-2-0-NARRM source_id	license history (#1200)	last week				
CMIP6_required_global_attributes	Revise E3SM-2-0-NARRM source_id	license bistory (=1200)	tast week	Contributors 6			
CMIP6_source_id.json	Revise ESSM-2-0-NARRM source_id	license history (#1200)	last week	00000			
CMIP6_source_type.json	Revise E3SM-2-0-NARRM source_Id	license history (#1200)	last week				
CMIP6_sub_experiment_id.json	Revise E3SM-2-0-NARRM source_Id	license history (#1200)	last week	Environments 1			
CMIP6_table_id.json	Revise E3SM-2-0-NARRM source_id	license history (#1200)	last week	github-pages (Active			
README.md	Revise E3SM-2-0-NARRM source_id	licanse history (#1200)	last week				
🗋 _config.yml	Set theme jekyll-theme-cayman		4 years ago	Languages			
mip_era.json	Revise E35M-2-0-NARRM source_id	license history (=1200)	bast week				
				Buthon Still Plan & Shall O The			

https://github.com/ WCRP-CMIP/CMIP6_CVs

E README.md

CMIP6_CVs Current Version 162.58.56

Core Controlled Vocabularies (CVs) for use in CMIP6

Registering Institutions, Models, or requesting changes to CVs:

To register your institution or model or to register a new experiment, please submit an issuediticket following the instructions on the CMIP6_CVa issue page. Follow the same procedure to request a change in any other CV.

Some support for CMIP participating modeling groups is available: pcmdi-cmip@linl.gov

To view current repository contents in HTML format, point your browser to:

CF Metadata Conventions

The CF metadata conventions are designed to promote the processing and sharing of files created with the NetCDF API. The conventions define metadata that provide a definitive description of what the data in each variable represents, and the spatial and temporal properties of the data. This enables users of data from different sources to decide which quantities are comparable, and facilitates building applications with powerful extraction, regridding, and display capabilities. The CF convention includes a standard name table, which defines strings that identify physical quantities.

Conventions: Latest release (1.10) HTML PDF . Working draft HTML PDF

Vocabularies: Standard names 🔸 Area types 🔸 Standardized regions

CF is developed through open discussion on GitHub. If you would like to propose a change, make a suggestion, report a problem or ask a question, please see here. Changes are decided according to the CF governance arrangements. The CF community embraces a philosophy of producing excellence by maintaining an open and welcoming culture and an environment that promotes debate and inquiry in a respectful, bold and intellectually rigorous fashion.

Initially CF was developed for gridded data from climate and forecast models (hence "CF") of the atmosphere and ocean, but its use has subsequently extended to other geosciences, and to observations as well as numerical models. The use of CF is recommended where applicable by Unidata.

Quick links

See also the links in the navigation bar at the top of this page.

- · Current issues: general discussion (including standard names), conventions, this website (including governance)
- CF GitHub organisation
- CF FAQ
- · List of software for working with CF
- · Paper describing the CF data model and reference software
- Overview of CF basics as a presentation and paper

Contact the CF community with questions, comments and suggestions about CF metadata or this website

This site is open source. Improve this page!

http://cfconventions.org

PCMDI / mip-cmor-tables		Q. Type (2) to snal			n 🛋 💮		
Code 💿 Issues 🔳 🎵 Pull requests	1 Discussions Actions	Projecta III Wiki	🗇 Security 🖂	Insights 💿 Settings			
S mip-cmor-tables		S. Eat Pris	- Ofwatch 6		Star Vi –		
Pimain + Passanches Dotage		Go to file Add file	• O Code •	About			
durackt Merge pull request my from I	CMDi/issue16_durack1_eddAcknowled	ai trainna 3 wanna Ag	0 12 comme	JSON tables for CMOR3 to Model Intercomparison Pro datasets	o create oject (MIP)		
Tables	merge we to date changes with almost Nov	thonal CARPOTAbles (#12)		III Readme			
mip_cmor_tables	merge up to date utenges with elmost tunc	tional Chillinitables (REE)	6 months ago	48 CC0-10 Iceniii			
E 100	lifed funcs from juptyee notableos #7	10 montrollage					
C .gitignore	Initial commit			☆ 5 mm			
CMOR_input_example.json	merge up to date changes with emost tune	6 months ago	V Thok Vesor lepository				
C LICENSE	initial ##mmm	last year					
README.md	adding yoknowledgements		2 years ago				
my_input.json	merge up to date unanges with almost fun	tional CMIPGtables (#12)	6 months ago	Releases			
test_python_1D_var_segfaults.py	merge up to date changes with almost fun	6 months App	He princes patiented				
test_python_10_var_works.py	merge up to data changes with almost func	5 months (199)					
README.md		0	Packages				
mip-cmor-tables				No backingin published. Publiki you? hivit publish			
JSON tables for CMOR3 to create N	odel Intercomparison Project (MIP) da	dasets		Contributors 2			
THIS REPOSITORY IS CURRI	ENTLY UNDER ACTIVE DEVELO	PMENT		matthew-mizielinski	atthony Mitsia		

Contributors

Thanks to our contributors!

Acknowledgement

The repository content has been developed by climate and computer scientists representing the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 6 (CMIP6) and earlier phases, including those from climate modeling groups and model intercomparison projects (MIPs) worldwide. A special mention to Dr. Martin Juckes from the UK Centre for Environmental Data Analysis (CEDA) for leading efforts in the CMIPS Data Request. The structure of repository content and tools required to maintain it was developed by climate and computer scientists from the Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison (PCMCI) at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) and the UK MeLOffice, with assistance from colleagues at the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project International Project Office (CMIP-IPO), the Deutsches Klimarechenzentrum (DIGRZ) in Germany and the members of the Infrastructure for the European Network for Earth System Modelling (15-EMES) consortium.

This work is sponsored by the Regional and Global Model Analysis (RGMA) program of the Earth and Environmental Systems Sciences Division (EESSO) in the Office of Biological and Environmental Research (BER) within the Department of Energy's (DOE) Office of Science (OS). The work at PCMDI is performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.



O 0 2021 01444. -

📄 durackt Pisal J. Durack Languages Jupyter Notebook 71.5% Python 216.6%

https://github.com/PCMDI/ mip-cmor-tables/

Time-evolving *MIP external forcing

Forcing/MIP era	AMIP1	AMIP2	CMIP1	CMIP2	CMIP3 ^a 20c3m	CMIP5 ^b historical	CMIP6 ^c historical
SST & sea ice	Y	Y			Y (AMIP)	Y (AMIP)	√ (AMIP)
Greenhouse gases		Y (fixed: CO ₂ , CH ₄ , N ₂ O)	Y (fixed)	Y (fixed and 1% idealized)	Y (~5 species)	Y (~9 species)	$\sqrt{(46 \text{ species})}$
Ozone		Y?	Y (fixed)	Y (fixed)	1⁄2	Y	\checkmark
Sulphate aerosols (in/direct)					½ / Y	²⁄5 / Y	\checkmark
Black/Organic carbon					1/2 1/2	4⁄5	\checkmark
Land use change					1⁄3	3⁄4	√ (4 states)
Solar irradiance		Y(fixed)	Y (fixed)	Y (fixed)	1/2	9/10	\checkmark
Volcanic aerosols					1/2	9/10 (3 variants)	\checkmark
Nitrogen deposition							$\sqrt{(4 \text{ species})}$
Total varying forcings	2	2	0	1 (idealized)	~15	~24	~63