Machine learning is a useful tool to predict and understand sea-ice motion.
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Predictability

Machine learning models can be used to predict sea-ice dynamics and are more computationally efficient than traditional physics-based models.

Understanding sea-ice motion

As the ice melts it is becoming more responsive to wind forcing.

wind factor: ratio of sea-ice speed to wind speed

The wind factor is increasing!
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Predictability

Machine learning models can make skillful predictions of sea-ice motion, with a few caveats.

Understanding sea-ice motion

Machine learning methods confirm historical results that wind velocity has the largest relevance in determining sea-ice velocity.
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While the Chukchi Sea plays a critical role in modulating the heat content of Pacific Water subducted into the Beaufort Gyre halocline on seasonal timescales, inconclusive observational evidence leaves the Chukchi Sea’s role in this heat transport unclear.
Evaluation of GFDL coupled climate models for western Arctic seasonal heat budgets
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Qin: Bering Strait Inflow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Woodgate 2018</th>
<th>CMIP6 CM4 PI</th>
<th>CMIP6 CM4 Hist</th>
<th>High Res PI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( T_{vol} ) [Sv]</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sum T_{heat} ) [10^{20} J]</td>
<td>4.38 ± 1.20</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.40</td>
<td>2.63 ± 0.45</td>
<td>3.13 ± 0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simulated transports and fluxes are low relative to the observational estimate, however there is improved agreement between the observational estimates and the High Resolution simulation.

QSurface: Surface Winds

For all three simulations, surface wind speed biases are weaker in summer when air-sea heat fluxes are strongest.
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Gateway to the gateway to the Arctic: Oxygen export from the Labrador Sea
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- Oxygen measurements at 53N
- Increased oxygen levels due to direct export of recently convected Labrador Sea Water
- 1.6 Tmol/year of southward oxygen export from newly ventilated LSW (50% of interior uptake)
• Convection in boundary current as well as interior
• Impacted by input of cold, fresh, high-oxygen water from the Arctic near the surface

Figure from Wang et al. (2018)
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3D reconstruction of upper ocean dynamics in the Nordic and Beaufort Seas.

Assessment of the Surface Quasi-Geostrophic Approach
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- **OBJECTIVE** → See if surface information may be used to reconstruct 3D ocean dynamics in two key areas of the Arctic Ocean

- **METHOD** → Surface Quasi-Geostrophy (eSQG)
  - Reconstruction from SSH:
    \[
    \hat{\psi}_\eta(\vec{k}, z) = \exp(n_0 k z) \hat{\psi}_\phi(\vec{k})
    \]
    \[
    \psi_s(\vec{x}) = \frac{g}{f_0} \eta(\vec{x})
    \]
  - Reconstruction from SSB:
    \[
    \hat{\psi}_s(\vec{k}, z) = \frac{1}{n_0 f_0 k} \exp(n_0 k z) \hat{b}_s(\vec{k})
    \]
    \[
    \hat{b}_s(\vec{x}) = \frac{g}{\rho_0} \theta^s(\vec{x})
    \]
  - Reconstruction from SSV:
    \[
    \theta_{tot}(\vec{k}, z) = \exp(n_0 k z) \theta_s(\vec{k})
    \]

Contact Marta Umbert at → mumbert@icm.csic.es
3D reconstruction of upper ocean dynamics in the Nordic and Beaufort Seas. Assessment of the Surface Quasi-Geostrophic Approach

- Reconstruction from SSH and model geostrophic current, show good agreement (corr.>0.8) up to 400 meters.
- Reconstruction from SSV and model total currents, exhibit fairly good agreement (corr.>0.6) up to 200 meters.
- Reconstructions are better in the winter and spring when the water column is less stratified.
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Toward understanding future development of Arctic using FESOM2
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- Existing CMIP models tend to underestimate the strength of mesoscale eddies.

We planned to apply the 4.5-1km grid of FESOM2.

- Arctic sea ice is expected to decline in the future, somehow affecting strength of future Beaufort flows.

![Arctic sea ice extent graph](image)

**Year**

- Obs. Mar.
- Obs. Sep.

- a. SSH 1995-2014
- b. SSH 2041-2060
- c. SSH 2081-2100

![SSH maps](image)

**Arctic SSH: m, AWICM forced**
- In this recent study, high resolution model (1km) clearly captures more eddy activities than low resolution model.

- Stronger eddy activity in summer compared to winter reflects role of Arctic sea ice change.

- Using 4.5km resolution model, changes of Arctic eddy activities can still be observed.

- In 2100, sea ice may be largely receding, Arctic eddy activities would be greatly enhanced. These results contribute to simulations applying future 1km grids.
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# Oceanic Fluxes Across Arctic Gateways in the Regional Arctic System Model (RASM)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>CESM-LR</th>
<th>CESM-HR</th>
<th>RASM-1deg</th>
<th>RASM-9km</th>
<th>RASM-2km</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name (Experiment)</td>
<td>CESM1-CAM5-SE-LR (hist-1950)</td>
<td>CESM1-CAM5-SE-HR (hist-1950)</td>
<td>R2200tCcdaa01f (hindcast)</td>
<td>R2200rGcsph02f (hindcast)</td>
<td>R2300uGcspn01f (hindcast)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctic Ocean (&gt;65 N)</td>
<td>7.8 ~ 72 km (45 km)</td>
<td>2.7~7.0 km (5.0 km)</td>
<td>7.8 ~ 72 km (45 km)</td>
<td>8.5 ~ 9.3 km (9.2 km)</td>
<td>2.1 ~ 2.3 km (2.3 km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical # of Ocean</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atm.-Ocean-Ice-Land Models (forcing)</td>
<td>CAM5.2-POP2-CICE4-CLM4</td>
<td>POP2-CICE6 (JRA55-do)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Volume Flux (Sv=10(^6) m(^3)/s)</td>
<td>BS 0.77</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BSO 1.91</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DS -1.49</td>
<td>-2.79</td>
<td>-1.21</td>
<td>-1.72</td>
<td>-2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FS -1.18</td>
<td>-2.61</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-1.86</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctic Ocean Heat Convergence (TW)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Net volume fluxes across the Arctic main gateways varies between the simulations; the higher resolution, the larger fluxes across the gateways.

CESM high resolution simulation may overestimate heat fluxes into the Arctic since sea ice almost disappears during summer of 2002.

The low resolution models exhibit lack of skills representing coastal currents such as Norwegian Coastal Current, which is critical to understand the connection between the Arctic and the sub-Arctic regions.

Hence, improved observational flux estimates are necessary to constrain ocean and other climate models.

Also, Arctic-wide balanced volume exchanges are needed across the gateways.
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Understanding circulation in the eastern Arctic Ocean from NABOS observations
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Fairbanks, Alaska
Atlantification: Atlantic water was $\sim 1^\circ C$ warmer in the 1990s compared with the 1970 and $0.24^\circ C$ warmer in 2007 compared with the 1990s.

Pnyushkov and Polyakov 2022
**New Arctic Ocean:**

Sea ice loss due to stronger oceanic heat flux caused by weaker stratification and deep ocean winter ventilation

Blue arrow shows penetrative winter ventilation to the depths exceeding 140m. *Polyakov et al.* (2020).
Increasing in time correlation between wind and upper ocean currents/shear suggests stronger air-ice-ocean coupling

Polyakov et al., 2020
2021 NABOS cruise
Conclusions

• Recent loss of cold halocline layer in the eastern Eurasian Basin makes this region similar to the western Eurasian Basin -> “atlantification” - a fundamental step toward a new Arctic climate state.

• Consequences include change of intensity of the upper ocean circulation and shear.

• The role of remote and local freshwater anomalies in establishing the observed changes in the eastern Arctic Ocean is not well constrained.