# European perspective: global high resolution modelling plans

#### **Malcolm Roberts**

with many thanks to Noel Keenlyside, Dian Putrasahan, Johann Jungclaus, Pier Luigi Vidale, Thomas Jung, Chris Roberts, Eduardo Moreno-Chamarro

# Projects including global high resolution modelling component

- EU projects
  - Finished:
    - PRIMAVERA
  - Ongoing:
    - nextGEMS next generation Earth Modelling Systems is building prototypes for a new generation of earth system models to advance science, guide policy, and inform applications to support the sustainable management of our planet.
  - Starting 2023:
    - EERIE European Eddy-rich Earth System Models
    - Use global coupled ~10km resolution in atmosphere and ocean, and run for centennialmulti-centennial timescales
- International
  - HighResMIP
    - successfully contributed to IPCC AR6 with ~25km resolution atmosphere+coupled models, 1950-2050 timescale
    - now discussing experimental designs, resolutions etc moving forwards towards CMIP7

#### CMIP6 HighResMIP simulations

Physical model only x 2 resolutions, simplified aerosol optical properties (MACv2-SP) recommended





#### PRIMAVERA/HighResMIP papers related to Gulf Stream/air-sea interaction

Bellucci, A., and Coauthors, 2021: Air-sea interactions over the Gulf Stream in an ensemble of HighResMIP present climate simulations. Clim. Dyn., accepted. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-020-05573-z</u>.

Grist, J.P., S. A. Josey, B. Sinha, J. L. Catto, M. J. Roberts, A.C. Coward, 2021: Future evolution of an eddy rich ocean leads to enhanced east Atlantic storminess in a coupled model projection. GRL, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL092719</u>.

Moreno-Chamarro, E., L.-P. Caron, P. Ortega, S. L. Tomas, M. J. Roberts, 2021: Can we trust CMIP5/6 future projections of European winter precipitation? ERL, <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abf28a</u>.

Moreton, S. and coauthors, 2021: The importance of the ocean-atmosphere resolution ratio for SST- heat flux feedbacks over mesoscale eddies in coupled climate models. Geophys. Res. Lett., https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL095407.

Tsartsali, E. E., Haarsma, R. J., Athanasiadis, P. J., Bellucci, A., de Vries, H., Drijfhout, S., de Vries, I. E., Putrasahan, D., Roberts, M. J., Sanchez-Gomez, E., Roberts, C. D., 2021: Impact of resolution on the atmosphere-ocean coupling along the Gulf stream in global high resolution models. Clim. Dyn. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-021-06098-9</u>.,

Wu, P., M. Roberts, G. Martin, X. Chen, T. Zhou, P. L. Vidale, 2018: The impact of horizontal atmospheric resolution in modelling air-sea fluxes. QJRMS, 145, 3271-3283, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3618</u>.



Snapshots of ocean surface current speed at different ocean model resolutions

CMIP multicentennial timescales 1850-2100

CMIP6 HighResMIP multi-decadal timescales 1950-2050

EERIE both centennial & multi-centennial 1950-2100 & 1850-2100

nextGEMS years - several decades











3.0 1.5 Resolution difference -1.5

-3.0

-1.0

-2.5



Multi-model mean SST difference between high and low resolution coupled models 5 models used, which have a different

ocean resolution Stippling indicates where at least half the models agree on the sign

 $\sum_{models} \frac{(RMSE(HRi) - RMSE(LRi))}{nmodels}$ 

<sup>2.5</sup> Resolution RMSE difference

Multi-model mean of the change in SST bias between high and low resolution coupled models (using RMS difference from EN4 1950-54 mean)

5 models used, which have a different ocean resolution

Stippling indicates where at least half the models agree on the sign

Models with different ocean resolutions: HadGEM3-GC3.1, ECMWF-IFS, EC-Earth3P, AWI-CM1.0, CNRM-CM6

Co-funded by the European Union





Stippling in (a) indicates anomalies in HH falling outside a distribution including anomalies from all the other resolutions

Result needs **both** atmosphere and ocean resolution

Finer ocean resolution

Moreno-Chamarro et al., ERL, 2021; Grist et al., GRL, 2021.

## **FRIMAVER**A

#### Hemispheric impact of Gulf Stream errors in subseasonal forecasts

Chris D. Roberts (chris.roberts@ecmwf.int), F. Vitart, and M. A. Balmaseda CECMWF

ECMWF S2S forecasts have errors in the position of the Gulf Stream.

Correcting these errors improves the atmospheric mean state and simulation of circulation anomalies.

Forecast skill is improved in the Atlantic and beyond, with impacts following the subtropical waveguide.

These results demonstrate the potential benefits of higher-resolution ocean models that can better resolve the position of the Gulf Stream.

Roberts, C. D., Vitart, F., & Balmaseda, M. A. (2021). Hemispheric impact of North Atlantic SSTs in subseasonal forecasts. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48, e2020GL091446. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL091446





Eddy trajectories lasting longer than 6 months

Anti-cyclonic in red, cyclonic in blue Moreton et al. 2020, Ocean Modelling Turbulent heat flux anomaly over composited eddies

• 
$$< THF' >= \alpha < SST' >$$

Feedback coefficient (W/m<sup>2</sup>/K) from SST on

(•) ocean grid (ideal)

(◀) atmosphere grid (actual, via coupler)

N216-ORCA025

Damping is too weak when atmosphere grid is not fine enough – implications for fluxes and eddy lifetimes



Moreton et al. 2020, 2021

### The EU H2020 NextGEMS project

https://nextgems-h2020.eu/



next GEMS

- two prototype stormresolving ESMs (ICON-A/O, IFS/FESOM)
- produce multi-decadal projections of future climate change.

Models: AWI-CM-XR: OpenIFS/FESOM:

ICON-ESM: ICON-A/ICON-O:

## ICON @ 80km and 5km

globally 2.5-5 km atm./ocean

**Courtesy: Johann Jungclaus** 

# Geographical distribution of coupling between downwind SST gradient & wind stress divergence



30°S

60°5

180°

120°W

60°W

0°

Hourly

60°E

120°E

180

- On timescales shorter than daily, this coupling (if there is any) is masked by atmospheric synoptic variability
- Persistent is needed for the mechanism to be observed

#### Courtesy: Dian Putrasahan



Figure 16. Map of the local Rossby number over the North Atlantic at 20-m depth for G\_O\_tel with close-ups of the grid focal region on the right. Hohenegger et al., in prep.

### The HEurope EU EERIE project European Eddy-Rich ESMs

Key science question: what is the role of the ocean mesoscale in climate?

Key development: similar atmosphere and ocean resolutions

**Simulations**: four coupled models with eddyrich (<10km) ocean and ~10km atmosphere, either full CMIP 1850-2100 or HighResMIP 1950-2100 experiments 17 partners4 years starting Jan 2023

**Analysis:** assess and understand ocean mesoscale (boundary currents, eddies) and interactions with atmosphere from sub-daily to decadal/centennial timescales

**Experimental designs**: work with HighResMIP and other communities to develop new experiments, tools to elucidate mesoscale impacts and compare to observations **Technical**: *Develop in-line* and other tools for improved, automated diagnostic production (e.g. cyclone, eddy tracking) and assessment *Develop and improve* models for these scales Optimise throughput

#### The ocean mesoscale in the global climate system



Schematic illustrating links from local ocean mesoscale processes up to global teleconnections

## Common themes with workshop

Experiments and experimental designs

Additional HighResMIP experiments to help link with observations and understanding?
perhaps a case study that would bring in lots of observations and enable
comparison without the mediation of reanalysis
Multi-model results to help distinguish physical processes and resolution
Pull in extra (non-climate) groups e.g. those not able to do multi-decadal

Diagnostics

High frequency information needs to be automatically diagnosed Multi-level high frequency outputs become overwhelming for storage and sharing

Resolution vs physics vs ensemble size

Well defined questions to choose which axis to push down

## Something not yet mentioned

Signal to noise

The real world has more NAO predictability than our models - what are we missing? Is this physics, or resolution, or

processes such as air-sea interactions?



**Fig. 1** Predictability of the North Atlantic Oscillation in the real world (black) is higher than the predictability in the model (blue). The effects of ensemble size on seasonal hindcasts of the winter North Atlantic Oscillation are plotted. The black line shows the average correlation score when different size ensemble averages are correlated with the observed NAO ( $r_{mo}$ ). The blue line shows the same quantity when ensemble means are correlated with a single forecast member ( $r_{mm}$ ). The black dotted line is a theoretical fit to the solid black line.<sup>23</sup> The skill grows with ensemble size due to the suppression of unpredictable noise, but in principle the curves should be the same. In practice the model is better able to predict the real world than itself. Data are from the GloSea5 forecast system<sup>23</sup>

Scaife and Smith, 2018