#### Arctic Change and Possible Influence on Midlatitude Climate and Weather

### Workshop Summary

J. Cohen, X. Zhang, J. Francis, T. Jung, R. Kwok and J. Overland July 20, 2017



## **ARCTIC AMPLIFICATION**

### **Sea Ice Decline**



### Sea Ice and Snow Cover Decline



### **Annual Cycle of Arctic Temperatures**



Courtesy of Fred Laliberte/Lawrence Mudryk

### Sea Ice loss and full AA



Sea ice loss is not the biggest contributor to AA

Pithan and Mauritsen (2014)

# Sensible heat flux and downwelling longwave radiation



Courtesy of Tingting Gong (Units: W m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>)

### WARM ARCTIC-COLD CONTINENTS/EURASIA



#### **Arctic Warmth reaches to the Stratosphere**



hPa-3.0-2.6-2.2-1.8-1.4-1.0-0.6-0.20.2 0.6 1.0 1.4 1.8 2.2 2.6 3.0

### **Arctic Amplification**



Cohen et al. 2014 Review paper

#### **Arctic Amplification - Jet Stream**

Figure 3:

Schematic of a typical jet stream trajectory (solid line) over North America and the expected elongation of ridge peaks northward (dashed line) in response to Arctic Amplification.

# **Extensive Snow Forced Cold Signal**



# **Reduced Sea Ice Forced Cold Signal**

#### observations





Some model runs forced with low sea ice have been able to simulate atmospheric response as observed.

Kim et al. 2014

### Synthesis of Sea Ice and Snow Cover



Cohen et al. 2014 Review paper

### **Challenges with Data and Models**

- Scarcity of observations in the Arctic
- Short time series in observations since AA
- Model deficiencies
- Uncoordinated modeling studies
- Biases and uncertainties in metrics for quantitative analysis
- The climate system is complicated

### **Scarcity of Arctic Observation Stations**



Courtesy of Wendy Ermold, University of Washington

### **Mid-latitude Weather is Complicated**



Cohen et al. 2014

### Modeling Studies on Linkage Between Arctic Change and Mid-latitude Climate and Weather - Progresses and Challenges

**Xiangdong Zhang and Judah Cohen** 



#### Arctic warming forced changes in SAT



Kug et al. 2015

#### However, other model simulations show diversified results



McCusker et al. 2016

#### Atmospheric dynamics linking Arctic sea ice retreat/warming to midlatitude climate and weather



#### Non-robust AO/NAO responses (Doug Smith et al., US CLIVAR Workshop)



- Negative NAO (DJF, mslp, hPa)
- Deser et al 2016; Honda et al 2009; Seierstad and Bader 2009; Mori et al 2014; Kim et al 2014; Peings and Magnusdottir 2014; Nakamura et al 2015 ...

#### Little NAO response

• Screen et al. 2013; Petrie et al 2015; Blackport and Kushner 2016 ...



#### Positive NAO

• Screen et al 2014; Singarayer et al 2006; Strey et al 2010; Orsolini et al 2012; Rinke et al 2013; Cassano et al 2014 ...

#### NAO response that depends on the forcing

• Alexander et al 2004; Petoukhov and Semenov 2010; Sun et al. 2015; Pedersen et al 2016; Chen et al 2016

#### Does AO/NAO really play a role in linking Arctic and midlatitudes?



Atmospheric circulation dynamics: A spatial pattern shift and the Arctic Rapid change Pattern (ARP)

The rapidly changed Arctic from the mid-1990s to the early 2000s provide an opportunity to detect this circulation change signal.

Zhang et al. 2008



In the mid-1990s



#### An increase in frequency of occurrence of negative ARP during recent



#### Emergence of the ARP pattern in the fully coupled model experiment: CESM1 RCP 8.5 forcing experiment

#### Sea Ice Loss Related Responses





2 m Air Temperature

SLP

Blackport and Kushner 2017

#### Polar Amplification – Multi-model Intercomparison Project (PA-MIP) - D. Smith et al., partially supported by the H2020 APLICATE

Experiment – Time Slice			Forcing
1. AMIP	Control		Present-day Climatological SST and Sea Ice (SIC)
	SST	рі	Pre-industry SST
		2 degree	Future 2 degree warming SST
	Arctic SIC	pi	Pre-industry SIC
		2 degree	Future 2 degree warming SIC
	Antarctic SIC	pi	Pre-industry SIC
		2 degree	Future 2 degree warming SIC
2. Coupled	Control		Constrained by Present-day Climatological SIC
	Arctic SIC	pi	Constrained by Pre-industry SIC
		2 degree	Constrained by Future 2 degree warming SIC
	Antarctic SIC	рі	Constrained by Pre-industry SIC
		2 degree	Constrained by Future 2 degree warming SIC

#### **Summary**

- No consensus has been reached among the modeling studies;
- Dynamic process linking Arctic and midlatitude has not been well understood, impacting selection of metrics to evaluate model performance;
- Uncertainties exist in defining and prescribing forcing in AGCM or CGCM simulations;
- Impacts of model systematic biases have not been well investigated;
- Influence or modulation by tropical and midlatitude forcing remains unclear.

#### Proposed effort

- Coordinated modeling experiments and analysis same design, forcing, and analysis metrics but different models.
  - PA-MIP: A great component.