





SOIL CARBON DYNAMICS: IMPROVING MODEL-OBSERVATION INTEGRATION

Serita D. Frey
University of New Hampshire




- 
- Background
 - Observations from climate change experiments
 - Highlight some of the challenges to understanding and modeling soil carbon cycle-climate interactions (temperature, microbial physiology, and N feedbacks)
 - Research Needs (observations and modeling)







- Uncertainty in observational data
- Variation in modeled NPP
- Differences in how T_s represented
- Models don't incorporate processes important for soil C stabilization/destabilization

Modeling Soil Carbon Pools and Fluxes




DAYCENT soil organic matter model


Historical and Emerging Views of Soil C Cycling



Soil Temperature




Baker and Baker (2002)





Jacobs *et al.* (2011)

Soil T increase of $0.037\text{-}0.049^{\circ}\text{C yr}^{-1}$


Temperature Response of Soil C Flux



Temperature Response of Soil C Flux







Soil Respiration in Response to 5°C Warming




Soil Warming Stimulates the Nitrogen Cycle

Harvard Forest (Barre Woods)



Estimated increase in N availability: 27 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹

Net Carbon Balance in Response to Soil Warming Harvard Forest (Barre Woods)



Ecosystem Responses to Experimental Warming


Global meta-analysis of 85 studies

| | Warming |
|--------------------------|--------------|
| Total biomass | 0 0 0 + (7) |
| Aboveground biomass | + + + + (32) |
| Belowground biomass | -0 0 0 (6) |
| TNPP | + + + + (6) |
| ANPP | 0 0 0 0 (18) |
| BNPP | + + + + (5) |
| Ecosystem respiration | + + + + (28) |
| Aboveground respiration | + + + + (2) |
| Soil respiration | + 0 + + (27) |
| Net ecosystem exchange* | 0 0 0 0 (26) |
| Ecosystem photosynthesis | + + + + (24) |

Soil respiration increased 12% on average


Short-term studies do not anticipate longer term responses

Harvard Forest Soil Warming Study




Heated plots:
5°C above ambient


Changes in Carbon Release in Response to Warming
Heated vs. Disturbance Control, 1991-2003



Increases in Nitrogen Mineralization in Response to Warming
Heated vs. Disturbance Control, 1991-2003



Melillo et al. (2002)



Research Needs


Observations

- Is there differential temperature sensitivity of various SOM compounds?
- What are the mechanisms underlying the reduced respiratory response following long-term warming?


Modeling


- Better capture temperature responses, including “acclimation” of the soil C flux in response to long-term warming

Modeling Microbes






DAYCENT soil organic matter model







Temperature Response of Microbial Efficiency






Soil C Response to varying Microbial Efficiency (DAYCENT)






Soil C Response to varying Microbial Efficiency

(Allison et al., 2010)




Soil C Response to varying Microbial Efficiency

(Weider et al., 2013)



Divergent model responses of global soil C pools



Research Needs

Observations


- Is there differential temperature sensitivity of various SOM compounds?
- What are the mechanisms underlying the reduced respiratory response following long-term warming?
- What are the key regulators of microbial C use efficiency?

Modeling

- Better capture temperature responses, including “acclimation” of the soil C flux in response to long-term warming
- Incorporate microbial physiology and other soil biogeochemical mechanisms into ESMs


Coupled Biogeochemical Cycles: Nitrogen Deposition and Soil Carbon Storage

Changes in the woody biomass carbon pool
of northern temperate and boreal forests




Terrestrial biomass C sink: 0.68 ± 0.34 Pg


Myneni et al. (2001)





Galloway et al. (2004)



Carbon Stocks in Control and Nitrogen Fertilized Plots



Soil Carbon Stocks



*Forest floor only


**Forest floor plus mineral soil

Carbon Sequestration in Temperate Forests per unit Nitrogen Added

| Study location | Study duration (yr) | Nitrogen inputs (kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹) | Carbon response (kg C kg ⁻¹ N) | | | Reference |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|-----------------|-------|----------------------------------|
| | | | Trees | Soil | Total | |
| N. America & Europe (9 sites) | 1-3 | 4-58 | 25 [†] | 21 | 46 | Nadelhoffer <i>et al.</i> (1999) |
| Europe (121 plots) | 40 | 2.8 | 11 ^δ | 15 | 26 | de Vries <i>et al.</i> (2006) |
| Finland, Sweden (15 sites) | 14-30 | 30-200 | 25 | 11 | 26 | Hyvönen <i>et al.</i> (2008) |
| Michigan, USA (4 sites) | 10 | 30 | 0 | 14 | 14 | Zak <i>et al.</i> (2008) |
| Meta-analysis (20 experiments) | -- | 28-300 | -- | 19 ^ε | -- | Janssens <i>et al.</i> (2010) |
| Deciduous stand (MA, USA) | 20 | 50 | 10 | 10 | 20 | This study |
| Deciduous stand (MA, USA) | 20 | 150 | 5 | 25 | 30 | This study |
| Pine stand (MA, USA) | 20 | 50 | -10 | 16 | 6 | This study |
| Pine stand (MA, USA) | 20 | 150 | -7 | 5 | -2 | This study |


Growing consensus that the soil C pool is as or more responsive to N additions than is NPP

Carbon Inputs to Soil




With N fertilization:

| | Hardwood | Pine |
|-------------------|----------|------|
| Litterfall | ↔ | ↑ |
| Root biomass | ↔ | ↓ |
| Root productivity | ↔ | ? |
| Root respiration | ↔ | ↔ ↓ |



C inputs not dominant mechanism
(~10-30%)



Carbon Outputs

With N fertilization:

- Soil respiration consistently lower
- Litter and wood decay suppressed







Photo by
K. Dudzik



Organic Matter Chemistry

Pyrolysis-GCMS of forest floor material (hardwood stand)



Frey *et al.* (Nature Geoscience, in prep)

Research Needs

Observations

- Is there differential temperature sensitivity of various SOM compounds?
- What are the mechanisms underlying the reduced respiratory response following long-term warming?
- What are the key regulators of microbial C use efficiency?
- Need better estimates of global soil C stocks
- Priming

Modeling

- Better capture temperature responses, including “acclimation” of the soil C flux in response to long-term warming
- Incorporate microbial physiology and other biogeochemical mechanisms into ESMs
- Incorporation of N feedbacks on soil C storage (N deposition rates predicted to double by 2050)
- Priming

Soil Respiration Components

