

Constraints on the carbon cycle from the NASA Carbon Monitoring System

Kevin W. Bowman Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

© 2013 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged

NASA Carbon Monitoring System

CMS team:

Kevin Bowman, Junjie Liu, Nicholas Parazoo, Meemong Lee, Dimitris Menemenlis, Holger Brix, Joshua Fisher, Christian Frankenberg Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology Joint Institute for Regional Earth System Science and Technology, University of California, Los Angeles Steven Pawson, Lesley Ott, James Collatz, Watson Gregg, Zhengxin Zhu, Randy Kawa, Cecile Rousseaux **NASA Goddard Space Flight Center** Chris Hill, Mick Follows, Stephanie Dutkiewicz MIT Scott Denning, Kathy Haynes, Ian Baker **Colorado State University** Kevin Gurney **Arizona State University** Gregg Marland, Eric Marland, Chris Badurek **Appalachian State University**

Daven Henze and Nicholas Bousserez

University of Colorado, Boulder

© 2013 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged

Milestone in Climate Forcing



Concentrations of greenhouse gas will soon surpass 400 parts per million at sentinel spot.

ON THE RISE

Measurements of atmospheric CO₂ levels at Mauna Loa, Hawaii, show that the greenhouse gas has accumulated steadily, and spiked above 400 parts per million (p.p.m) several times in April.





California AB-32



Independent efforts are being made to help mitigate climate change

NEWS IN FOCUS



Cities such as Los Angeles produce plumes of pollution that contain greenhouse gases.

CLIMATE CHANGE

2012

Dec.

Nature,

Megacities move to track emissions

Scientists monitor greenhouse gases in urban areas as a first step to gauging success of climate initiatives worldwide.

A changing carbon cycle

A steep road to climate stabilization

Pierre Friedlingstein

The only way to stabilize Earth's climate is to stabilize the concentration of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, but future changes in the carbon cycle might make this more difficult than has been thought.

Science, 2008

Changes in the physical climate may undermine the ability of the natural carbon cycle to partially sequester atmospheric CO2







No-Forest <-3 -3.0 -2.5 -2.0 -1.5 -1.0 -0.5 0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 >3.0

Saatchi et al, PNAS, 2013

NASA Carbon Monitoring System 🏹



The objective of the NASA CMS Flux Project is to incorporate the full suite of NASA observational, modeling, and assimilation capabilities to attribute climate forcing to spatially resolved surface fluxes across the entire carbon cycle.

http://carbon.nasa.gov





Observations of xCO2 from space-The NASA Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2 (OCO-2) and JAXA-GOSAT Mission



Measuring CO₂ from Space

 Record spectra of CO₂ and O₂ absorption in reflected sunlight

ASA

- the column averaged CO_2 dry air mole fraction, X_{CO2} over the sunlit hemisphere

Retrieve variations in

•



Validate measurements to ensure X_{CO2} accuracy of 1 - 2 ppm (0.3 - 0.5%)



Courtesy David Crisp, JPL



Attribution Strategy

A priori carbon cycle budgets are constructed to be consistent with atmospheric CO2 growth

10.1 GtC ± 0.5 GtC



 $5.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ PgC y}^{-1}$

50%





Fossil Fuel+Land Use Change (CDIAC+Global Carbon Project 2010) Incorporates chemical CO2 production aviation, bunker, and shipping (Nassar et al, 2010) Calculated as the residual of all other flux components

24% $2.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ PgC y}^{-1}$ Derived from ECCO-2 ocean flux estimate





4D-var assimilation approach

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}_0} C(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \sum_i (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{F}_i(\mathbf{x}))^\top (\mathbf{S}_n^i)^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{F}_i(\mathbf{x})) + (\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}_a)^\top \mathbf{S}_a^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}_a) \right\}$$

subject to $\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{M}_i (\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{p}_i)$



- Both an initial condition and boundary condition problem
- Initial conditions solved through "sliding window" technique from Feng and Jones (UT)
- Monthly NEE estimated over a year window.
- Estimate of terrestrial flux only

4D-var

x: monthly scale factor $[S_a]_{ii} = CASA-GFED3$ Monte Carlo $S_n = Observational error from$ ACOS. No transport error orhorizontal correlation error.

CASA-GFED3 terrestrial eco-system model

- The CASA-GFED3 model is modified to have an annual flux consistent with the inferred terrestrial flux.
- Spatial uncertainties are estimated through a Monte Carlo analysis through perturbation of key environmental parameters such as maximum potential light use efficiency, Q10, forest tree cover, etc.
 - Quantifies parameteric uncertainty (but not structural uncertainty)





Interannual sensitivity of global *NEP* (no fire) to selected CASA-GFED parameters.

CASA-GFED NEE uncertainty: role of the tropics









ECCO2-Darwin ocean biogeochemical model



Concentration of different species categories Diatoms (red), Prochlorococus (green) Picoplankton (blue), Everything else (yellow) Biogeochemistry is constrained by a Green's function approach (least squares)

Model: $y - G[x_b] \approx G(x - x_b) + n$

Solution: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_{b} + (\mathbf{G}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{G})^{-1}\mathbf{G}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{x}_{b}])$

G is a kernel matrix whose columns are computed using a GCM sensitivity experiment for each parameter in vector **x**, which are the initial conditions. Subscript "b" represents baseline GCM integration used to linearize problem.

Preliminary assimilation of LDEO pCO2, 2009-2010



Seven ECCO2-Darwin sensitivity integrations differing in their initial conditions (IC) for dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), alkalinity (Alk), and oxygen and in biogeochemical parameterizations were used for the optimization.

A SA

Brix et al, in preparation (2013)



Comparison of CMS-Flux to GOSAT









- CMS-Flux total annual flux agrees with GOSAT to within 0.01 ppm.
- Zonal and seasonal differences range from
 0.5 to 1 ppm



Posterior flux estimate 2010



- Prior flux (Black); Posterior flux (blue)
- Posterior estimate redistributes the flux merdionally.
- The posterior flux increases carbon uptake over the NH mid-latitude and SH suptropics while reducing uptake over the tropics relative to the prior carbon budget.
- It's important to remember that xCO2 is only sensitivity to the total flux.
 Uncertainties in one part of the carbon cycle can alias into the other.





- Zonal redistribution of carbon uptake in the Northern Hemisphere between Europe (stronger) and North America (weaker)
- Zonal redistribution in the tropics with reduced uptake in Africa but increased uptake in the Amazon



Anthropogenic emissions and Biomass burning

January-Mar



Kar *et al, ACP* 2010 showed elevated, CO, AOD and ozone have been observed from space in the Eastern Indo-Gangetic Planes.

Elevated CO₂ in Southeast Asia is broadly consistent with enhanced CO and GFED patterns.

Elevated CO₂ in Africa north of the ITCZ consistent with MODIS firecounts.



NASA European sink and African biomass burning



Impact of spatial sampling

y-H(x') (posterior flux)



DEC2010 DEC2010 NOV2010 NOV2010 OCT2010 OCT2010 SEP2010 SEP2010 AUG2010 AUG2010 JUL2010 JUL2010 JUN2010 JUN2010 MAY2010 MAY2010 APR2010 APR2010 MAR2010 MAR2010 FEB2010 FEB2010 JAN2010 JAN2010 605 305 30N 6ÓN 605 3ÒS FO 30N 6ÓN ppm -3 -0.5 - 0.30 0.3 0.5 -0.5 - 0.30 0.3 0.5

- Residual difference (obs-model) shows a strong meridional shift during NH summer.
- Tropical sampling driven by the ITCZ
- Large parts of the world are not observed

y-H(x_a) (prior flux)

- Southern Hemisphere
- Northern Hemisphere during Winter and Fall
- Mean residual difference markedly reduced over the year.
- Pattern of differences largely the same
 - Over correcting at mid-high latitudes in the NH during summer time;



Solar induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence (SIF) is a direct byproduct of photosynthesis → unique dynamic proxy for gross primary production GPP.

 $SIF = PAR \cdot f PAR \cdot j_f$

Vew\$Methods\$or\$Measurements\$of\$hotosynthesis\$rom\$pace



A Chlorophyll a fluorescence at 755 nm, June 2009 through May 2010 average B Timeseries



Figure 1. (a) Annual average (June 2009 through May 2010) of retrieved chlorophyll-a fluorescence at 755 nm on a $2^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}$ grid. Only grid-boxes with more than 15 soundings constituting the average are displayed. (b) Latitudinal monthly averages of chlorophyll fluorescence from June 2009 through end of August 2010.

Frankenberg *et al*, 2011

Fluorescence can be measured from GOSAT, GOME-2, and soon OCO-2





Frankenberg et al, 2011

Comparisons with MPI-BGC point to the proportionality of GPP to fluorescence.

Constraints on GPP from SIF

NASA

G-opt (GPP > zonal mean and abs(dBeta) > 5)



• Prior distribution from the TRENDY Models

G-opt

- Accounts for GOSAT sampling, clouds cover, and precision
- Regions with high error reduction relative to inter-model spread also have high GPP



Connecting GPP to NEE







GPP estimates from GOSAT has an earlier draw down in July 2009 and a dramatically weaker GPP in July 2010 than TRENDY

Significant differences in the seasonal cycle of xCO2 between the tropical transitional forests (TTF) and the cerrado eco-system

Local GPP can explain a significant fraction of xCO2 variability in TTF

NASA

Conclusions

- Quantifying the spatial drivers of climate forcing requires an integrated approach of data, model, and assimilation across the entire carbon cycle.
- Preliminary estimates indicate large-scale zonal and meridional redistribution of carbon uptake relative to the a prior carbon budget
 - Tropical changes appear to be related to biomass burning
 - Northern hemispheric redistribution is potentially related to GOSAT sampling
- The combination of fluorescence-derived GPP and eco-system model estimates show significant variations in sensitive eco-system regions.
- The combination of xCO2 and fluorescence shows that the CO₂ seasonal cycle in the tropical transitional forests are strongly influence by local GPP.
- CMS in the context of carbon-climate
 - The CMS is a carbon cycle reanalysis system that can benchmark CMIP5/CMIP6 carbon-climate models.
 - CMS with new satellites: OCO-2, OCO-3, SMAP, BIOMASS, etc. could provide the required sensitivity to detect and attribute the onset of carbon-climate feedbacks
 - Patterns of flux response diagnosed from CMS to patterns of environmental forcing will provide a
 powerful resource for finding emergent relationships between present day bias and future response.
- More information at http://cmsflux.jpl.nasa.gov



BACKUP

Comparison to surface data

(red: posterior; blue: prior, black: obs)

Centro de Investigacion de la Baja

South Pole, Antarctica, US



Comparison to surface data



Bukit Kototabang, Indonesia







Comparison to surface data





Seasonal prior flux (left panels) and the difference between posterior and prior fluxes



Seasonal prior flux (left panels) and the difference between posterior and prior fluxes





120E

120E



Impact of sampling frequency



- The locations with high chi-square closely follow the sparse observation locations
- High chi-square is a potential consequence of insufficient sampling.
- Using a small number of samples for attribution could be more vulnerable to model and data error.

Patterns of change

Posterior - prior

The strongest changes happen in the boreal summer over North America and Europe; This is due to both the increase of observations and also the strong variability in the summer.





July-Sep 2010 (post-prior) flux (unit: gC/m²/day)



Oct-Dec 2010 (post-prior) flux (unit: gC/m^2/day)

