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New, Emerging Physical Regime

More open water in summer… tighter coupling with atmosphere, different dynamics, changing feedbacks, increased importance of the seasonal and marginal ice zones.
Models Struggle to Reproduce Observations

Observed Changes in Arctic Sea Ice

Projected Changes in September Arctic Sea Ice Extent

Characterize Environmental Change
- Distributed, persistent, long-term observations

Improve Predictability – Refine Models
- Process-level investigations
- Improve physics, parameterizations
- Continued testing against sustained observations

From Jeffries, et al. (2013)
Challenges

How do we build a sustainable Arctic Observing capability that resolves a broad range of temporal and spatial scales (climate to process) and meets the needs of basic research, policy makers and stakeholders?

• **Access**- Far from areas of human activity.
  – Poor for ships, good for aircraft (for now...).
  – Exploit ice as measurement platform.
  – Limited access to critical services (GPS, Iridium).

• **Risk**- Unforgiving operating environment, extended development arc.

• **Persistence**- Resolve important timescales, transient events.

• **Cost/Scalability**- Sustain broad, long-term activity.

• **Adaptability/Flexibility**
  – Sea ice decline- seasonal ice cover, marginal ice zone.
  – Needs evolve with changing environment and understanding.
  – Meet disparate stakeholder needs: climate to tactical.
A sensing system must be developed to provide persistent observations that can further scientific understanding, provide long-term monitoring, and constrain the predictive models.

- Autonomous platforms
- Robust Sensors
- Real-time Data Delivery
- Key Environmental Variables

**Novel Sensing Systems**

- Acoustically-navigated Gliders
  - Repeated sections
  - Resolves deformation scale (5 km).
  - Samples at ice-ocean interface.
  - T, S, dissolved oxygen.

**Lightweight Systems**

**Real-Time Data Communication**

**Autonomous Platforms and Enabling Technologies**
Dynamics of the Marginal Ice Zone

Ice Mass Balance Buoy - Wilkinson, Hwang (SAMS), Maksym (WHOI)
Wave Buoy - Wadhams (Cambridge), Doble (Laboratoire d’Oceanographie de Villefranche)
Wave Measurements - Thomson (APL-UW)
Acoustic Navigation and Wavegliders - Freitag (WHOI)
Profiling Floats - Owens, Jayne (WHOI)
Ice-Tethered Profilers - Toole, Krishfield, Cole, Thwaites (WHOI), Timmermans (Yale)
Autonomous Ocean Flux Buoy - Stanton, Shaw (NPS)
Autonomous Gliders - Lee, Rainville, Gobat (APL-UW)
MIZMAS model - Zhang, Schweiger, Steel (APL-UW)
Regional Arctic Climate System Model - Maslowski, Roberts, Cassano, Hughes (NPS)
Arctic Nowcast/Forecast Model - Posey, Allard, Brozena, Gardner (NRL)
Ice insulates upper ocean from wind and solar warming

More solar warming with decreased ice

Surface waves generated in open water break up ice

More mixing with decreased ice

Processes Governing Atmosphere-Ice-Ocean Interaction

COLD, FRESH

WARM, SALTY


Science Objectives

1. Understand the physics that control sea ice breakup and melt in and around the ice edge (Marginal Ice Zone - MIZ).
2. Characterize changes in physics associated with decreasing ice/increasing open water.
3. Explore feedbacks in the ice-ocean-atmosphere system that might increase/decrease the speed of sea ice decline.
4. Collect a benchmark dataset for refining and testing models.

Technical Objectives

1. Develop and demonstrate new robotic networks for collecting observations in, under and around sea ice.
2. Improve interpretation of satellite imagery.
3. Improve numerical models to enhance seasonal forecast capability.
MIZ Operational Approach

- Array drifts with ice pack follow evolution along the line.
- Maintains focus on MIZ by following northward retreat of ice edge.
- Ice-based array samples ice-covered area.
- Drifting platforms in open- and ice-covered water.
- Mobile platforms span ice-free, MIZ and ice-covered regions.
- Follow MIZ retreat northward through September 2014.

Ice-based array deployed by aircraft in April (full ice cover).
Drifters & gliders deployed in July, immediately after open water forms along the coast.

Risk Mitigation: 20% of assets held for deployment in August at northernmost site using Korean icebreaker Araon.
'Fast & Light' Logistics Requirements

**Aircraft**

*Ex Yellowknife*
- Hercules and Buffalo aircraft used to bring fuel, supplies and scientific equipment to Sachs Harbour.
- 2 Herc + 1 Buffalo for equipment plus 2 Herc flights for fuel

*Ex Sachs Harbour*
- Twin Otters x2 (Ken Borek/British Antarctic Survey)
  - Workhorses: Camp equipment, scientific instrumentation, drummed fuel and personnel.
  - 13 flight days with 2 flights per day
- Bell 412 (Great Slave Helicopters)
  - Pinpoint deployment of instrumentation
  - 7 flight days for 40 precision instrument deployments

**Camp Infrastructure (2 small camps rather than a large, long-term ice camp)**
- Small camps = minimal gear, quick setup/breakdown, flexible site selection
- Multiple camps allowed closer proximity to work sites, leapfrogging to minimize population
- 3 x heated tents, portable generators, food, fuel, comms, etc
- 90 person-days on ice, 69 person-nights overnighting at the 2 camps (including NASA/ESA programs)

Scarcity of large pans of thick ice and rapidly changing conditions make large, long-term camps risky for both personnel and mission. This 'Fast and light' approach offers an alternative for some applications.
Acoustic Navigation

Purpose
• Provide navigation for gliders and floats.
• Transmit source positions to allow real-time geo-location by gliders from mobile navigation beacons.
• Transmit simple commands to gliders to alter mission.

Acoustic Parameters
• 900 Hz carrier, 25 Hz bandwidth, 183 dB SPL.
• Data rate is very low, about 1 bit/sec, but could have been faster given results observed to date.

Performance (100 m sound channel)
• 350+ km range, 20 m average range error (100 m max).
Sea State & Boundary Layer Physics of the Emerging Arctic Ocean

Less ice, more storms...

... and waves

Table 1: Processes, key variables, and related elements of the Sea State DRI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROCESS</th>
<th>KEY VARIABLES</th>
<th>SEA STATE DRI PLAN (BY PI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wave reflection</td>
<td>Directionality</td>
<td>Wave buoy array (Doble/Wadhams), scattering models (Squire/Williams), viscoelastic models (Shen/Squire/Rogers), laboratory experiment (Shen/Ackley/Babanin/Squire)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave attenuation and dispersion</td>
<td>Ice distribution, heat loss, wave spectra</td>
<td>Ship and AUV transects (Maksym/Ackley), wave buoy array (Doble/Wadhams), satellite observations (Lehner/Gemmrich/Holt), scattering models (Squire/Williams/Meylan/Bidlot), viscoelastic models (Shen/Squire), phase-averaged spectral models (Rogers/Babanin), laboratory experiments (Meylan/Shen/Ackley)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave generation</td>
<td>Ice cover, fetch, winds, boundary layer turbulence</td>
<td>SWIFT buoys and wave video (Thomson), Meteorological fluxes and LIDAR (Guest/Fairall), Marine Radar (Graber), Satellite observations (Lehner/Gemmrich/Holt), phase-averaged spectral models (Rogers/Babanin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice formation</td>
<td>Ice distribution, heat loss, wave spectra</td>
<td>AUV and ship surveys (Ackley/Maksym), wave spectra (Doble/Wadhams)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice growth</td>
<td>Ice distribution, heat loss, wave spectra</td>
<td>AUV and ship surveys (Ackley/Maksym), UAV photography (Maksym/Doble), wave spectra (Doble/Wadhams), satellite observations (Lehner/Gemmrich/Holt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice evolution</td>
<td>Transition to quiet growth, wave attenuation</td>
<td>AUV and ship surveys (Ackley/Maksym), wave spectra (Doble/Wadhams)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat and momentum flux</td>
<td>Radiative fluxes, turbulent fluxes, surface drag</td>
<td>Covariance observations (Guest/Fairall), AUV ice transects (Ackley/Maksym), SWIFT buoys (Thomson)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat storage</td>
<td>Temperature profiles, ice cover</td>
<td>Glider transects (Maksym/Stammerjohn), Acrobat and CTD (Stammerjohn), UpTempo buoys (Stammerjohn/Steere), Ice Mass Balance Buoys (Maksym)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm formation</td>
<td>Ice cover, clouds, winds</td>
<td>Meteorological observations and ceilometer (Guest/Fairall), SWIFT buoys (Thomson), satellite observations (Lehner/Gemmrich/Holt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave climate</td>
<td>Wave height, period, direction</td>
<td>Satellite observations (Babanin, Lehner/Gemmrich), moorings (Thomson), phase-averaged spectral models (Rogers/Babanin)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Objectives

1. Develop a sea state climatology for the Arctic Ocean
2. Improve wave forecasting in the presence of sea ice
3. Improve theory of wave attenuation/scattering in the sea ice cover
4. Apply wave–ice interactions directly in integrated arctic system models
5. Understand heat and mass fluxes in the air–sea–ice system
In situ observations

Figure 10: Schematic of measurement platforms for the Sea State DRI. Image: Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution.

such as gliders, may be operated continuously across different modules. Total transit days are estimated as approximately 14 days, leaving approximately 24 days for science activities.

The sampling modules and nominal allocations are:

• Open Water (6 days):
  As part of the transit to reach the late summer ice edge, open water measurements of waves, winds, surface fluxes, and upper ocean profiles will be made from the R/V Sikuliaq. Shipboard measurements will be made both underway and holding station. During stations, autonomous buoys will be deployed for short drift missions (several hours) coincident with glider missions. Sampling will target a range of wave and wind conditions, with priority for sampling at least one storm, if and when the opportunity arises. The goal of this module is to quantify the evolution of a sea state in the presence of a variable fetch (which is unique amongst the world's oceans) and the subsequent effect on the heat and momentum in the upper Arctic Ocean.

• Solid Ice Edge (6 days):
  Upon reaching the late summer ice edge, a wave reflection study will be conducted using an array of wave buoys deployed along the ice edge and...

- Boundary layer fluxes (underway meteorology, wave radar, temperature, salinity)
- Open water, sea state / flux study (SWIFTs, wave buoys, CTDs, glider)
- Solid ice edge, wave reflection study (wave buoys, CTDs, SWIFTs)
- Advancing ice study (AUV under-ice transects, LiDAR, EMI, CTDs, UpTempOs)
- Ice pack/transect study (IMBs, AUV, LiDAR, EMI, CTDs)
Collaborations with Other Programs (MIZ & Sea State)

- Seasonal Ice Zone Reconnaissance Surveys (ONR)
- Marginal Ice Zone Observations and Process Experiment (NASA, NOAA)
- Determining the Impact of Sea Ice Thickness on the Arctic’s Naturally Changing Environment (Naval Research Laboratory)
- ICEBridge (NASA)
- Korean Polar Research Institute
Data Policy Highlights

See the MIZ and Sea State science plans for complete data policies.

• Integrated nature of program requires high degree of trust among team members.

• Full, open data sharing among MIZ team with strict requirements for collaboration, co-authorship and attribution.

• Protection for students and postdocs.

• Immediate release of ‘operational’ data (e.g. Ice Tethered Profiler data, glider data to the GTS).

• During active (funded) phase of programs, data sharing outside the program team only by consensus within the team.

• Submission of data to Arctic Observing Network data facility (full public access) required immediately after program end.
More Information & Data Access

http://apl.washington.edu/miz
http://www.apl.washington.edu/project/project.php?id=arctic_sea_state

- Science plans for both programs available.
- Scott Harper (Program Manager) - Scott.L.Harper@navy.mil
- Martin Jeffries (Program Manager) - martin.jeffries@navy.mil
- Craig Lee - craig@apl.washington.edu
- Jim Thomson – jthomson@apl.washington.edu

http://frazil.nerc-bas.ac.uk
http://iop.apl.washington.edu/seaglider
Camp at C3

Personnel = 6 persons + dog
- 3 x scientists/engineers
- 3 x helicopter personnel

- Automatic weather station
- Wave Buoy
- Autonomous flux buoy
- Ice mass balance buoys
- Ice tethered profiler
- Kitchen tent
- Accommodation tents
- Helicopter site: fuel + equipment
- NASA/ESA validation line
- Twin Otter Runway
Real-time Asset Maps and Data

http://apl.washington.edu/miz

http://frazil.nerc-bas.ac.uk/

http://iop.apl.washington.edu/seaglider

http://www.whoi.edu/itp/data/
More Information & Data Access

Marginal Ice Zone
• Program Website: http://apl.uw.edu/miz
• Science Plan: available on web site under ‘Resources’

Sea State
• Program Website: under development
• Science Plan: available through Jim Thomson or ONR

Web sites feature:
• Real-time displays of instrument locations and data
• Satellite remote sensing imagery
• Model results

Contacts:
Scott Harper (Program Manager) - Scott.L.Harper@navy.mil
Martin Jeffries (Program Manager) - martin.jeffries@navy.mil
Craig Lee - craig@apl.washington.edu
Jim Thomson – jthomson@apl.washington.edu
Results have far-exceeded expectations: 120-230 km is typical. Max range is 380 km!

- Duct at 100 m prevents sound from scattering off ice.
- Thus received rays are purely refracted.
- Will this continue? Most likely, yes, because duct persists throughout the summer.
- Range errors are computed from GPS, estimated sound-speed and measured travel time.
- Typical max error is less than 100 m and average is 20 m.
The Emerging Arctic: Less ice, more storms... Aug 2012
Figure 2: Significant wave heights (in meters) from a WAVEWATCH III hindcast of the August 2012 arctic cyclone, showing large waves in the Beaufort and Chukchi seas coincident with a dramatic loss of sea ice. Image: E. Rogers and J. Thomson (unpublished).

Some future ice advance and ice growth scenarios in the Arctic are:

i. Typical ice advance
   - New ice formation takes place as thin sheets evolving into first year ice primarily through congelation growth. Although snowfall occurs in fall, the net snow accumulation is similar to years past (Warren et al., 1998; Kwok and Cunningham, 2008); thus, despite the delayed sea ice growth season, winter first year ice thickness will be comparable to what has been observed in recent years, i.e., 1.5 to 1.8 m [based on modeling, e.g., see PIOMAS results; airborne observations by EMI (Haas, 2012) and NASA Operation IceBridge; and satellite observations, e.g., IceSAT (Kwok, 2009) and CryoSat]. Additional ocean heat added during the extended summer open water period is removed from the mixed layer during autumn cooling and mixing. While 2012 presented a new all-time summer minimum (3.7 million square km), the last six years have not decreased steadily but have...
Seasonal MIZ in the Beaufort Sea
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SSM/I - Posey
Flexibility Through Nested Observing Activities

**Policy**- ‘science’ (climate) driven
- **Focus:** Characterize environmental change, planning.
- **Time scale:** Decades, value placed on long records.
- **Spatial scale:** Distributed, may be far from population centers.
- **Data:** Real-time data return not necessary.
- **Long, consistent records. Committed observing.**

**Strategy**- process studies, planning support
- **Focus:** Planning for high-risk activities (extraction, navigation).
- **Time scale:** Seasons to decades, long records valued.
- **Spatial scale:** Limited geographic scope, perhaps near population centers.
- **Data:** Rapid data access (near real time) may be required.

**Tactical**- ‘stakeholder’ driven collection
- **Focus:** Support for specific activities.
- **Time scale:** Rapid spin-up, spin-down. Flexible design.
- **Spatial scale:** Tightly focused, often near regions of human activity.
- **Data:** Useful products delivered in real time. Data have little shelf life.
We are here!
Sea Ice February-March 2013

NASA VIRS (http://visibleearth.nasa.gov)
Remote sensing

Data

SAR (Lehner/Gemmrich, Holt)
Altimeter (Babanin)
ASTER and declassified high-resolution imagery (Holt)

Products

Wave direction and wavelength, wave propagation, ice cover and floe size distribution
Wave height
Ice cover and floe size distribution

Scales

1 m - 100 km
1 km - 10 km
10 m - 1 km
Modeling

• Spectral developed in parallel with phase-resolving (fundamental physics) models
  – spectral: \( S_{\text{ice}} \rightarrow S_{\text{id}} + S_{\text{is}} \)
  – phase-resolving: viscoelastic vs. scattering

• Coupling, modifying the floe size distribution