CalWater 2 – Precipitation, Aerosols, and Pacific **Atmospheric Rivers Experiment**

F. M. Ralph¹, K. A. Prather¹, D. Cayan^{1,2}, J. R. Spackman^{3,4} P. DeMott⁵, M. Dettinger^{1,2}, C. Fairall⁴, R. Leung⁶, D. Rosenfeld⁷, S. Rutledge⁵, D. Waliser⁸, A. B. White⁴, J. Cordeira⁹, A. Martin¹, J. Intrieri⁴

1 Scripps Institution of Oceanography, La Jolla, CA, 2 U.S. Geological Survey, La Jolla, CA, 3 Science and Technology Corporation, Boulder, CO, 4 NOAA, Earth System Research Laboratory, Boulder, CO, 5 Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, 6 Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, 7 The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel, 8 NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA, 9 Plymouth State University, Plymouth, NH





			Microphysics (CCN, IN, cloud drop size distribution, water/ice content) Meteorological data (T, P, RH, wind, turbulence)
	NOAA WP-3D Altitude < 22 kft	Offshore CA	Dropsondes (P, T, RH, wind) Tail Doppler radar Microphysics (CCN, IN, cloud water/ice, precipitation spectra) Aerosols and chemical tracers
	NOAA G-IV Altitude < 45 kft	HI to CA	Dropsondes (P, T, RH, wind) Tail Doppler radar Chemical tracer (ozone)
	NASA Global Hawk Altitude 45-65 kft	HI to CA	Dropsondes (P, T, RH, wind speed/ direction) HAMSR (T, integrated water vapor)



Global plot of 259 ARs identified between May 2008-April 2010. The red box denotes the theater of operations for CalWater 2. Image courtesy of Waliser et. al 2012 Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.

depicting



Average number of AR days per week from 1 November to 31 March for 2003-2012. Courtesy of G.A. Wick, NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory.

science objectives.

Validation of Physical Processes in GCM and CTM

Observations from CalWater and ACAPEX present a unique opportunity for physical process validation in both traditional weather/climate model and chemical transport model / cloud microphysics simulations. Observations on-board the NOAA WP-3 and Gulfstream-4 aircraft were designed to work in concert with sea surface measurements on board the NOAA R/V Brown to estimate the atmospheric water vapor budget during AR storms. Single particle chemical mixing state and aerosol size and number from the DOE Gulfstream-1 aircraft can be used to validate CTM predictions of long-range aerosol transport, local aerosol emission, vertical distribution, and cloud activation.





Left: Major aerosol types sampled incloud by the DOE G-1 over the CA Central Valley and Sierra Nevada during a Winter Storm on 03/01/2015. Aerosol types were classified according to significant Mass Spectra chosen by Neural Network

