Art Miller Scripps Institution of Oceanography

ENSO-Ecosystem Forecasting Workshop August 10, 2016

Outline

Issues to consider

 Philosophy of Predictability
 Specific physical processes

 ENSO Teleconnections

 Predictability in trophic level

 Limits in skill for fisheries forecasts?

Outline

Issues to consider
 Philosophy of Predictability

Theoretical Basis for Prediction

- Distinguish between physically forced response of ecosystem and intrinsic variability of biology (nonlinear, dynamical systems theory)
- Persistence forecast is baseline to beat
- ENSO focus is on dynamical (and statistical) predictions of the physical forcing
- Dynamical understanding of the source of skill is desired for plausibility – not just running complicated models or building large DOF statistical models

Theoretical Basis for Prediction

- Note that there are interesting and important biological effects:
 - e.g. Biological "memory" through life histories: following Year Classes (No Physics!)
 - Also: Non-linear methods (Sugihara, Ye, Deyle, etc.) using phase space information from observations
 - Also: Index prediction methods like Bill Peterson's Salmon Forecasts of Adult Returns based on several biological and physical variables
 - N.b. Typical stock assessments (for management) do NOT include any environmental information

Outline

2) Specific physical processes - ENSO Teleconnections

Weather and Climate Affecting the CCS

• Weather: Short time scale (days): Affects things directly - storms, rain, winds, heat waves, extreme events, balmy days

Winter storms



Summer stratocumulus



Weather and Climate Affecting the CCS

• Climate: Long time scale (averages over months, seasons, years, trends) accumulation of weather events that we assume to be meaningful

Aleutian Low: Winter



North Pacific High: Summer



ENSO variability around the averages: What controls the oceanic response?

Focus on Winter: Strong Forcing => Strong response

Large-scale climate pattern variations organize the oceanic physical processes that affect ocean biology

- -Defining an ENSO Index and relating to biological variables is frequently done, but...
- -*Physical processes* in the ocean can *vary* in space and can therefore affect the biology in different ways
- -Understanding these processes is therefore critical to unraveling mechanisms of biological variations
 -Plus, lagged effects of ENSO forcing in the ocean may have additional predictable components



Classic Winter Atmospheric Teleconnections from El Nino...

Horel and Wallace, 1981

Seasonal Dependence of Sea Level Pressure Teleconnections (composite EN-LN, but actually non-linear)



Local Oceanic Response to the Atmospheric Anomalies: Dynamics and Thermodynamics of Upper Ocean Variability

Dynamics of Currents:(Adiabatic Forcing)Wind Stress (Ekman transport: Coastal upwelling)Wind Stress Curl (Ekman pumping: Open-Ocean upwelling)

Thermodynamics of Ocean Temperature: (Diabatic Forcing)
Surface Heat Flux (*Latent*, Sensible, solar, radiative)
Advection (due to currents: *Ekman*, pressure-gradient, upwelled)
Vertical turbulent mixing

When the winds change, all these effects act together, but in different relative strength in different places....

ENSO variability around the Averages: What controls the oceanic response?

Focus on Winter: Strong Forcing => Strong response Focus on Interannual to Interdecadal time scales



Aleutian Low anomalies force surface heat fluxes, Ekman current advection, and turbulent mixing (diabatic effects) to drive *East-West pattern of SST*

Miller et al. (2004)

ENSO variability around the Averages: What controls the oceanic response?

Focus on Winter: Strong Forcing => Strong response Focus on Interannual to Interdecadal time scales





Aleutian Low anomalies force surface heat fluxes, Ekman current advection, and turbulent mixing (diabatic effects) to drive *East-West pattern of SST*

Additionally, **Aleutian Low** wind stress curl anomalies force (adiabatically) thermocline anoms (Ekman pumping) that change the circulation of the CCS and sea level

Oceanic ENSO Teleconnections

Coastally trapped Kelvin-like waves have potential to travel from Equator to the California Coast to alter the thermocline depth and currents

- Difficult to traverse Gulf of California
- Deformation radius ~25km
- More transient
- Radiation into Rossby waves loses energy

Atmospheric Teleconnections

- More persistent
- Drive thermocline anomalies of same sign
- Broader scale ~1000km



ENSO variability around the averages: What controls the Aleutian Low?

Focus on Winter: Strong Forcing => Strong response



Tropical teleconnections (El Nino/La Nina)

ENSO variability around the averages: What controls the Aleutian Low?

Focus on Winter: Strong Forcing => Strong response



Tropical teleconnections (El Nino/La Nina)

Uncertainties in ENSO Teleconnection Forecasts (Nick Siler, 2016, in preparation)

AGCM forced with observed SSTa for 1997-98 and 2015-16 Rainfall in SoCal (rough indicator for oceanic forcing function)

1997-98:

Ensemble mean: 213% of normal

2015-16:

Ensemble mean: 158% of normal

Observations: 2015-16 within simulated range



• Ensemble mean



Uncertainties in ENSO Teleconnection Forecasts (Nick Siler, 2016, by request)



Uncertainties in ENSO Teleconnection Forecasts (Nick Siler, 2016, by request)

AGCM forced with observed SSTa for 1997-98 and 2015-16 6 Ċ 5 4 0 3 Merid Wind Anoms (925mb) 2 in a box (35-40N, 125-128W) 8 over the California Current 8 <u>S</u> 0 -1 8 Ο -2 0 Ensemble member 0 -3 Ensemble mean 0

-4

1997-98

2015-16

Uncertainties in ENSO Teleconnection Forecasts (Nick Siler, 2016, by request)



Outline

3) Predictability in trophic level - Limits in skill for fisheries forecasts?

Ecosystem Response

- Bottom-up versus Top-Down (or "Side-In")
 Productivity versus Habitat Suitability (spawning especially) and Physiology
 Hostile environmental changes –
 - acidification, hypoxia, etc.

Thermocline Influences on Squid Spawning Habitat

Spawning Squid need sandy bottom, depths of 20-70m and temperatures between 10-14°C.

- Winter 1998, only ~4% of potential habitat was cool enough.
- Winter 2000, nearly all of 20-70m depths and sandy substrates were between 10-14°C.







- We all love to consider predictability in time (and sometime space)
- Few have considered predictability of ecosystem response to physical forcing as the forced signal cascades upwards

- An ecosystem model response can consist of two parts: Intrinsic biological variations and a physically forced part due to the ocean environment
- Quantifying the physically forced part is vital, since it is unlikely that the intrinsic biological part will have useful skill

- But how much skill is even possible in the physically forced part?
- Imagine a complicated physical-biological model:

 $Physics \longrightarrow Nutrient \longrightarrow Phytopl \longrightarrow Zoopl \longrightarrow Sardines \longrightarrow Tuna$

Each "level" has its own degree of non-linearity Consider a "balanced" state of ecosystem for fixed physical forcing Introduce "small-scale" error(s) in the physical state Determine the new "balanced" state of the ecosystem Quantify error growth for each trophic level

• Is there any skill at all in the determination of "managed species" for a given physical state? Or do non-linearities prevent this?

 $Physics \rightarrow Nutrient \rightarrow Phytopl \rightarrow Zoopl \rightarrow Sardines \rightarrow Tuna \rightarrow Phytopl \rightarrow Sardines \rightarrow Tuna \rightarrow Phytopl \rightarrow Sardines \rightarrow Tuna \rightarrow Phytopl \rightarrow Sardines \rightarrow Phytopl \rightarrow Phytopl \rightarrow Sardines \rightarrow Phytopl \rightarrow Phyt$

Outline

Issues to consider

 Philosophy of Predictability
 Specific physical processes

 ENSO Teleconnections

 Predictability in trophic level

 Limits in skill for fisheries forecasts?

Art Miller Scripps Institution of Oceanography

ENSO-Ecosystem Forecasting Workshop August 10, 2016

Thanks!