

# Atmospheric response to Arctic sea ice: the importance of the background state

# Met Office Hadley Centre

Doug Smith, Nick Dunstone, Adam Scaife, Emma Fiedler, Dan Copsey and Steven Hardiman

# **1. Experiments:**

- 1979 to 2009 (30 years)
- Control: observed sea ice concentration
- Perturbed: reduced Arctic sea ice
- 10 ensemble members
- AMIP observed SSTs
- CPLD upper 200m of ocean free



#### Imposed seasonal mean sea ice concentration difference (%)

# 2. Temperature response:

- Larger response in CPLD
- Surrounding ocean warms
- Can reach the tropics



### 3. Mean sea level pressure response:

- AMIP: "heat low" in all seasons
- Positive NAO in DJF
- CPLD: negative NAO in DJF





# 4. AMIP\_CPLD response:

- Add CPLD SST bias to AMIP
- Reproduces negative NAO
- Background state is key



CPLD temperature and zonal wind biases



AMIP\_CPLD DJF mslp response

# **5. Planetary waves:**

• Decrease in upward EP flux at surface 50-60°N in all experiments

Consistent with reduced baroclinicity (weaker Equator to pole temperature gradient)



Shading: upward EP flux Arrows: EP flux vectors



## 6. Explanation:

Easier to consider increased Arctic sea ice (increased upward EP flux)
Response of Atlantic jet depends on propagation of EP fluxes

 More equatorward propagation leads to interaction with jet

EP flux divergence/convergence on poleward/equatorward side of jet
Jet shifts polewards (i.e. positive NAO for increased Arctic ice)
Response depends on background refractive index 7. Real world response:Cannot be diagnosed from regression



 Possibility of "emergent constraint" but must be based on the underlying physical cause of model spread (i.e. the refractive index)



# 8. Summary:

 Sign of NAO response to Arctic sea ice depends on the background state

• Upward planetary waves from the surface are reduced when Arctic sea ice is reduced, consistent with reduced equator to pole temperature gradient and reduced baroclinicity

NAO response depends on propagation of planetary waves, which is controlled by the refractive index of the background flow
Real world response cannot be diagnosed from regression
"Emergent constraint" might be possible
Need coordinated multi-model experiments → please contact Doug Smith (doug.smith@metoffice.gov.uk) if you are interested in participating

Correlation across AMIP, CPLD and AMIP\_CPLD of response in Atlantic jet and (a) EP flux divergence response (b) Background refractive index Arrows: correlation with EP flux vectors NB: Sign reversed to represent increased Arctic sea ice (with increased upward EP flux)

Met Office Hadley Centre, FitzRoy Road, Exeter, Devon, EX1 3PB United Kingdom Tel: +44 1392 885680 Fax: +44 1392 885681 Email: doug.smith@metoffice.gov.uk

© Crown copyright | Met Office and the Met Office logo are registered trademarks