Historical forcings as Main Drivers of the Atlantic Multidecal Variability in the CESM Large Ensemble

Katinka Bellomo¹

Lisa Murphy², Mark Cane¹, Amy Clement², Lorenzo Polvani¹

¹Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University ²Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami The Atlantic Multidecadal Variability (AMV) is the dominant mode of climate variability in the North Atlantic and affects temperature, rainfall and hurricanes on decadal timescales and longer



What drives the multidecadal departures of the AMV?

Internal climate variability

- The North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) drives changes in the AMOC, which then affects SST (e.g., Delworth et al. 2017, Peings et al. 2016)
- The NAO drives changes in surface heat fluxes which are then stored into the oceanic mixed layer (e.g., Clement et al. 2015, Seager et al. 2000)

External radiative forcings

 Anthropogenic (e.g. aerosols and GHG) or natural (e.g. volcanoes)
forcings (e.g., Murphy et al. 2017, Booth et al. 2012, Ottera et al. 2010)

Observations: ERSSTv4

CESM Large Ensemble, 1920-2005, 42 historical ensemble members with prescribed historical forcings, initialized from different atmospheric initial conditions

Internal Variability:

- CESM preindustrial control
- CESM Large Ensemble minus ensemble mean ("de-meaned")

External Forcing: Large Ensemble mean

Observations: ERSSTv4

CESM Large Ensemble, 1920-2005, 42 historical ensemble members with prescribed historical forcings, initialized from different atmospheric initial conditions

Internal Variability:

- CESM preindustrial control
- CESM Large Ensemble minus ensemble mean ("de-meaned")

External Forcing:

Large Ensemble mean

North Atlantic SST (NASST) index

Detrended = To remove the mean warming trend, remaining forcing due to tropospheric + stratospheric aerosols, ozone, solar, land use, orbital forcings



CESM Large Ensemble: 1920-2005 historical forcings, 42 members Ensemble Mean = isolates the externally forced variability

20 year Low-Pass filter (AMV index) = To examine multidecadal variability

Correlations with obs.



Forced/Total Variance

variance of ens mean SST

avg variance of all ens members



Forced variance is at timescales > 10 years



External radiative forcing projects on internal variability 1920-2005



Other CMIP5 models





- Picntrl (black)
- Empty colored dots=historical members
- Filled colored dots=historical ens mean

Most models underestimate observed AMV variance

Histvar (color) and Plvar (black) 0.08 b. 0.07 0.06 0.05 Variance Variance of 10 0.04 year low-pass filtered NASST 0.03 index 0.02 0.01 0 **Observations (magenta)** Picntrl (black) **Empty colored** dots=historical members **Filled colored** dots=historical ens mean

•

٠

•

Murphy et al. 2017

But in all models historical forcings increase the AMV variance compared to preindustrial control simulations



Murphy et al. 2017

Summary

Most previous work suggest that multidecadal variability in the North Atlantic is primarily driven by internal variability.

Here, using observations and a large ensemble to isolate external forcing in the CESM model, we claim that phase changes of the AMV and a substantial fraction of its variance may be driven by radiative forcing.

The implication is that predictive skill increases if we know radiative forcings.

Summary

Most previous work suggest that multidecadal variability in the North Atlantic is primarily driven by internal variability.

Here, using observations and a large ensemble to isolate external forcing in the CESM model, we claim that phase changes of the AMV and a substantial fraction of its variance may be driven by radiative forcing.

The implication is that predictive skill increases if we know radiative forcings.

Summary

Most previous work suggest that multidecadal variability in the North Atlantic is primarily driven by internal variability.

Here, using observations and a large ensemble to isolate external forcing in the CESM model, we claim that phase changes of the AMV and a substantial fraction of its variance may be driven by radiative forcing.

The implication is that predictive skill increases if we know radiative forcings.

Thank you!

References:

Bellomo K., L. Murphy, M. Cane, A. Clement, L. Polvani (submitted): Historical forcings as maind drivers of the Atlantic Multidecadal Variability in the CESM Large Ensemble. *Climate Dyn.*

Murphy L., K. Bellomo, A. Clement, M. Cane, 2017: The role of historical forcings in simulating the observed Atlantic Multidecal Oscillation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*

Reconstruct the AMV index from single forcing experiments - 1850-2005 Last Millennium Ensemble -



(b) Corr. Coeff. between all forcings and sum of single forcings AMO index

Forcings	Corr. Coeff.
GHG	0.45
GHG+AEROSOLS/OZONE	0.83
GHG+AEROSOLS/OZONE+V OLCANOES	0.87
GHG+AEROSOLS/OZONE+ VOLCANOES+SOLAR	0.91
GHG+AEROSOLS/OZONE+V OLCANOES+SOLAR+LAND USE	0.89
GHG+AEROSOLS/OZONE+V OLCANOES+SOLAR+LAND USE+ORBITAL	0.88

GHG+AEROSOLS/OZONE+VOLCANOES+SOLAR ens mean

Aerosols + GHG dominate from 1920 onwards

Single forcing experiments: 1920-2005

