Discovery of a Southern Ocean carbon source: Implications for carbon uptake in southern hemisphere western boundary regions

> Jorge L. Sarmiento Princeton University





SCCC

Southern Ocean Carbon and Climate Observations and Modeling







SOCCOM Floats (so far...)

Hannahd Zanowski

SOCCOM floats \bigcirc

60°h

1200NN

01236

Q7553

Pre-SOCCOM floats —

Non-operational O floats

As of 20 May, 2017

Biogeochem sensors now developed for

- pH
- Nitrate,
- oxygen, and
- optics (FLBB) funded by NASA

O1239

available at: http://soccom.princeton.edu

180^oW



Estimation of pCO₂

DuraFET

Algorithm TA pH sensor (eg., Carter et al. 2016)

 $CO2SYS(pH_{Total}, TA, T, S, P) \rightarrow pCO_2$

Error analysis gives a 2.7% uncertainty in pCO_2 (11 μ atm at a pCO₂ of 400 μ atm)

Williams et al., 2017(GBC)

Comparison of underway shipboard measurements of fCO_2 (black) with calculated fCO_2 (red)



Deep Dive: Air-sea carbon fluxes A. Gray (faculty, UW), Ken Johnson (MBARI), J. L. Sarmiento (Princeton) et al.

 $F = k K \downarrow 0 \Delta p C O \downarrow 2$

- Gas transfer velocity,
 ∝wind speed squared (Wanninkhof 2014)
 6-hourly ERA-Interim winds
- solubility constant



Dec 2016

averaged.

set

Getting oriented

Four zones are defined by the fronts:

- GRAY Seasonal Ice Zone (SIZ)
- PINKish Polar Frontal-Antarctic Zone (PAZ) – upwelling & ACC
- BLUEish Subantarctic Zone (SAZ) deep MLDs
- Subtropical Zone (STZ) to north
- Ekman velocity is from QuikSCAT 1999-2009 winds (Risien and Chelton 2011).
- MLDs from de Boyer-Montégut et al. (2004) climatology (>250 in upper figure purple line)



Annual mean air-sea CO₂ fluxes

Annual net CO₂ flux (Pg C/yr)







SOCAT v4 Takahashi et al., 2009 Landschützer et al., 2014 Float-based estimates



Seasonal cycle of CO_2 flux

Landschützer and Takahashi estimates miss fall and winter outgassing in PAZ





Implications for the Southern Ocean carbon cycle

Annual net oceanic CO₂ uptake (Pg C y⁻¹)

- Covers region south of 35°S
- Positive indicates net outgassing, negative is uptake.

Zone	SOCCOM floats	Takahashi et. al. (2009)	Landschützer et al. (2014)
STZ	-0.37 ± 0.12	-0.40	-0.50
SAZ	-0.13 ± 0.15	-0.24	-0.24
PAZ	0.64 ± 0.24	-0.16	-0.11
SIZ	0.01 ± 0.07	0.02	-0.04
TOTAL	0.14 ± 0.57 (0.04)	-0.77	-0.88

$\Delta(\Delta pCO_2) = Float pCO_2$ shipboard SOCATv4 pCO₂ (10 d, 100 km window)

- Lines show seasonal mean in ulleteach zone.
- The mean difference between \bullet shipboard data collected in different years at the same location is $\Delta(\Delta pCO2) = 4.4 \mu atm$
- colored by Float T Shipboard T.

0

T Difference (°C)

2

-2



Uncertainty analysis on total ocean carbon sink

- Based on a thorough uncertainty ${\color{black}\bullet}$ analysis, an estimate of 0.57 PgC y-1 was determined by stipulating that the mean error in the float-derived pCO2 ocn, due to systematic uncertainties in the pH data, was normally-distributed with a standard deviation of 1.8% (approximately 7.2) **μ**atm).
- are likely less than 1.8%.
- Southern Ocean CO2 flux

The agreement of the float-based fluxes in three of the four regions with independent estimates, together with the agreement of both the pH data and the float- derived pCO2 ocn data with ship-based measurements, suggests that systematic biases have been minimized in our approach and

Thus a standard error of 0.57 PgC

y-1 most likely represents an upper limit to the uncertainty in the total

Annual net oceanic CO₂ uptake (Pg C y⁻¹)

- Covers region south of 35°S
- Positive indicates net outgassing, negative is uptake.
- Dashes indicate fluxes less than 0.05

Zone	SOCCOM floats	Takahasi Landschü
STZ	-0.4	
SAZ	-0. I	
PAZ	0.6	
SIZ	-	
TOTAL	0.1 ± 0.6 (0.14 ± 0.04)	

hi et. al. (2009) & tzer et al. 52014) -0.5 -0.2 -0.1 -0.8

Implications for Southern Ocean carbon

• Units Pg C y⁻¹

• Positive indicates net outgassing, negative is uptake

• region south of 35°S

	SOCCOM floats	Takaha Landsc
Pre-industrial (out of ocean)	1.1	
Anthropogenic (half global)	-1.0	
Contemporary	0.1	



ashi et. al. (2009) & hützer et al. (2014)

0.2

-1.0

-0.8

If the pre-industrial Southern Ocean source is real, where is the uptake required to keep ocean carbon in balance?

Results from David Baker 4DVar fit to atmospheric observations

David Baker global CO_2 inversion setup

- PCTM off-line tracer transport model ۲
- 4Dvar data assimilation scheme \bullet
- Forward runs at $2^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ (lat/lon) ۲
- Inverse corrections at 6.7°×6.7° (lat/lon) ۲

Priors

In situ OCO-2 land nadir OCO-2 land nadir + s31 OCO-2 land nadir + s31r OCO-2 land glint OCO-2 land glint + s31 OCO-2 ocean glint OCO-2 OG (+airmass BC) OCO-2 OG (airmass < 2.4)

Possible combinations:

- CO_2 data assimilated (4 possibilities)
 - In situ: surface + NOAA aircraft profiles
 - OCO-2 land nadir
 - OCO-2 land glint
 - OCO-2 ocean glint
- Prior estimates (4 possibilities):
 - CASA + NOBM ocean + ODIAC FF
 - CASA + Takahashi ocean + ODIAC FF
 - CASA + Landschutzer ocean + ODIAC FF
 - yr So. Ocean (In situ)

CASA + Lands. + ODIAC + 0.95 PgC/

Southern hemisphere land-ocean trade offs



2. Closed squares are solutions using corrected ocean prior

 1. Open squares are solutions using uncorrected ocean prior

Southern hemisphere land-ocean trade offs The reduced Southern Ocean carbon sink requires increased uptake



Alternative would be for temperate ocean to become a larger sink by relaxing or modifying the SH ocean prior

Sea-air CO₂ difference (µatm)



Landschützer (pers. comm. Nov, 2016)

Undersampling of *p*CO₂

Months of year with surface pCO_2 measurements based on all measurements between 1970 to 2011 binned in 1° squares. White = no data



Bakker et al. (2014)



Oceanographic consistency: Where does the upwelling CO₂ plume come from?

Figures by Ken Johnson and Haidi Chen











How do models do?

Dufour et al. (in prep.) cf. also Gloor et al. (2003)

Models & simulations used in analysis

	center	name	vertical coordinate	ocean resolution	scenario (time period)
CMIP5 models	CERFACS	CNRM-CM5	z		
	NOAA-GFDL	GFDL-ESM2G	isopycnal		
	NOAA-GFDL	GFDL-ESM2M	Z		
	IPSL	IPSL-CM5A-LR	z	0.4° to 2°	historical (1996–2005)
	IPSL	IPSL-CM5A-MR	Z		
	MIROC	MIROC-ESM	isopycnal		
	MPI-M	MPI-ESM-MR	Z		
	NCC	NorESM1-ME	Z		
	NOAA-GFDL	CM2.6	Z	0.1°	idealized 1%/yr (years 21-30)
	Scripps	SOSE*	Z	1/6°	historical (2008–2012)

All models are climate models except SOSE which is an ocean-sea ice data assimilating model forced by atmospheric reanalyses (NCEP)

Annual CO₂ Southern Ocean sink



- All models simulate a sink in agreement with shipbased estimates - Estimates from SOCCOM floats show a weak source (Gray et al., in prep) - Occurrence of open-ocean polynyas slightly impact the SO carbon sink (<10%)



Strong sensitivity of Southern Ocean carbon uptake and nutrient cycling to wind stirring

K. B. Rodgers¹, O. Aumont², S. E. Mikaloff Fletcher³, Y. Plancherel⁴, L. Bopp⁵, C. de Boyer Montégut⁶, D. Iudicone⁷, R. F. Keeling⁸, G. Madec^{9,10}, and R. Wanninkhof¹¹

This is tested with a sensitivity study using an ad hoc parameterization of wind stirring in an ocean carbon cycle model, where the objective is to identify the way in which perturbations to the vertical density structure of the planetary boundary in the ocean impacts the carbon cycle and ocean biogeochemistry.

Wind stirring leads to reduced uptake of CO_2 by the Southern Ocean over the period 2000–2006, with a relative reduction with wind stirring on the order of 0.9 Pg C yr^{-1} over the region south of 45° S. This impacts not only the mean carbon uptake, but also the phasing of the seasonal cycle of carbon and other ocean biogeochemical tracers. Enhanced wind stirring delays the seasonal onset of stratification, and this has large impacts on both entrainment and the biological pump.

Biogeosciences, 11, 4077-4098, 2014

Seasonal mean air-sea CO₂ fluxes

Results: seasonal CO₂ fluxes



Role of interannual variability?

Wind speed anomaly (April 2014 to 2016)

- The ACC region has increased winds which would imply more upwelling & thus outgassing.
- ERA-interim wind fields, calculated as the mean over Apr 2014 - Apr 2016 minus the mean over Apr 1979 - Apr 2016


SST Anomaly (April 2014 to 2016)

- The subtropics are warmer, consistent with increased outgassing.
- The ACC region has colder temperatures, consistent with higher upwelling.
- ERA-interim SST fields, calculated as the mean over Apr 2014 - Apr 2016 minus the mean over Apr 1979 - Apr 2016



oor anomaly								
-1	.5	-1	.0	-0	.5	0.	.0	C

Annual net CO₂ flux (Pg C/yr)







Recent CO₂ flux trend into the Southern Ocean



Le Quéré et al. (2007, Science): Saturation of Southern Ocean CO₂ sink? Landschützer et al. (2015, Science): Reinvigoration of Southern Ocean carbon sink



Global ocean carbon sink

SOCCOMv2017 (2016 uses 2015 winds) — SOCATv2 — GCB2015 — GCB2016



Global ocean carbon sink

GCB2017 – -SOCCOMv3+SOCATv4 – -SOCCOMv4+SOCATv5 – SOCCOMv5+SOCATv5



Conclusion: Southern Ocean CO₂ flux to atmosphere is greater than previous estimates

- Hypothesis 1: flawed methodology \bullet
 - Small number of floats is worrisome, but
 - Good agreement when shipboard data is available is reassuring
 - Method for converting pH to pCO₂ looks good.
- Hypothesis 2: Climatological baseline should have a stronger Southern \bullet Ocean source
 - but is this due to pre-industrial component or anthropogenic component, or both?
 - Maintaining a large global ocean anthropogenic carbon sink requires a larger carbon uptake elsewhere to compensate the smaller carbon uptake in the Southern Ocean
- Hypothesis 3: Interannual variability: 2014-present is anomalous lacksquare
 - This appears to be the case, but past history suggest this can only explain ~0.5 Pg C_{V}^{-1} of O_{V}^{-1} and D_{U}^{-1} and D_{U}^{-1} and D_{U}^{-1}

Discovery of a Southern Ocean carbon source: Implications for carbon uptake in southern hemisphere western boundary regions

> Jorge L. Sarmiento Princeton University

- Current paradigm: the Southern Ocean (south of 35°S) accounts for half the oceanic uptake of anthropogenic carbon. The contemporary air-sea flux estimated from SOCAT shipboard underway data is ~0.8 Pg C/yr into the ocean.
- Results from SOCCOM Argo floats equipped with biogeochemical sensors give a net flux of ~0.1 out of the ocean. This implies an outgoing preindustrial flux larger by ~ 0.9 Pg C/yr than current estimates.

Thank you

Alison Gray & Ken Johnson

Nancy Williams, Seth Bushinsky, Carolina Dufour & Peter Landschützer

Stephen Riser, Joellen Russell, Lynne Talley, & Rik Wanninkhof

The End

The grand challenge

Undersampling of *p*CO₂

Months of year with surface pCO_2 measurements based on all measurements between 1970 to 2011 binned in 1° squares. White = no data



Bakker et al. (2014)



The opportunity







- Argo profiling floats
 - have a 4 to 7 year lifetime, ∞[™]
 - Measure T & S from ~2000 30" N m to the surface every 5 to 0° 10 days. 30°S
 - data direct to Internet.

60°S



The Opportunity



SOCCOM

A paradigm shift – Transformative observing system

- Argo floats (currently required to measure only temperature & salinity)
- Biogeochem sensors now developed for - pH
 - Nitrate,
 - oxygen, and
 - optics (FLBB) funded by NASA

$\Delta(\Delta pCO_2) = Float pCO_2$ shipboard SOCATv4 pCO₂ (10 d, 100 km window)

- Lines show seasonal mean in \bullet each zone.
- The mean difference between \bullet shipboard data collected in different years at the same location is $\Delta(\Delta pCO2) = 4.4$ µatm
- colored by Float T -Shipboard T.

-2



T Difference (°C)

0

2

ΔpCO_2 estimate

Gray et al. (resubmitted)

$\Delta p CO_2$ in SIZ zone









SOCAT v4 Takahashi et al., 2009 — Landschützer et al., 2014 - Float-based estimates

$\Delta p CO_2$ in SAZ







SOCAT v4 – Takahashi et al., 2009 – Landschützer et al., 2014 — Float-based estimates



Jul14 Jan15 Jan15 Jul15 Jan16

$\Delta p CO_2$ in STZ

 $\Delta p C O_2 = p C O_2^{ocn} - p C O_2^{atm}$

Pacific only (no Atlantic data yet)



SOCAT v4 — Takahashi et al., 2009 — Landschützer et al., 2014 — Float-based estimates

Float coverage



How do models do?

Dufour et al. (in prep.)

Results: seasonal CO₂ fluxes



Oceanographic consistency: Where does the upwelling CO₂ plume come from?

Figures by Ken Johnson and Haidi Chen













Potential CO₂ – 400 ppm



NADW





Implications for the Southern Ocean carbon cycle

Annual net oceanic CO₂ uptake (Pg C y⁻¹)

- Covers region south of 35°S
- Positive indicates net outgassing, negative is uptake.

Zone	SOCCOM floats	Takahashi et. al. (2009)	Landschützer et al. (2014)
STZ	-0.37 ± 0.12	-0.40	-0.50
SAZ	-0.13 ± 0.15	-0.24	-0.24
PAZ	0.64 ± 0.24	-0.16	-0.11
SIZ	0.01 ± 0.07	0.02	-0.04
TOTAL	0.14 ± 0.57 (0.04)	-0.77	-0.88

Annual net oceanic CO₂ uptake (Pg C y⁻¹)

- Covers region south of 35°S
- Positive indicates net outgassing, negative is uptake.
- Dashes indicate fluxes less than 0.05

Zone	SOCCOM floats	Takahasi Landschü
STZ	-0.4	
SAZ	-0. I	
PAZ	0.6	
SIZ	-	
TOTAL	0.1 ± 0.6 (0.14 ± 0.04)	

hi et. al. (2009) & tzer et al. 52014) -0.5 -0.2 -0.1 -0.8

Implications for Southern Ocean carbon

• Units Pg C y⁻¹

• Positive indicates net outgassing, negative is uptake

• region south of 35°S

	SOCCOM floats	Takaha Landsc
Pre-industrial (out of ocean)	I.I	
Anthropogenic (half global)	-1.0	
Contemporary	0.1	



ashi et. al. (2009) & hützer et al. (2014)

0.2

-1.0

-0.8

Annual net oceanic CO₂ uptake (Pg C y⁻¹)

- Covers region south of 35°S
- Positive indicates net outgassing, negative is uptake.

Zone	SOCCOM floats	Takahashi et. al. (2009)	Landschützer et al. (2014)
STZ	-0.25 ± 0.12	-0.40	-0.50
SAZ	-0.08 ± 0.12	-0.24	-0.24
PAZ	0.50 ± 0.22	-0.16	-0.11
SIZ	-0.08 ± 0.08	0.02	-0.04
TOTAL	0.09 ± 0.53	-0.77	-0.88

Annual net oceanic CO₂ uptake (Pg C y⁻¹)

- Covers region south of 35°S
- Positive indicates net outgassing, negative is uptake.
- Dashes indicate fluxes less than 0.05

Zone	SOCCOM floats	Takahasł Landschü
STZ	-0.2	
SAZ	-0. I	
PAZ	0.5	
SIZ	-0. I	
TOTAL	0.1 ± 0.5	

hi et. al. (2009) & tzer et al. (2014) -0.4 -0.2 -0.2 -0.8

Implications for Southern Ocean carbon

• Units Pg C y⁻¹

• Positive indicates net outgassing, negative is uptake

• region south of 35°S

	SOCCOM floats	Takaha Landsc
Pre-industrial (out of ocean)	1.1	
Anthropogenic	-1.0	
Contemporary	0.1 ± 0.5	



ashi et. al. (2009) & hützer et al. (2014)

0.2

-1.0

-0.8
If the pre-industrial Southern Ocean source is real: where is the uptake?

Southern hemisphere land-ocean trade offs



Closed squares are solutions using corrected ocean prior

 Open squares are solutions using uncorrected ocean prior

Southern hemisphere land-ocean trade offs

- The reduced Southern Ocean carbon sink requires increased uptake elsewhere to re-balance the carbon budget
- Atmospheric transport models force the signal from the reduced ocean carbon sink to remain in the southern hemisphere
- Model setup lead to a very large increase in the SH land carbon sink



0

Alternative would be for temperate ocean to become a larger sink by relaxing or modifying the SH ocean prior

David Baker global CO_2 inversion setup

- PCTM off-line tracer transport model ۲
- 4Dvar data assimilation scheme ${\color{black}\bullet}$
- Forward runs at $2^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ (lat/lon) ۲
- Inverse corrections at 6.7°×6.7° (lat/lon) ۲

Priors

In situ OCO-2 land nadir OCO-2 land nadir + s31 OCO-2 land nadir + s31r OCO-2 land glint OCO-2 land glint + s31 OCO-2 ocean glint OCO-2 OG (+airmass BC) OCO-2 OG (airmass < 2.4)

Possible combinations:

- CO_2 data assimilated (4 possibilities)
 - In situ: surface + NOAA aircraft profiles
 - OCO-2 land nadir
 - OCO-2 land glint
 - OCO-2 ocean glint
- Prior estimates (4 possibilities):
 - CASA + NOBM ocean + ODIAC FF
 - CASA + Takahashi ocean + ODIAC FF
 - CASA + Landschutzer ocean + ODIAC FF
 - yr So. Ocean (In situ)

CASA + Lands. + ODIAC + 0.95 PgC/

Southern hemisphere land-ocean trade off





In situ OCO-2 land nadir OCO-2 land nadir + s31 OCO-2 land nadir + s31r OCO-2 land glint OCO-2 land glint + s31 OCO-2 ocean glint OCO-2 OG (+airmass BC) OCO-2 OG (airmass < 2.4) 2.5 3

Immediate source: CO₂ addition by organic matter remineralization in Indo-Pacific Deep Water



Sea-air CO_2 difference (µatm)



Landschützer (pers. comm. Nov, 2016)

- But where does uptake from the atmosphere
- occur?
- In high biological production regions?
- In nearby subtropical gyre regions?
- n. Nov, 2016) 80

Undersampling of *p*CO₂

Months of year with surface pCO_2 measurements based on all measurements between 1970 to 2011 binned in 1° squares. White = no data



Bakker et al. (2014)



Or is it interannual variability?

Wind speed anomaly (April 2014 to 2016)

- The ACC region has increased winds which would imply more upwelling & thus outgassing.
- ERA-interim wind fields, calculated as the mean over Apr 2014 - Apr 2016 minus the mean over Apr 1979 - Apr 2016



SST Anomaly (April 2014 to 2016)

- The subtropics are warmer, consistent with increased outgassing.
- The ACC region has colder temperatures, consistent with higher upwelling.
- ERA-interim SST fields, calculated as the mean over Apr 2014 - Apr 2016 minus the mean over Apr 1979 - Apr 2016



				00	I a		ma	י y
-1	.5	-1	.0	-0	.5	0.	.0	C



 \star



How do models do?

Dufour et al. (in prep.) cf. also Gloor et al. (2003)

Models & simulations used in analysis

	center	name	vertical coordinate	ocean resolution	scenario (time period)	
(CERFACS	CNRM-CM5	z			
<u>ه</u>	NOAA-GFDL	GFDL-ESM2G	isopycnal			
del	NOAA-GFDL	GFDL-ESM2M	Z			
CMIP5 mo	IPSL	IPSL-CM5A-LR	z	0.4° to 2°	historical (1996–2005)	
	IPSL	IPSL-CM5A-MR	Z			
	MIROC	MIROC-ESM	isopycnal			
	MPI-M	MPI-ESM-MR	Z			
	NCC	NorESM1-ME	z			
	NOAA-GFDL	CM2.6	Z	0.1°	idealized 1%/yr (years 21-30)	
	Scripps SOSE*		Z	1/6°	historical (2008–2012)	

All models are climate models except SOSE which is an ocean-sea ice data assimilating model forced by atmospheric reanalyses (NCEP)

Annual CO₂ Southern Ocean sink



- All models simulate a sink in agreement with shipbased estimates - Estimates from SOCCOM floats show a weak source (Gray et al., in prep) - Occurrence of open-ocean polynyas slightly impact the SO carbon sink (<10%)



Strong sensitivity of Southern Ocean carbon uptake and nutrient cycling to wind stirring

K. B. Rodgers¹, O. Aumont², S. E. Mikaloff Fletcher³, Y. Plancherel⁴, L. Bopp⁵, C. de Boyer Montégut⁶, D. Iudicone⁷, R. F. Keeling⁸, G. Madec^{9,10}, and R. Wanninkhof¹¹

This is tested with a sensitivity study using an ad hoc parameterization of wind stirring in an ocean carbon cycle model, where the objective is to identify the way in which perturbations to the vertical density structure of the planetary boundary in the ocean impacts the carbon cycle and ocean biogeochemistry.

Wind stirring leads to reduced uptake of CO_2 by the Southern Ocean over the period 2000–2006, with a relative reduction with wind stirring on the order of 0.9 Pg C yr^{-1} over the region south of 45° S. This impacts not only the mean carbon uptake, but also the phasing of the seasonal cycle of carbon and other ocean biogeochemical tracers. Enhanced wind stirring delays the seasonal onset of stratification, and this has large impacts on both entrainment and the biological pump.

Biogeosciences, 11, 4077-4098, 2014

Conclusion: Southern Ocean CO₂ flux to atmosphere is greater than previous estimates

- Hypothesis 1: flawed methodology lacksquare
 - Small number of floats is worrisome, but
 - Good agreement when shipboard data is available is reassuring
 - Method for converting pH to pCO₂ looks good.
- Hypothesis 2: Climatological baseline should have a stronger Southern \bullet Ocean source
 - but is this due to pre-industrial component or anthropogenic component, or both?
 - Maintaining a large global ocean anthropogenic carbon sink requires a larger carbon uptake elsewhere to compensate the smaller carbon uptake in the Southern Ocean
- Hypothesis 3: Interannual variability: 2014-present is anomalous lacksquare
 - This appears to be the case, but past history suggest this can only explain ~0.5 Pg C_{V}^{-1} of O_{V}^{-1} and D_{U}^{-1} and D_{U}^{-1} and D_{U}^{-1}

Thank you

Alison Gray & Ken Johnson

Nancy Williams, Seth Bushinsky, Carolina Dufour & Peter Landschützer

Stephen Riser, Joellen Russell, Lynne Talley, & Rik Wanninkhof

The End

Extra slides

S

Implications for global carbon cycle in the ocean & on land

Landschützer et al. (in preparation)

Undersampling of *p*CO₂

Months of year with surface pCO_2 measurements based on all measurements between 1970 to 2011 binned in 1° squares. White = no data



Bakker et al. (2014)



Tests of adequacy of data coverage

Wilson et al. (accepted, GBC) Gray et al. (in preparation)

Test using high resolution (GFDL CM2.6) ocean model

- Units Pg C y⁻¹
- Covers region south of 35°S •
- Positive indicates net outgassing, ٠ negative is uptake.
- Impact of sampling using CM2.6 • 1/10th degree ocean model simulation results



Support from nitrate measurements

L. Arteaga (pers. comm.)

Support from oxygen measurements

S. Bushinsky (pers. comm.)

Southern Ocean Oxygen Fluxes

Bushinsky et al., in prep



+ Outgassing – Uptake

Flux (mol m ⁻² y ⁻¹)				
O ₂	CO2			
1.9 ± 1.5	-0.9 ± 0.5			
0.1 ± 1.6	-0.3 ± 0.7			
-1.8 ± 3.2	1.6 ± 0.8			
-6.4 ± 1.6	-0.3 ± 0.4			

High in NO_3 and DIC

Or is it interannual variability?



Recent CO₂ flux trend into the Southern Ocean



Le Quéré et al. (2007, Science): Saturation of Southern Ocean CO₂ sink? Landschützer et al. (2015, Science): Reinvigoration of Southern Ocean carbon sink



Global ocean carbon sink

SOCCOMv2017 (2016 uses 2015 winds) — SOCATv2 — GCB2015 — GCB2016



Global ocean carbon sink

GCB2017 – -SOCCOMv3+SOCATv4 – -SOCCOMv4+SOCATv5 – SOCCOMv5+SOCATv5



Zonal average wind speed at 10 m



The outsized role of the Southern Ocean in the regulation of carbon

Jorge L. Sarmiento Princeton University

The Southern Ocean accounts for half the oceanic uptake of anthropogenic carbon. Initial results from a fleet of new BGC Argo floats equipped with biogeochemical sensors are forcing us to rethink the current paradigm.


The Southern Ocean accounts for half of ocean CO₂ uptake, 10-15% of total emissions

Anthropogenic carbon budget (2006-2015)





Le Quéré et al. (2015, Earth System Science Data)

Southern Ocean uptake



1.3 Pg C/y

Highlights - Float Deployments



- 80 floats
 - operational
- 32 deployed
 - this year on 5
 - cruises (+1
 - more cruise
 - with 7 floats)
- Data freely
 - available

The Opportunity



(2) Development of improved oibservational analysis methods

Southern Ocean State Estimation (SOSE) using data fitting to produce full 4D estimates of ocean properties



The Opportunity



-0.9 -0.8 -0.7 -0.6 -0.5 -0.4 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 -0.0 log10 of surface velocity magnitude in m/s



(3) Eddy rich-high resolution climate models

What is SOCCOM?









SOCCOM's mission is to drive a transformative shift in our understanding of the role of the Southern Ocean in climate change and biogeochemistry by:

Extending sparse Southern Ocean biogeochemical observations by deploying a robotic observing system composed of ~200 autonomous BGC Argo floats that will provide nearly continuous coverage in time and horizontal space over the entire Southern Ocean, as well as vertical coverage deep into the water column.

Using these observations to analyze and improve a new generation of high resolution (1/10°) earth system models to both increase our understanding of the Southern Ocean's current workings and make better projections of the future trajectory of the Earth's climate and biogeochemistry.

Educating a new generation of ocean scientists trained in both ocean observation and simulation, and develop a sophisticated outreach effort to disseminate results to the broadest possible community

Directorate



Director Jorge Sarmiento, Princeton

THEME I OBSERVATIONS

Lead: L. Talley, Scripps Inst. of Oceanography Co-Lead: S. Riser, University of Washington

. Johnson, MBARI . Riser, U. Washington	(SOSE) B. Cornuelle, SIO M. Mazloff, SIO A. Verdy, SIO
--	---

PROCESS STUDIES S. Gille, SIO L. Talley, SIO

SOCCOM

Director: J. Sarmiento, Princeton Associate Director: K. Johnson, MBARI Data Manager: R. Key, Princeton

EXECUTIVE BOARD

FLOAT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

FOREIGN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

SUPPORT OFFICE

Project Manager: R. Hotinski, Princeton **Business Manager: S. Christian, Princeton** Administrative Support: L. Matecha, Princeton

THEME III

BROADER IMPACTS Lead: H. Cullen, Climate Central Co-Lead: J. Sarmiento, Princeton

OUTREACH COORDINATION H. Cullen, Climate Central	EDUCATION & DIVER- SITY COORDINATION J. Sarmiento, Princeton
 SHARING OF DATA & MODEL RESULTS R. Key, Princeton S. Riser, UW J. Russell, UA	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER K. Johnson, MBARI S. Riser, UW

Associate Director Ken Johnson, MBARI





Project Manager Roberta Hotinski. Princeton

Co-Lead: J. Sarmi		
FDL ULTRA-HIGH ESOLUTION CLIMATE ODEL ANALYSIS Russell, UA	BIOGEOCHEMISTRY J. Sarmiento, Princeton	
BSERVATION STEM SIMULATION	CARBON & ACIDIFICATION	

EXPERIMENTS I. Karnenkovich, U Miami L. Juranek, Oregon State J. Sarmiento, Princeton

R.A. Feely, NOAA PMEL

THEME II

MODELING

Lead: J. Russell, University of Arizor

_



Executive Board

Theme I Observations



Lynne Talley, UCSD

Steve Riser, U. Washington



Theme II Modeling



Joellen Russell U. Arizona

Broader Impacts

Heidi Cullen, Climate Central



FLOAT-BASED CO₂ FLUXES $\mathbf{F} = k K_0 \left(\mathbf{p} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{O}_2^{\mathsf{ocn}} - \mathbf{p} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{O}_2^{\mathsf{atm}} \right)$ pCO_2^{ocn} estimated from SOCCOM floats DuraFET Algorithm TA pH sensor (eg., Carter pCO₂^{atm} from Cape Grim et al. 2016) observations k Gas transfer velocity, $CO2SYS(pH_{Total}, TA, T, S, P) \rightarrow pCO_2$ \propto wind speed squared (Wanninkhof 2014) Using 6-hourly ERA-Interim Error analysis gives a 2.7% uncertainty in pCO_2 winds (11 μ atm at a pCO₂ of 400 μ atm)

 K_0 solubility constant from float *T*, S

Williams et al., 2017(GBC)

Estimating surface ocean pCO₂ from float-based pH





FLOAT-BASED pCO₂

 $pCO \downarrow 2 = f(pH, T, S, A \downarrow T)$

pH, *T*, and *S* measured by floats

Alkalinity estimated using multiple linear regression Carter et al. 2016

Uncertainty after biascorrection estimated at 2.7% (median 10.5 µatm) Williams et al. 2017





Estimating surface ocean pCO₂ from float-based pH



Underway *f*CO₂ anomaly: measured minus calculated



FLOAT-BASED CO₂ FLUXES $\mathbf{F} = k K_0 \left(\mathbf{p} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{O}_2^{\mathsf{ocn}} - \mathbf{p} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{O}_2^{\mathsf{atm}} \right)$

 pCO_2^{ocn} estimated from **SOCCOM** floats

- pCO₂^{atm} from Cape Grim observations
- k Gas transfer velocity, \propto wind speed squared (Wanninkhof 2014) Using 6-hourly ERA-Interim winds

 K_0 solubility constant from float T, S

 $\Delta pCO_2 = (pCO_2^{ocn} - pCO_2^{atm})$ from 13 SOCCOM floats deployed in first two years compared to in situ data and climatologies



SOCAT v4 Takahashi et al., 2009 Landschützer et al., 2014 — Float-based estimates

FLOAT-BASED CO₂ FLUXES $\mathbf{F} = k K_0 \left(\mathbf{p} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{O}_2^{\mathsf{ocn}} - \mathbf{p} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{O}_2^{\mathsf{atm}} \right)$

 pCO_2^{ocn} estimated from SOCCOM floats

- pCO₂^{atm} from Cape Grim observations
- k Gas transfer velocity, \propto wind speed squared (Wanninkhof 2014) Using 6-hourly ERA-Interim winds
- K_0 solubility constant from float T, S

 $\Delta pCO_2 = pCO_2^{ocn} - pCO_2^{atm}$



Average global anthropogenic CO_2 uptake = -0.46 ± 0.14 mol m⁻² y⁻¹



Seasonal cycle of nitrate in upper 20 m

Floats measure higher nitrate in PAZ compared to World **Ocean Atlas**





CO₂ fluxes across the air-sea interface



Gloor et al. (2003)

CO₂ fluxes across the air-sea interface



Gloor et al. (2003)

CO₂ fluxes across the air-sea interface



Gloor et al. (2003)