



Towards the Application of Decadal Climate Predictions in Water Management

Erin Towler (NCAR) D. PaiMazumder, J. Done, & D. Yates

2017 US CLIVAR Summit PSMI Panel Breakout

Aug 9, 2017 Remote Webinar to Baltimore, MD

towler@ucar.edu

Goals:

 Introduce our NSF-funded project on Understanding Decision-Climate Interactions on Decadal Scales (UDECIDE)

2. Present a framework towards the application of decadal climate predictions

Understanding Decision-Climate Interactions on Decadal Scales

UDECIDE aims to understand the role of decadal climate information for water management decisions.





Interview results corroborate the three criteria needed for climate information to be used by decision makers identified by Cash et al. (2002):

*Saliency - How relevant the information is to decision makers

*Credibility - How authoritative, believable, and trustworthy the data and its source are considered to be by decision makers

Legitimacy - How "fair" an information producing process is and whether it considers appropriate values, concerns, and perspectives of different actors Results also indicated two additional criteria: Compatibility and Contextual Acceptability.

*Compatibility - How well the new data fits with existing processes, mathematical models, decision-making processes, and required activities

Contextual Acceptability - How well the new data fits with existing political, financial, and social forces

Understanding Decision-Climate Interactions on Decadal Scales

UDECIDE aims to understand the role of decadal climate information for water management decisions.





We develop a three-step framework to explore how decadal temperature predictions could be applied by





Data:

- NCAR CCSM4 temperature hindcasts
 - Initialized every year 1980-2010
 - Examine years 1-5
 - 10 ensembles



Mean squared skill score (MSSS) is positive where hindcast is more skillful than climatology.



105W

45N

80W

Colorado Watershed (MSSS = -0.038)

40N

Ottawa Watershed (MSSS = 0.25)

75W

We develop a three-step framework to explore how decadal temperature predictions could be applied by potential users.





Data:

• NCAR CCSM4 temperature hindcasts





Decadal temperature predictions can be presented like climate change projections (i.e., a delta)



Discrete temperature anomalies (deltas) for 2011-2015 (relative to 1981-2010) shows warming across the US.

	Delta	
	Colorado	Ottawa
Anomaly (C)	0.9	0.2



Decadal temperature predictions can be presented like seasonal climate forecasts (i.e., probabilistic)



Probabilistic temperature predictions for 2011-2015 tilt towards "Above-normal" category

	_	Colorado	Ottawa
Probability (%)	Below-Normal	0	27
	Normal	27	30
	Above-Normal	73	43

We develop a three-step framework to explore how decadal temperature predictions could be applied by potential users.





Only look at Colorado watershed results...





Climatology is the observed average temperatures over the watershed from 1981-2010 (=30 years).





Annual Average Temperature (C)





Delta (0.9C)



The Weighted Resample resamples average temperatures over the watershed to reflect the probabilistic hindcast







The **Hybrid** re-centers the weighted resample over climatology, then adds the delta.

 $\begin{array}{c} (\text{Resamp}_{\text{Avg}} + \text{Delta} \\ - \text{Clim}_{\text{Avg}}) \end{array} \quad (0.9\text{C}) \end{array}$

Colorado Watershed



Colorado Watershed: There is a distinct increase in average temperature from 1981-2010 to 2011-2015.



Colorado Watershed: Delta adds 0.9C to climatology & distribution shape stays the same.



Colorado Watershed: Weighted resample samples 0% from below-normal, 27% from normal, and 73% from above-normal climatology distribution; distribution shape changes



Colorado Watershed: Hybrid re-centers weighted resample to climatological average, then adds the delta.



All three translation methods do better than climatology.

Average absolute % error (across selected quantiles) for 2011-2015 prediction

		Avg Abs % Error
Colorado	Clim	18%
	Delta	4%
	Weighted	5%
	Hybrid	4%

Conclusions

 Decadal predictions are still experimental, but framework provides water managers with systematic alternatives to using climatology.



Conclusions

 Decadal predictions are still experimental, but these approaches give water managers systematic alternatives to using climatology.



• Translations have pros/cons

Conclusions

 Decadal predictions are still experimental, but these approaches give water managers systematic alternatives to using climatology.



Current Work:

Use hydrologic model to make predictions more relevant to water managers



WEAP Application (Hydrologic model) Courtesy David Yates, NCAR

Thank you! towler@ucar.edu



Towler E, PaiMazumder D, Done J (2017), "Towards the application of decadal climate predictions," Submitted to the Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology (AMS).