# Signatures of the AMOC at the ocean's surface and below

## Eleanor Frajka-Williams National Oceanography Centre, UK



National **Oceanography Centre** 

NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL





AMOC meeting, Miami 2018

Labrador Sea





# ANOC slowdown?

Climate models predict that the MOC will slow down in the next century

Short observational record of the directly observed **AMOC strength** limits investigations into long term behaviour.

If we look at the signatures of AMOC changes at the surface and subsurface of the Atlantic, we may be able to better understand the long term behaviour and associated impacts of AMOC changes...



### *Fingerprints* of the AMOC





# What are fingerprints?

"coherent patterns of response to the ocean circulation are generally known as fingerprints" - Alexander-Turner et al. (2018)

## Importantly: Fingerprints may appear in variables with longer records than those of AMOC transport



FIG. 3. Time series of simulated annual mean North Atlantic SST anomalies (40°–60°N and 50°-10°W, dashed line) and annual mean anomalies of the maximum overturning at 30°N (full line), a measure of the strength of the model's thermohaline circulation.







# What are fingerprints?

"coherent patterns of response to the ocean circulation are generally known as fingerprints" - Alexander-Turner et al. (2018)

Importantly: Fingerprints may appear in variables with longer records than those of AMOC transport



• Examples of a few fingerprints

- Assumptions of fingerprints
  - Uses of fingerprints

This talk: Modern record





## What fingerprints might we look for?

Variables often used: Sea surface height (SSH), sea surface temperature (SST), subsurface temperature and upper ocean heat content (OHC)

OHC changes due to fluxes:

OHC(t) - OHC(t<sub>0</sub>) = 
$$\int_{t_0}^t F' + S' - N' dt$$
,

Where F' is air-sea flux, S' (N') are heat transport through a southern (northern) boundary

$$\frac{dOHC}{dt} \propto HT$$

(SSH may track OHC due to steric changes)





## What fingerprints might we look for?

### A change in the AMOC may drive a change in heat content in part of the ocean volume.

Subtropical cooling following AMOC dip in 2009/10



Cunningham et al. (2013) Also Bryden et al. (2013)



## What fingerprints might we look for?





Variables often used: Sea surface height (SSH), sea surface temperature (SST), subsurface temperature and upper ocean heat content (OHC)

SSH gradients directly related to meridional velocities:

$$-fv_1 = -g\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial x}$$

inversely related to thermocline displacement, which is associated with shear

$$-f_0 \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = \frac{g}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}$$



# **Fingerprints of the AMOC**

Often determined as a correlation between the AMOC and a proxy (e.g., SST or subsurface temperature)

0.3

0.2

0.2

-0.3

-0.4

-0.5

-0.6

-0.8



1.0 Fingerprint: Regression between modelled 0.9 0.8 0.7 AMOC at 40N and subsurface temperature at 0.6 400m 0.5

> Model: GFDL CM2.1, 1000-year integration Proxy: Tsub, SLA

Filtering/timescale: Detrended, annual means





### **Zhang (2008)**

# Fingerprints with lags

Typically determined as a correlation between the AMOC and the proxy (e.g., SST or subsurface temperature)

Fingerprint: Lagged regression Proxy: SST - NOAA Reynolds OI Against: RAPID AMOC 2004-2014

Filtering/timescale: Monthly data, 2-month running mean Lag: 5 months

AMOC-SST lagged correlations (AMOC leads) Duchez et al. (2016a)





# SSH proxy for ocean circulation



Fingerprint: AVISO SSH at west (30N,70W)

Built AMOC proxy: SSH for UMO (1993-2012), then added Florida Current and Ekman Comparison: RAPID AMOC at 26N

Filtering/timescale: Deseasonalised, 1.5-year Turkey filter, spatial filter on AVISO of 5x10 deg.





D

# SSH proxy for ocean circulation



Fingerprint: AVISO SSH at west (30N,70W)

Built AMOC proxy: SSH for UMO (1993-2012), then added Florida Current and Ekman Comparison: RAPID AMOC at 26N

Filtering/timescale: Deseasonalised, 1.5-year Turkey filter, spatial filter on AVISO of 5x10 deg.





# Long time reconstruction

CM2.6 model

HadISST data



Fingerprint of AMOC: SST in the subpolar gyre

Model: GFDL CM2.6 CO2 doubling, 80-year integration Built AMOC proxy: SST anomalies in the subpolar gyre from HadiSST 1870-2016

Comparison: AMOC at 26N

Filtering/timescale: Nov-May averages of SST









# Long time reconstruction

CM2.6 model

HadISST data



Fingerprint of AMOC: SST in the subpolar gyre

Model: GFDL CM2.6 CO2 doubling, 80-year integration Built AMOC proxy: SST anomalies in the subpolar gyre from HadiSST 1870-2016

### Comparison: AMOC at 26N



![](_page_13_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_11.jpeg)

# Assumptions of fingerprints

- Linear relationship between AMOC and proxy
- Implicit timescales based on data filtering
- Assumption of stationarity
- Potential for misattribution

d proxy ring

# **Fingerprints: Linear assumption**

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

A linear regression between the AMOC and the proxy:

Assume (or determine) that temperature varies linearly with AMOC

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **Fingerprints: Linear assumption**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Fingerprints vs Circulation pathway change

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Parker et al. (2015)** 

Also Zhang (2007)

Linear assumption is like making small perturbations around a mean: Marginally stronger heat transport *strength* -> marginally warmer ocean north of the line

Vs Circulation *pathway* change

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

When the AMOC weakened, a new pathway opened up to bring warm, saline subtropical Atlantic water towards the equator

![](_page_17_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_10.jpeg)

# Fingerprints: Implic t Timescales

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

Caesar et al. (2018)

# AMOC variability

**Deriving fingerprints has an implicit** expectation about timescale.

• The observed AMOC at 26N shows variability on a range of timescales

*Timescales relate to processes* 

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

between consecutive data segments for periods  $\leq$  (>) 365 days.

# Fingerprints: Implic t Timescales

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

Caesar et al. (2018)

# Fingerprints: Assumption of Stationarity

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

Alexander-Turner et al. (2018)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

Typically assumes that the process that controls the proxy to AMOC relationship is the *dominant process* controlling that variable/proxy.

However, the relationship can change with time (non-stationary).

![](_page_21_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

# **Fingerprints: Potential for Mis-attribution**

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Tmax and SST anomaly

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

Typically assumes that the AMOC is the dominant process controlling the variable/ proxy.

But, both may be driven by other changes in forcing.

E.g. SST warming hole on long timescales is a -1 signature of a *slowing AMOC*. On interannual timescales, may be driven largely by atmospheric forcing.

![](_page_22_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

## **Fingerprints: Potential for Mis-attribution**

- Origins of the AMO/AMV variability (a large-scale SST) pattern in the Atlantic) is debated:
- AMOC forcing (e.g., Mann & Emmanual, 2006 + Zhang et al., 2008)
- Aerosol forcing (Booth et al., 2012 + rebuttal Zhang) et al., 2013)
- Atmospheric forcing (Clement et al., 2015 + comment Zhang et al., 2016)

Model behaviour and type (slab-ocean vs coupled, strongly active aerosols) can influence the results.

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

## What we've learned from fingerprints

Fingerprints help us to

- Reconstruct past changes in the AMOC when observations didn't exist
- Place current variability of AMOC in context of longer term variations
- Take advantage of fingerprint-variable predictability to predict AMOC changes
- Evaluate impacts of AMOC changes

A few examples...

# **Processes vs fingerprints**

Can *potentially* be used to understand critical driving processes. A change in the AMOC may correspond to a change in the strength of particular subsets of the circulation.

Regression of Tsub anomalies on AMOC (K) 65°N 1 55<sup>0</sup>N  $\mathbf{d}$ 45<sup>o</sup>N -35<sup>0</sup>N 1.  $25^{\circ}N$ MODEL 57. 20<sup>o</sup>W 00 80<sup>°</sup>W 60°W 40°W

![](_page_25_Figure_4.jpeg)

Patterns as well as magnitudes of fingerprints matter (Zhang et al. 2013)

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Seasonal prediction of SST

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

Duchez et al. (2016a)

If SST is driven (in part and sometimes) by AMOC changes, there may be the potential for predicting SST in the North Atlantic from AMOC changes

### Duchez et al. (2016) Alexand <sup>-</sup>Turner et al. (2018)

# Variability of coastal sea level

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

Interannual

Data sources:

- Transport observations
- Property observations (temperature, sea level)
- Numerical simulations

![](_page_27_Figure_7.jpeg)

Calafat et al. (2018) Alternative: Hirschi poster

![](_page_27_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_11.jpeg)

## McCarthy et al. (2015) **Decadal prediction of SST/OHC AMO/AMV**

Timescales:

Interannual to multidecadal

Data sources:

- **Transport observations**
- Property observations (temperature, sea level)

![](_page_28_Figure_6.jpeg)

## Zhang (2008)

![](_page_28_Figure_8.jpeg)

# Other fingerprints?

Variables often used: Sea surface height (SSH), sea surface temperature (SST), subsurface temperature and upper ocean heat content (OHC)

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

Can we find other fingerprint variables to use?

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Other fingerprints?

MOC variance explained by pressure along the continental slope

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

Hughes et al. (2018)

![](_page_30_Figure_5.jpeg)

## **Ocean bottom pressure?**

![](_page_30_Figure_7.jpeg)

# Other fingerprints?

- Meridional heat transport is driven by the AMOC at 26N, and temperature is a signature of AMOC changes.
- Meridional freshwater transport at 26N is also driven by the AMOC.
- Perhaps salinity is a potential fingerprint? Though records are not as long as temperature...

## Salinity?

![](_page_31_Figure_5.jpeg)

McDonagh et al. (2015) Moat et al. Poster

![](_page_31_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Summary

Data sources:

- Transport observations
- Property observations and reanalyses (temperature, sea level)
- Numerical simulations

Timescales:

- <= Annual
- Interannual
- Decadal
- Centennial

+ Paleo proxies

+ Millenial and longer

![](_page_32_Picture_12.jpeg)

Objectives:

- Seasonal prediction
- Decadal prediction
- Sea level variability
- Context for present day

+ more

+ Paleo reconstruction

![](_page_32_Picture_20.jpeg)

# Summary

Data sources:

- Transport observations
- Property observations and reanalyses (temperature, sea level)
- Numerical simulations

Timescales:

- <= Annual
- Interannual
- Decadal
- Centennial

+ Paleo proxies

+ Millenial and longer

- **Timescales** may be assumed but relate to *processes*
- **Linearity** limits utility if circulation pathway shifts
- Dominance of a single driver is a necessary assumption? But assumes stationarity
- **Patterns** of the fingerprint may be related to *processes*

![](_page_33_Picture_18.jpeg)

Objectives:

- Seasonal prediction
- Decadal prediction lacksquare
- Sea level variability
- Context for present day

+ more

+ Paleo reconstruction

• Potential for other fingerprints/multivariate fingerprints. SST, subsurface T, OHC, (SSH) are linked

Thank you!

![](_page_33_Picture_32.jpeg)

## References

Fingerprints:

Alexander-Turner et al. (2018) How robust are the surface temperature fingerprints of the AMOC on monthly time scales? GRL. doi: 10.1002/2017GL076759 Bingham and Hughes (2009) Signature of the AMOC in sea level along the east coast of North America. GRL. doi: 10.1029/2008GL036215 Caesar et al. (2018) Observed fingerprint of a weakening Atlantic Ocean overturning circulation. Nature. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0006-5 Calafat et al. (2018) Coherent modulation of the sea level annual cycle in the United States by Rossby Waves Nat Comm. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-04898-y Duchez et al. (2016a) Potential for seasonal prediction of Atlantic SST using the RAPID array at 26N. Clim. Dyn. doi: 10.1007/s00382-015-2918-1 **Duchez et al. (2016b)** Drivers of exceptionally cold North Atlantic Ocean temperatures and their link to the 2015 European heat wave ERL. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/11/7/074004

Latif et al (2004) Reconstructing, monitoring and predicting multidecadal scale changes in the North Atlantic THC with SST. JClim doi: <u>10.1175/1520-0442(2004)017<1605:RMAPMC>2.0.CO;2</u>

**Msadek et al (2010)**, Assessing the predictability of the AMOC & associated fingerprints, *GRL*, doi: 10.1029/2010GL044517. Parker et al. (2015) Tropical NAtl subsurface warming events as a fingerprint for AMOC variability during MIS 3. Paleoceanogr. doi: 10.1002/2015PA002832 **Zhang (2008)** Coherent surface-subsurface fingerprint of the AMOC. *GRL*. doi: 10.1029/2008GL035463 Zhang and Delworth (2005) Simulated tropical response to a substantial weakening of the Atlantic THC. JClim. doi: 10.1175/JCLI3460.1

### AMO:

Booth et al. (2012) Nature 10.1038/nature10946 & Zhang et al. (2013) JAS doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-12-0331.1 Clement et al. (2015) Science doi: 10.1126/science.aab3980 & Zhang et al. (2016) Science doi: 10.1126/science.aaf1660 McCarthy et al. (2015) Ocean impact on decadal Atlantic climate variability revealed by sea-level observations. Nature. doi: 10.1038/nature14491

### AMOC:

Cunningham et al. (2013) AMOC slowdown cooled the subtropical ocean. GRL doi: 10.1002/2013GL058464 Frajka-Williams (2015) Estimating the Atlantic overturning at 26N using satellite altimetry and cable measurements. GRL doi: 10.1002/2015GL063220 Hughes et al. (2018) A window on the deep ocean. PIO. doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2018.01.011 Johns et al. (2011) Continuous, Array-Based Estimates of Atlantic Ocean Heat Transport at 26.5°N. JPO. doi: 10.1175/2010JCLI3997.1 Kanzow et al. (2009) Seasonal Variability of the AMOC at 26.5°N. JPO. doi: 10.1175/2010JCLI3389.1 McDonagh et al. (2015) Continuous Estimate of Atlantic Oceanic Freshwater Flux at 26.5°N. J Clim. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00519.1 Smeed et al. (2018) The North Atlantic Ocean is in a state of reduced overturning. GRL. doi: 10.1002/2017GL076350

![](_page_34_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_14.jpeg)