

Title: A large ensemble testbed to evaluate pCO₂ interpolation methods

Authors:

Luke Gloege¹,
Galen A. McKinley¹
Peter Landschützer²
Nicole S. Lovenduski⁶
Keith B. Rodgers⁵
Amanda Fay
Thomas Frolicher
John C. Fyfe⁷
Tatiana Ilyina²
Steve D. Jones⁴
Christian Rödenbeck³
Yohei Takano²
Sarah Schlunegger⁵

Institutions:

¹ Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Palisades, NY
² Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany
³ Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany
⁴ University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
⁵ AOS program, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ
⁶ University of Colorado, Boulder, CO
⁷ Environment and Climate Change Canada, Victoria, Canada

Abstract:

Accurately quantifying ocean CO₂ uptake is imperative to reducing uncertainty in the global carbon budget and assessing whether the goals of the UNFCCC Paris agreement are being achieved. Quantifying the CO₂ flux across the air-sea interface requires time-dependent maps of surface ocean pCO₂. Even though there is a paucity of pCO₂ observations within any given month and global coverage is sparse, various techniques have been developed to create monthly maps of surface pCO₂, either by interpolating pCO₂ observations or using global monthly maps of physical and biogeochemical variables as regressors. Such interpolation techniques are integral, since pCO₂ cannot be directly measured with satellites. However, the carbon cycle community lacks the ability to test the accuracy of these gap-filling methods when the true value is unknown. We bridge this gap by evaluating a leading method within four independent coupled ocean-atmosphere models. Here, we present a testbed using multiple large ensembles from four independent models suitable for such an evaluation. We use this testbed to statistically evaluate how well a neural-network based gap-filling approach is able to reconstruct the spatial pattern of pCO₂ in different climate states. A suite of statistical techniques is used to compare the reconstructed and modeled pCO₂. Preliminary results suggest reconstruction methods perform well in large regions of the global ocean, with high correlations (>~0.9) in the Equatorial Pacific and North Atlantic and low correlations (~0.5) in regions of the Southern Ocean.