Re-evaluation of leaf wax hydrogen stable isotopic signal from a case study of model-proxy discrepancy in mid-Holocene northern Africa

CLIVAR Water Isotopes and Climate Workshop

1. Introduction

- Hydrogen stable isotopic composition of leaf wax *n*-alkanes (δD_{wax}) is an important tool for reconstructing past hydroclimate, which is done using the "amount effect"¹⁻⁷
- "Amount Effect": mean annual rainfall (MAP) amount varies inversely with its δD^8
- Past MAP is calculated from δD_{wax} by accounting for apparent fractionations under the assumption that the δD of rainfall (δD_{p}) is roughly equal to the δD of soil water (δD_{s})⁷ • Decoupling of δD_{p} and δD_{s} would complicate this calculation
- Case study for testing leaf waxes: Green Sahara of the mid-Holocene (MH, 6ka BP) • In the MH, the Sahara was wetter and greener relative to the Pre-industrial era (PI) • 10 leaf wax δD records report an average MH depletion of 12.8% relative to the PI
- Here we present the first comprehensive study of the Green Sahara, including a change in vegetation, using the water isotope-enabled Community Earth System Model⁹

2. Methods

- We perform experiments with the fully coupled water isotope-enabled iCESM⁹ • Simulated tracers of water isotopes (i.e., δD) allow for direct comparison with δD_{wax}
- Spin-up for 300 years (200 for PI) with climatology calculated from last 50 years
- MH_{VEG} : Boundary conditions of mid-Holocene orbital (6ka) and vegetated Sahara
- Average PI land surface at zonal region over the Sahel (10°N) extended north to 35°N
- MH_{VEG} PI_{DESERT} : climate differences due to altered orbital forcing and vegetation cover



Figure 1. Annual MH–PI differences in a) leaf wax δD records throughout northern Africa, b) mean annual rainfall, c) rainfall amount-weighted isotopic composition of rainfall (δD_{p}), and d) root depth fraction-weighted isotopic composition of soil water (δD_s). Numbered stars in b), c), and d) correspond to the leaf wax δD records in a). Red boxes indicate the spatial extent of the northwestern and southeastern Sahara. Stippling shows statistically significant differences at the 95% confidence level.

¹University of Michigan Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, ²University of Connecticut Center for Integrative Geosciences Author contact information: alexjt@umich.edu

b) Southeastern Sahara cycle of total precipitation 1.8 a) Northwestern Sahara and the isotopic comp. PRECT of rainfall for PI_{DESERT} and <u>کہ</u> 1.2 MH_{VEG} for the a) north-PREC1 0.9 western Sahara (25.6-31.3°N, 15°W-5°E) and b) 0.6 southeastern Sahara (10.4-0.3 seasonal cycle plots show that the MH enrichment δD_{D} — MH -30 » -40 omega -40 northwestern (southeastern) — MH Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec • **PI**_{DESERT}: Boundary conditions of Pre-industrial orbital (0ka) and modern Sahara desert 3. Results • Due to MH–PI difference in orbital forcing and vegetation cover $(MH_{VEG} - PI_{DESERT})$: • MAP increases throughout northern Africa (Figs. 1b and 2) • The isotopic composition of rainfall (δD_{p}) becomes... • ...depleted in the SE Sahara due to the continental effect (Figs. 1c and 2b) • ...enriched in the NW Sahara due to a combination of a stronger Saharan Heat $MH_{VFG} - PI_{DFSFBT}$ Low and an enrichment in transpired water vapor δD (Figs. 1c, 2a, and 3) • δD_{s} becomes depleted throughout northern Africa due to increased vegetation cover and an increase in the transpiration-to-total ET ratio (Figs. 1d and 3) • Root-mean-square error (RMSE) between the modeled results and leaf wax δD records is reduced year-round when comparing against δD_s instead of δD_p (Fig. 4) 3 21 • Changes to δD_{c} better explain the five western leaf wax proxy sites, whereas changes to δD_{D} better explain the five eastern leaf wax δD sites (Fig. 4) More enriched atmosphere Less enriched atmosphere ess Transpiratio Less Evaporation More Evaporation Root depth fraction-weighted More enriched soil water c) Vegetated b) Desert Figure 3. Schematic illustrating differences in the 700 hPa wind vectors evapotranspiration (ET) flux between a) deserted KERERER KAN and b) vegetated environments and the resulting impacts on the isotopic composition of the soil water pool and atmosphere. c) Dec., Jan., Feb. (DJF) difference between MH_{VEG} and PI_{DESERT} for specific humidity-weighted isotopic composition of water vapor (δD_{VAPOR}) at 700 hPa overlain by 700 hPa winds. The strong MH enrichment that occurs where vegetation cover has been increased exemplifies the ET flux changes in vegetated 20S -

Alexander Thompson¹, Clay Tabor², and Chris Poulsen¹



— Figure 2. Seasonal 16.1°N, 20-40°E). These (depletion) of δD_{p} relative to the PI occuring in the Sahara takes place during monsoon season, implying the processes that promote these changes also occur during the monsoon season.



Boulder, CO, October 1-3, 2019

Figure 4. a) Root-mean-square error (RMSE) for comparing the 10 leaf wax δD records in Figure 1 to seasonal averages of the isotopic composition of rainfall (δD_{p} , blue) and to the isotopic composition of soil water (δD_s , orange). Dashed and dotted lines show RMSE calculations for records #1-5 and 6-10, respectively. Faded solid lines show annual average RMSE for all records. b) Sept., Oct., Nov. (SON) average difference $(MH_{VEG}-PI_{DESERT})$ of δD_{p} and 700 hPa winds. SON has large RMSE between δD_{p} and the leaf wax δD records. As shown in b), easterly winds over the NW Sahara are accompanied by relative MH enrichment while monsoonal westerlies are accompanied by depletion.

4. Conclusions

- Amount effect can explain SE Saharan leaf wax records
- Amount effect *cannot* explain NW Saharan records • δD_s , rather than δD_p , agrees with these records
- Regional atmospheric and land surface dynamics must be considered to reconstruct rainfall from leaf waxes
- Leaf wax δD records capture integrated signal from both soil water pool and mean annual rainfall
- Local changes in the presence or absence of vegetation can overprint the δD_{P} signal from the amount effect

5. References

- 1. Tierney, J., et al. (2013). Science. doi: 10.1126/science.1240411 2. Tierney, J., et al. (2017). *Geology*. doi: 10.1130/G39457.1
- 3. Costa, K., et al. (2014). *Quaternary Science Reviews*. doi: 10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.10.031
- 4. Collins, J., et al. (2017). *Nature Communications*. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01454-y
- 5. Shanahan, T., et al. (2015). Nature Geoscience. doi: 10.1038/NGEO2329
- 6. Niedermeyer, E., et al. (2010). Geophysical Research Letters. doi: 10.1029/2009GL039687
- 7. Tierney, J., et al. (2017). Science Advances. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1601503 8. Dansgaard, W. (1964). *Tellus*. doi: 10.111/j.2153-3490.1964.tb00181.x
- 9. Brady, E., et al. (2019). Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems. doi: 10.1029/2019MS001663

