















Marine Biodiversity Observation Network

Integration of biology into observing systems: why, how, and when

Frank Muller-Karger carib@usf.edu



Conversation topics:



- What have we learned from in situ data?
- What technologies are available to make biological observations operational?
- The need:
 - better organization and integration & multidisciplinary observing

In situ programs

- Are extremely numerous around the world
 - (research, resource monitoring, water quality, etc.)
- Often provide
 - High quality data
 - Resolution in depth
- Very few provide:
 - high temporal and (3D) spatial resolution
 - Integration and coordination with everything else
 - Data organized in common formats or available

What has been the focus of in situ ocean observation efforts?

For the past 70-80+ years (in general):

- "Salt", Carbon, nutrients, trace elements: indicators of ocean biogeochemical state
- Bulk indicators for biology
 - Chlorophyll
 - Particulate and dissolved organic / inorganic matter
 - Many but disconnected observations on microbes to whales
 - Mammals, birds, fish (biomass, abundance), plankton, etc.

Issues:

- Hard to characterize variation in fluxes with just bulk observations:
 - Primary productivity
 - Fluxes to the deep ocean
 - Cycling of nutrients
- Little to no info on spatial distribution and abundance of species

The state of marine biodiversity monitoring





OBIS: 47 million records (water column to benthos)



<u>Near-surface</u> taxonomic records (<20 m)

 \rightarrow Many areas have no records

- \rightarrow Fewer records in last 10 years!
 - (Lag in reporting data to OBIS? Sharing?)

The Deep Ocean

Is still a mystery to us, with very few observationsbut we're going mining and fishing!





Locations visited by NOAA Ocean Exploration



The Carbon Cycle: How much "C" is sequestered to the ocean bottom?



But...there is *lots* of variability in time and space

Armstrong et al., 2002. Deep-Sea Research II 49:219–236

Average annual POC flux [g C $m^{-2} y^{-1}$] to bottom of the ocean (1998 – 2001)

Muller-Karger et al. 2005. The importance of continental margins in the global carbon cycle. GRL, Vol. 32.





Global POC flux analysis

Muller-Karger et al. 2005. GRL, Vol. 32.

Estimate:

Margins account for ~40% C stored annually below thermocline

and

40% buried in global ocean sediments from settling POC

Distinct spatial patterns in flux to the bottom



Fig. 5. Predicted probabilities of occurrence for larval bluefin tuna in the northern Gulf of Mexico on a weekly basis during spring 2010. Probabilities were derived from a neural network model trained using archival larval collection data. Oil extents are derived from satellite products. Catches of larval bluefin tuna from spring 2010 (April 19th–May 23rd), are also shown.

Less than 10% of Bluefin tuna spawning habitat was predicted to have been covered by surface oil, and less than 12% of larval BFT were predicted to be within contaminated waters in the northern Gulf of Mexico during the Deepwater Horizon disaster

In situ has undergone a small revolution in the last 10-20 years

- Ecology concepts and methods become important again
- Realization that diversity of life is important!
 - Biogeochemistry (carbon, nutrients)
 - Ecosystem resilience
 - Human health

(Production of food and water quality)

 Linking in situ observations, remote sensing and simulations is critical





Community production is higher with higher biodiversity (Aquatic & terrestrial environments)



Figure 2 | Biodiversity effects on community biomass production are widespread in nature, and more robust when covariates are accounted for. a, Proportions of field studies in which the effect of diversity on biomass was significant (P < 0.05) before (black) and after (grey) accounting for environmental covariates. Inset, distribution of studies by P value. Numbers above bars denote the number of studies in each category. **b**, Proportions of studies with positive, neutral or negative diversity effect when covariates were not (black) and were (grey) accounted for. Inset, proportions of studies with different forms of the richness-productivity relationship.

Duffy, James Emmett, Godwin, Casey M. and Cardinale, Bradley J. (2017). **Biodiversity effects in the wild are common and as strong as key drivers of productivity.** *Nature*, (549), 261-

264. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature23886

Plankton functional groups (PFGs): Important in Biogeochemistry



Chisholm, 2000

 PFG's have similar biology & biogeochemical roles, e.g.:

- ▲ physiology
- ▲ sinking
- \checkmark CO₂ sequestration
- ▲ DMS production
- ▲ silicate drawdown
- ▲ Cell SIZE
 - ▲ is a characteristic feature of PFT's
 - ▲ determines structure and function of pelagic ecosystems
- Observations of the PFT's is needed

Plankton functional groups (PFGs): important in ecosystem-based management

Diatoms, dinoflagellates, other microplankton, picoplankton

Nitrogen fixation (*Trichodesmium* sp.)





The need for an observing framework: Integration of programs, technologies, data types



Explorer (global)

Infographic (local)

https://mbon.ioos.us/

MBON Portal: Interactive Tools



Framework for Ocean Observing (FOO; 2012)



Linking Essential Biodiversity Variables (EBVs) and Essential Ocean Variables (EOVs)



EOVs are central to GOOS strategic planning and implementation EBVs are central to GEO BON strategic planning and implementation

Evolving technology matrix for in situ observations

	Microbes/ Phyto	Zooplankton	Fish	Top Predators	Benthos, habitat forming
Optics/Imaging	Х	Х	X Benthic		Х
Animal tracking (satellite, underwater)			Х	Х	
Acoustics		X active	X Active, passive	X Tags, passive	X Active, passive (noise)
Genomics	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Platforms with samplers	AUVs, floats, moorings, satellites	AUVs, moorings	AUVs, moorings	AUVs, moorings, tags	AUVs, moorings, satellites
Data and visualization	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х



The Imaging Flow Cytobot (above) and basic specs (below). (Heidi Sosik – WHOI)

Weight	32 kg		
Diameter	26 cm		
Height	102 cm		
Max Depth	40 m		
Duration	Up to 6 mo.		
Frequency	5 mL/20 min		
Power	35W, 18-36VDC		
Comms	10/100/1000-		
	BaseT Ethernet		

Automated flow cytometer, *FlowCytobot* (FCB): Phytoplankton taxa, size, abundance (moored, flow-through)





Hunter-Cevera et al. 2016. Science. Vol. 354, Issue 6310, pp. 326-329 DOI: 10.1126/science.aaf8536



Phytoplankton cells automatically identified and categorized by the IFCB analysis software, from samples collected at Port Aransas, TX. (Lisa Campbell - TAMU)





Changes in phenology with changes in temperature





http://www.hydroptic.com/index.php/ public/Page/product_item/UVP5-DEEP

Figure 1: a) UVP5, b) specimens and vertical distribution of copepods (blue), particles below 200 μ m (black) and particles above 500 μ m (red) at station 20 of Malina cruise, c) specimen and vertical distribution of appendicularia (blue), particles below 200 μ m (black) and particles above 500 μ m (red) at station 20 of Malina cruise.

Underwater Vision Profiler (UVP): Zooplankton taxonomy, size, and counts



Picheral et al., 2010. Limnol. Oceanogr.: Methods 8, 2010, 462–473

THE CONTINUOUS PLANKTON RECORDER (CPR)

The Marine Biological Association of the UK https://www.mba.ac.uk/fellows/cpr-survey



CPR is the only way we have now to get time series of plankton along very long transects. Very useful for fisheries and species distribution changes (due to climate and other factors)

The US NMFS/IOOS should re-establish the US CPR lines in partnership with MBA

...with a commitment to process the data (zooplankton and phytoplankton), release it to Darwin Core

Active Acoustics



Animal borne sensors and telemetry

Animal Telemetry Network: (1) (2005) ATN https://atn.ioos.us



Many other things can be learned about marine animal movement and behavior using telemetry capabilities: -migration corridors -breeding behavior -feeding behavior -biodiversity hotspots





Environmental DNA (eDNA)

A cheaper, less invasive and larger scale approach to monitor species diversity - Each marker is most sensitive towards detecting different groups of organisms



A revolution of autonomous platforms and sensors (biogeochemical, optical, genomic) is underway.



Long range AUV

(Courtesy of MBARI ESP and LRAUV teams)

Biogeochemical Argo

Oxygen Nitrate pH Chlorophyll fluorescence Suspended particles Downwelling irrandiance Zooplankton images





Satellite-derived Seascapes

Kavanaugh (OSU), Doney (UVa), Grebmeier (UMCES), Wright (ESRI), Otis/Montes/Djurhuus/Muller-Karger (USF), Trinanes/DiGiacomo (NESDIS CoastWatch)

Collaboration:

- MBON sites
- NOAA NESDIS
- US 100S
- NASA



College of Earth, Ocean,

Early warning and alert system (US Sanctuaries)



Dynamically updating status and trends:



* Florida Keys Reef Fish Visual Census: Loop Current flow variability impacts on species diversity

O Load and launch map layers for this data view

Guif of Mexico Loop Current flow variability impacts on species diversity in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary - a comparison of Visual Reef Census Species Diversity data and satellite measured Sea Surface Temperature

The Loop Current is a powerful ocean current that travels through the Gulf of Mexico to join the Gulf Stream in the Florida Straits. Related circular ocean currents, called eddies, form near the Dry Tortugas and lower Florida Keys during periods of significant northward expansion of the Loop Current (Lee et al., 1995; Fratantoni et al., 1998). Eddies are mechanisms for larval transport and retention from locations upstream of the Florida Keys (Lee et al., 1992, 1994, 1995). Larvae that settle in the Florida Keys may grow into adulthood or they may serve as prey for other animals (Yeung and Lee 2002). In either case, we expect to see increased fish abundance following periods of Loop Current expansion.

This data view shows sea surface temperature time series from a virtual buoy point selected off the coast of the Dry Tortugas(1). Also loaded into this data view is the time series of exploited reef fish species abundance average in the Florida Key area (2) from the Florida Keys Reef Fish Visual Census collected in collaboration by NOAA Southeast Fisheries Science Center (NOAA Fisheries), Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission's Florida Fish and Wildlife Research Institute (FWRI), the University of Miami's Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science (UM-RSMAS), and the National Park Service (NPS). These data illustrate the observed connection between the Loop Current position and Florida Keys fish species abundance, specifically in 2010 and 2014.

The Loop Current was in an unusually extended state in 2014 and the biodiversity data demonstrate a subsequent increase in abundance, density, and biomass for nearly all trophic groups in the Florida Keys. Conversely, the Loop Current was in a constricted state in 2010, resulting in an unusually cold year and a decline in fish abundance.

References



Marine Biodiversity Observation Network



March 6, 2010 SST

https://mbon.ioos.us/





+

https://mbon.ioos.us/#default-data/6.1

Dynamically updating status and trends

Infographics

Audience: Public, managers, educators



Curated Data Views

Audience: Advisory groups, researchers, teams



Data portals

Audience: Scientists, technical experts



LEADER STREET - ------

E Save Plot

What programs address the need for biological data?

- Multiple research programs
- Many resource management programs

- None are coordinated
- Few store data in common format
- Few share data

Coordination efforts

- Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission
 - Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS)
 - + OBIS, OTGA, Ocean Best Practices System
- NSF OceanObs Research Coordination Network (RCN)
- Marine Biodiversity Observation Network (MBON)
- MarineGEO (Smithsonian Institution)
- OceanObs '19 Conference (SEP 16-20, Honolulu, HI)
- UN Sustainable Development Goals
 - UN Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development (2021-2030)
 - UN Decade for Ecosystem Restoration
- Convention on Biological Diversity
 - Aichi Targets and post-2020 agenda
- Etc.











Goal: Integrate biological observing into 15 GOOS Regional Alliances





- Address a number of Issues:
 - Integrating biology into operational observing systems
 - Space, time resolution
 - Data latency
 - Data management: curation, archiving
 - Products and services
 - Sharing data!
 - Cost
 - Capacity building and tech transfer

Capacity Building – Field sampling



Recommendations for CLIVAR

- Carefully define specific user needs
- Integrate biological observations into ocean observing
 - Ships, moorings, buoys, gliders, animals
- Expand collaborations / partnerships to access/collect bio data
- Engage in defining linked in situ and satellite remote sensing systems
- Develop integrated biological-physical coupled models designed for purpose

Recommendations for CLIVAR (2)

- Biological/biogeochemical data management strategy:
 - Promote best practices for operational data collection (EOVs)
 - Promote common data formatting and archiving (Darwin Core/ERDDAP)
 - Promote use of OBIS
 - Initiate Data Archaeology (a la Syd Levitus / NODC 1980's):
 - National (and provide leadership for international effort)
 - National to Regional to Global product integration
 - Use infographics and user-defined data views and regional scenarios

Let's work together to measure life in the sea











NOAA FISHERIES







FRANK MULLER-KARGER carib@usf.edu College of Marine Science Saint Petersburg, Florida, USA



Image courtesy of Francisco Chavez / MBARI