# Past and Future Hurricane Intensity Change along the U.S. East Coast

Mingfang Ting<sup>1</sup>, Jim Kossin<sup>2</sup>, Suzana Camargo<sup>1</sup> and Cuihua Li<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University <sup>2</sup> NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, Center for Weather and Climate

Large Ensemble Workshop, Boulder, July 24-26, 2019

*Ting et al., Past and Future Hurricane Intensity Change along the U.S. East Coast. Scientific Reports, (2019) 9:7795, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-44252-w* 



# Hurricanes and major hurricanes return period

The information on return period is generated with the 1987 HURISK program, but uses data through 2010.



# Hurricanes and major hurricanes return period

The information on return period is generated with the 1987 HURISK program, but uses data through 2010.



Factors that can contribute to hurricane intensity change:

- Change in sea surface temperature warmer SST leads to stronger intensity
- Change in vertical wind shear (difference in winds at upper and lower troposphere) weaker wind shear leads to favorable hurricane intensification

# The Impact of Vertical Wind Shear



### Dominant Patterns of Atlantic VWS and SST variability in Observations

VWS

а



J P Kossin *et al. Nature* 1–4 (2017) doi:10.1038/nature20783 nature

## Dominant Patterns of Atlantic VWS and SST variability in Observations



J P Kossin *et al. Nature* 1–4 (2017) doi:10.1038/nature20783 Table 1: Means and variances of 6-hour intensification rates near the US coast

	Mean		Variance		Sample size		Basin-wide count		Near-coast count	
	HU	МН	HU	МН	HU	МН	HU	МН	HU	МН
1947– 1969	+0.6**	-0.5*	32.3***	32.8***	1,077	313	149	68	101	48
1970– 1992	+1.4	+0.9	53.3	103.7	429	79	119	37	65	23
1993– 2015	-0.2***	-1.5**	40.5***	55.5***	735	197	165	72	88	35

HU – Hurricane, MU – Major Hurricane

Recent period shows active basin-wide hurricane activity but relatively suppressed near-coast activity



## Dominant Patterns of Atlantic VWS and SST variability in Observations



J P Kossin *et al. Nature* 1–4 (2017) doi:10.1038/nature20783

Table 1: Means and variances of 6-hour intensification rates near the US coast

	Mean		Variance		Sample size		Basin-wide count		Near-coast count	
	HU	МН	HU	МН	HU	МН	HU	МН	HU	MH
1947– 1969	+0.6**	-0.5*	32.3***	32.8***	1,077	313	149	68	101	48
1970– 1992	+1.4	+0.9	53.3	103.7	429	79	119	37	65	23
1993– 2015	-0.2***	-1.5**	40.5***	55.5***	735	197	165	72	88	35

HU – Hurricane, MU – Major Hurricane

Recent period shows active basin-wide hurricane activity but relatively suppressed near-coast activity

Q: Is the suppression of hurricane intensification rates near the US coast due to Anthropogenic forcing or natural multidecadal variability?

nature

# Forced and AMV Time series (Forced Component derived from 27 CMIP5 Multi-model and 42 Members of NCAR LENS using S/N Maximizing EOF)



AMV: Atlantic Multidecadal Variability

# Tropical Atlantic Vertical Wind Shear and SST (Obs.) Regressed onto Forced (based on LE) and AMV SST indices (1948-2014)



- VWS dipole pattern between tropical Atlantic and US East Coast is mainly due to AMV
  Natural variability during the historical period
- Dipole pattern provides a natural protective barrier for US East Coast from strong hurricane landfall

- What about the future? How would hurricane intensity change (or intensification rate change) in the future under GHG forcing?
- What are the relative importance of forced and internal (AMV) variability?

# Atlantic Hurricane PI (left) during Peak Hurricane Season (ASO) from CMIP5 Historical, rcp4.5 – Hist., and rcp8.5 – Hist.



 Potential Intensity – Maximum possible intensity a tropical cyclone can attain given the large scale atmosphere and ocean surface conditions.

$$PI = \frac{C_k}{C_d} \frac{T_s}{T_0} \left( CAPE^* - CAPE^b \right)$$

 Coastal regions are seen to have the largest increase due to anthropogenic forcing under both rcp4.5 and 8.5 scenarios



#### Atlantic Hurricane PI (left) during Peak Hurricane Season (ASO) from CMIP5 Historical, rcp4.5 – Hist., and rcp8.5 – Hist.



rcp8.5

# What about vertical wind shear changes?



hurricane

# Vertical Wind Shear due to anthropogenic forcing and AMV (27 CMIP5 Models)

- VWS due to +AMV and anthropogenic forcing tends to be opposite along the east coast of US
- The reduction in landfalling hurricane in the recent past may be due to internal multidecadal variability, but future change could be dominated by GHG warming



4QW

0.60

0.60

0.60

30W

40W

40W

300

3QW

2QW

1.00

1.00

1.00

20W

100

20W 10%

# Wind shear changes in the 20<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup> Century along US East Coast, Gulf Coast, and MDR



Shadings indicate average amplitude of AMV in different regions

#### **NCAR LENS**

# Vertical Wind Shear due to anthropogenic forcing and AMV

- VWS change in LENS is at the strong end of the CMIP5 Model spectrum
- Very large spread due to model structural differences compared to internal variability
- Time of emergence (forced signal above internal variability) near 2040 for NCAR LENS, but varies widely in CMIP5 models



#### **CMIP5 Models**



# Global VWS Climatology (1971-2000)



# Global VWS Climatology (1971-2000)



# Global VWS Differences for CMIP5 MMM vs. LENS



- VWS change is associated with jet stream response to anthropogenic forcing – northward shift of the jet
- Future VWS in tropical cyclone active regions, such as US east coast, E Asian coasts, and Indian ocean, will have substantial decrease in VWS, making landfalling tropical cyclones stronger

# Summary

- Tropical cyclone (Hurricane/Typhoon) maximum potential intensities are increasing due to greenhouse warming, particularly along the coastal regions and further north of the current regions of tropical cyclone activities
- Weak vertical wind shear (VWS) is one of the most important conditions for tropical cyclone intensification. While natural variability may dominate the recent past tropical cyclone intensifications, in the future, both the US and China east coasts will experience reduction in VWS due to GHG warming, thus potentially stronger tropical cyclones at their landfall.
- Anthropogenic forcing tend to erode the protective barrier due to the dominant natural VWS variability associated with AMV. The protective barrier prevents strong tropical cyclones from forming along the East Coast of US during the historical period
- There are large spreads among the CMIP5 models in future VWS changes, largely due to model structural differences. NCAR LE shows a much smaller spread in future VWS changes purely due to internal variability, and indicates a time of emergence of the forced signal above the AMV natural variability by ~2040