Lagrangian reconstruction of sea surface salinity to extract small-scale variability from SMAP

Motivation

- Small-scale density fronts are often associated with strong vertical velocities of the order of 100 m/day and they have a key role on the vertical exchange of properties and tracers (e.g. Siegelman et al., 2020).
- Temperature and salinity observations are necessary to evaluate their combined contribution on the generation of density fronts (Drushka et al., 2019).
- The current satellite salinity observations do not have enough resolution to observe these scales.
- Sea surface salinity (SSS) from the SMAP satellite has an effective resolution of ~70 km.

Lagrangian advection of salinity using satellitederived currents

- To obtain small-scale salinity variability from SMAP SSS observations, we apply a Lagrangian reconstruction technique that consists on the advection of particles with altimetry currents (e.g. Dencausse et al., 2014).
- These particles have a salinity content associated at the initial time from SMAP observations, and they are advected following the currents during an optimal time of 7 days.
- The salinity field after the Lagrangian advection is the reconstructed SSS field, which has higher resolution than the original SMAP SSS field (Fig. 2).



Fig. 1: SMAP SSS field on 2017-02-12 at the Northwest Atlantic Ocean and the simulation domain.





References

Dencausse et al., Lateral stirring of large-scale tracer fields by altimetry, Ocean Dynamics (2014) DOI 10.1007/s10236-013-0671-8 Drushka et al., Global Patterns of Submesoscale Surface Salinity Variability, J. of Physical Oceanogr. (2019) DOI 10.1175/JPO-D-19-0018.1 Geyer et al., The freshwater transport and dynamics of the western Maine coastal current, Continental Shelf Research (2004) DOI 10.1016/j.csr.2004.04.001 Siegelman, et al., Enhanced upward heat transport at deep submesoscale ocean fronts, Nature (2020) DOI https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0489-1



- sizes, being the standard deviation representative of the salinity variability at scales shorter than the bin size.









Fig. 5: Horizontal standard deviation of the reconstructed SSS field inferred within bins of 0.25° x 0.25° and averaged for late winter (FMA) and summer (JJA).

68°W

0.30 0.25 0.20 - 0.15 - 0.10

[PSU]