How well can we detect tropical cyclone tracks in the Reanalyses data

Cheng-Ta Chen¹, Teng-Ping Tseng¹, Michael Wehner², and Prabhat²

¹National Taiwan Normal University, Department of Earth Sciences ²Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Computational Research Division

Impact of Fiorino TCR wind

1200 UTC 15 September 1990 in the eastern North Pacific







Questions

- How reliable are tropical cyclone detection and tracking codes we used?
- There are tropical cyclone best tracks archives for verification. But do we have a reliable high resolution meteorological analysis to test the code?
- Is there a way to decide the optimal criteria and thresholds use in the code? How the variation in these criteria and thresholds affect reliability?
- Can the same scheme (criteria and thresholds) applied to different model simulations?
- How the criteria and thresholds depend on data resolution? Is there a spatial scaling factor?

IMILAST

Intercomparison of Mid Latitude Storm Diagnostics

TABLE 1. Different methods and some key characteristics: "variable used" (MSLP: mean sea level pressure; VORT: vorticity or Laplacian of MSLP; VORT Z850: vorticity at 850 hPa as computed by ERA-Interim; Z850: geopotential height at 850 hPa; grad.: gradient of MSLP; min: minimum), and "terrain filtering" (>1000 m; all cyclones positioned over terrain higher than 1,000 m MSL are eliminated).

| Code* | Main references for method description | Variable used | Terrain filtering |
|-------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| M02 | Murray and Simmonds (1991), Pinto et al. (2005) | MSLP (min), VORT | >1500 m |
| M03 | Benestad and Chen (2006) | MSLP (min, grad.) | none |
| M06 | Hewson et al. (1997), Hewson and Titley (2010) | MSLP (min), VORT, wind, fronts | Terrain-following |
| M08 | Trigo (2006) | MSLP (min, grad.) | none |
| M09 | Serreze (1995), Wang et al. (2006) | MSLP (min, grad.), VORT | none |
| MI0 | Murray and Simmonds (1991), Simmonds et al. (2008) | MSLP (min), VORT | >1000 m |
| MI2 | Zolina and Gulev (2002), Rudeva and Gulev (2007) | MSLP (min) | none |
| MI3 | Hanley and Caballero (2012) | MSLP (min) | >1500 m |
| MI4 | Kew et al. (2010) | Z850 (min, contour) | none |
| MI5 | Blender et al. (1997), Raible et al. (2008) | MSLP (min) | >1000 m |
| MI6 | Lionello et al. (2002) | MSLP (min) | none |
| MI8 | Sinclair (1994, 1997) | Z850 VORT | >1000 m |
| M20 | Wernli and Schwierz (2006) | MSLP (min) | >1500 m |
| M2I | Inatsu (2009) | Z850 VORT | none |
| M22 | Bardin and Polonsky (2005), Akperov et al. (2007) | MSLP (min, contour) | none |

*Code numbers were assigned at the beginning of the project to research groups interested in participation. A few groups have not (yet) contributed a dataset, and others have since ceased activities in cyclone tracking. Furthermore, some groups use almost identical algorithms, in which case duplicates have been removed. The original code assignment was retained to guarantee compatibility of publications for the whole project duration. Therefore, code numbers are not continuous.

IMILAST Intercomparison of Mid Latitude Storm Diagnostics

TABLE 2. Number of cyclones in the NH ($30^{\circ}-90^{\circ}N$) for summer (JJA, first column) and winter (DJF, first row) detected by each method, and track agreement between methods for summer (lower-left triangular matrix) and winter (top-right triangular matrix). Values denote a nominal percentage agreement (relative to the lower number of tracks produced by the two methods) when both methods detect a track at a similar place and time (see supplement B "Method of track-to-track comparison" for more details). Values $\geq 50\%$ are shaded (blue for winter, red for summer) with dark shading for $\geq 70\%$. In deriving this table, mountain areas (>1,500 m MSL) have been excluded.

| Method | JJA | M02 | M03 | M06 | M08 | M09 | MI0 | M12 | M13 | M 14 | M15 | M16 | M18 | M20 | M21 | M22 |
|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|------------|-----|-----|-----------|-----|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------|
| DJF | ×100 | 147 | 57 | 205 | 95 | 168 | Ш | 124 | 72 | 70 | 120 | Ш | 214 | 158 | 105 | 102 |
| M02 | 123 | 100 | 68 | 53 | 65 | 52 | 60 | 53 | 67 | 66 | 61 | 57 | 50 | 45 | 39 | 59 |
| M03 | 51 | 52 | 100 | 72 | 68 | 74 | 67 | 66 | 54 | 50 | 69 | 65 | 68 | 67 | 41 | 63 |
| M06 | 207 | 51 | 63 | 100 | 68 | 49 | 65 | 59 | 71 | 66 | 60 | 60 | 44 | 45 | 61 | 61 |
| M08 | 125 | 40 | 61 | 56 | 100 | 80 | 63 | 67 | 67 | 64 | 70 | 69 | 62 | 70 | 35 | 65 |
| M09 | 285 | 55 | 73 | 48 | 77 | 100 | 66 | 66 | 75 | 74 | 71 | 77 | 45 | 60 | 38 | 80 |
| MI0 | 99 | 38 | 52 | 62 | 57 | 71 | 100 | 55 | 64 | 60 | 58 | 55 | 63 | 55 | 34 | 55 |
| MI2 | 282 | 51 | 62 | 44 | 65 | 50 | 60 | 100 | 71 | 65 | 56 | 64 | 50 | 58 | 31 | 62 |
| MI3 | 82 | 46 | 46 | 61 | 59 | 74 | 47 | 68 | 100 | 53 | 68 | 68 | 65 | 67 | 39 | 69 |
| MI4 | 82 | 48 | 43 | 60 | 5 9 | 76 | 45 | 71 | 45 | 100 | 66 | 70 | 65 | 68 | 39 | 65 |
| MI5 | 132 | 47 | 62 | 50 | 53 | 69 | 50 | 59 | 55 | 55 | 100 | 57 | 55 | 57 | 36 | 61 |
| MI6 | 155 | 44 | 60 | 49 | 61 | 74 | 56 | 66 | 61 | 66 | 51 | 100 | 56 | 69 | 33 | 69 |
| MI8 | 183 | 39 | 54 | 42 | 43 | 40 | 57 | 41 | 50 | 52 | 40 | 40 | 100 | 42 | 48 | 57 |
| M20 | 236 | 50 | 65 | 42 | 67 | 60 | 62 | 53 | 66 | 71 | 58 | 67 | 38 | 100 | 35 | 72 |
| M2I | 87 | 42 | 52 | 61 | 57 | 68 | 58 | 58 | 44 | 44 | 56 | 55 | 55 | 59 | 100 | 32 |
| M22 | 147 | 39 | 44 | 48 | 37 | 52 | 33 | 47 | 42 | 47 | 39 | 38 | 32 | 46 | 35 | 100 |

IMILAST Intercomparison of Mid Latitude Storm Diagnostics



IMILAST

Intercomparison of Mid Latitude Storm Diagnostics



IMILAST

Intercomparison of Mid Latitude Storm Diagnostics



Ulbrich et al., 2013

Tropical cyclone in JRA25

Using the wind profile retrievals surrounding tropical cyclones (TCR) data results in a more realistic representation of the tropical cyclones compared to other reanalyses

Impact of Fiorino TCR wind

1200 UTC 15 September 1990 in the eastern North Pacific



Hatsushika et al. 2006

JRA-25 Overview

- Joint research project between JMA and CRIEPI
- Period: from Jan. 1979 to Dec. 2010 (use 1994 data)
- Global model resolution : T106L40 (data at 1.25° grid)
- Data assimilation : 3D-Var
- Assimilation system : JMA's operational system of April 2004.

In addition, SSM/I PW, and TOVS radiance level 1c (SSU) and 1d (HIRS, MSU) were assimilated.

 JRA-25 was the first reanalysis to use the observational data outlined below

Wind profile retrievals surrounding tropical cyclones (TCR), SSM/I snow coverage, digitized Chinese snow depth data, reprocessed GMS-AMV

 JRA-25 original boundary/forcing data Daily COBE SST and sea ice (Ishii 2005, IJC), daily 3D-ozone profile

IBTrACS



- Data version v3r4 (most recent)
- Focus on North Atlantic, East and West Pacific
- Genesis of tracks start from the location when max wind larger than 35 knots (Tropical Storm and Cat 1-5 Hurricane)

South Pacific

South Atlantic

-90

-90

0

0

135

-70

-70

10

- Use 1994 data for initial test
- Conversion of 10 min average wind to 1 min (/0.88) over W Pacific

IBTrACS still have problems

| _ | start 20 |) 1994 | 9 | 21 18 | 0.99 | 0.9 | 9 | | | start (| 35 1994 | 9 | 21 18 | 0.99 | 0.9 | 9 | | |
|---------------------|---------------|------------|---------------|----------------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|--------|---------|---------|-------|---------|------|-----|----|----|--|
| | 164.80 | 14.80 | 20.47 | 998.00 | 1994 | 9 | 21 | 18 | | 164.80 |) 14.80 | 20.47 | 998.00 | 1994 | 9 | 21 | 18 | |
| | 164.20 | 15.70 | 20.47 | 998.00 | 1994 | 9 | 22 | 0 | | 164.20 |) 15.70 | 20.47 | 998.00 | 1994 | 9 | 22 | 0 | |
| | 164.10 | 16.70 | 20.47 | 998.00 | 1994 | 9 | 22 | 6 | | 164.10 |) 16.70 | 20.47 | 998.00 | 1994 | 9 | 22 | 6 | |
| | 164.00 | 17.80 | 23.40 | 996.00 | 1994 | 9 | 22 | 12 | | 164.00 |) 17.80 | 23.40 | 996.00 | 1994 | 9 | 22 | 12 | |
| | 163.70 | 19.00 | 23.40 | 994.00 | 1994 | 9 | 22 | 18 | | 163.70 |) 19.00 | 23.40 | 994.00 | 1994 | 9 | 22 | 18 | |
| | 163.10 | 20.70 | 29.25 | 985.00 | 1994 | 9 | 23 | 0 | | 163.10 | 20.70 | 29.25 | 985.00 | 1994 | 9 | 23 | 0 | |
| | 162.70 | 22.20 | 38.02 | 970.00 | 1994 | 9 | 23 | 6 | | 162.70 |) 22.20 | 38.02 | 970.00 | 1994 | 9 | 23 | 6 | |
| | 162.30 | 23.30 | 40.95 | 965.00 | 1994 | 9 | 23 | 12 | | 162.30 | 23.30 | 40.95 | 965.00 | 1994 | 9 | 23 | 12 | |
| | 161.80 | 24.30 | 40.95 | 965.00 | 1994 | 9 | 23 | 18 | | 161.80 | 24.30 | 40.95 | 965.00 | 1994 | 9 | 23 | 18 | |
| | 161.10 | 25.10 | 40.95 | 965.00 | 1994 | 9 | 24 | 0 | | 161.10 | 25.10 | 40.95 | 965.00 | 1994 | 9 | 24 | 0 | |
| | 160.40 | 25.70 | 40.95 | 965.00 | 1994 | 9 | 24 | 6 | | 160.40 |) 25.70 | 40.95 | 965.00 | 1994 | 9 | 24 | 6 | |
| | 159.50 | 26.40 | 40.95 | 965.00 | 1994 | 9 | 24 | 12 | | 159.50 | 26.40 | 40.95 | 965.00 | 1994 | 9 | 24 | 12 | |
| | 158.00 | 27.30 | 40.95 | 965.00 | 1994 | 9 | 24 | 18 | | 158.00 | 27.30 | 40.95 | 965.00 | 1994 | 9 | 24 | 18 | |
| | 155.40 | 28.20 | 35.10 | 975.00 | 1994 | 9 | 25 | 0 | | 155.40 |) 28.20 | 35.10 | 975.00 | 1994 | 9 | 25 | 0 | |
| | 153.00 | 28.20 | 32.17 | 980.00 | 1994 | 9 | 25 | 6 | | 153.00 |) 28.20 | 32.17 | 980.00 | 1994 | 9 | 25 | 6 | |
| | 151.50 | 28.00 | 29.25 | 985.00 | 1994 | 9 | 25 | 12 | | 151.50 | 28.00 | 29.25 | 985.00 | 1994 | 9 | 25 | 12 | |
| | 150.60 | 27.50 | 26.32 | 990.00 | 1994 | 9 | 25 | 18 | | 150.60 |) 27.50 | 26.32 | 990.00 | 1994 | 9 | 25 | 18 | |
| | 150.70 | 27.80 | 23.40 | 992.00 | 1994 | 9 | 26 | 0 | | 150.70 | 27.80 | 23.40 | 992.00 | 1994 | 9 | 26 | 0 | |
| | 151.20 | 29.20 | 20.47 | 994.00 | 1994 | 9 | 26 | 6 | | 151.20 | 29.20 | 20.47 | 994.00 | 1994 | 9 | 26 | 6 | |
| | 150.60 | 31.30 | 20.47 | 994.00 | 1994 | 9 | 26 | 12 | | 150.60 | 31.30 | 20.47 | 994.00 | 1994 | 9 | 26 | 12 | |
| | | | | | | 10 | | | 02.00 | 147./0 | 52.60 | 20.47 | 776.00 | 1774 | 7 | 20 | ١ð | |
| | | 1 | 190 | and the second | 22 | 199 | 94/(| <i>J9/26</i> | 03:00 | 149.20 |) 33.60 | 20.47 | 996.00 | 1994 | 9 | 27 | 0 | |
| | | | CP 1 | All and a | | | | 18 | 80° | 149.50 |) 34.30 | 23.40 | 996.00 | 1994 | 9 | 27 | 6 | |
| 100°E 60°N - 120 | °E 140°E 160° | 180 E | 6 19 | | | 20°E | 140°E | 160°E | 9 60°N | 150.70 |) 35.60 | 23.40 | 996.00 | 1994 | 9 | 27 | 12 | |
| 1 | 140 E | And I have | A Ante | | | | End | The L. | - | 151.50 |) 36.40 | 20.47 | 996.00 | 1994 | 9 | 27 | 18 | |
| 1 t | 1. MAY | H.S. | | * \ | | 1.+ | A. | 1. T | | 153.10 |) 37.60 | 20.47 | 996.00 | 1994 | 9 | 28 | 0 | |
| | ···· | | 7 8, 7 | | Here i | 21 | 1 | 290000 | 40 N | 155.00 |) 38.30 | 20.47 | 998.00 | 1994 | 9 | 28 | 6 | |
| 5 | Sielt | T V | 150 E | 100 | | 2 | Staves | 23 | | 157.10 |) 39.00 | 20.47 | 998.00 | 1994 | 9 | 28 | 12 | |
| - Josephine - | 1500000 | | g-k | 2. 1. | | 1 | | 25-25- | 20°N | 158.00 |) 39.00 | 0.00 | 1000.00 | 1994 | 9 | 28 | 18 | |
| pip. | 1 | | 20°N | 1.3 | F | | | 23 | | 158.50 |) 39.50 | 0.00 | 1004.00 | 1994 | 9 | 29 | 0 | |
| N B | | TI | | AX | | | 7/0 | | 2,2 | 162.00 |) 40.00 | 0.00 | 1004.00 | 1994 | 9 | 29 | 6 | |
| 99478 | 3/Ruth | | | .0. | 1774 | ۲Z, | /(۲ | at) | | 165.00 | 40.50 | 0.00 | 1004.00 | 1994 | 9 | 29 | 12 | |
| the states | | 1 | 180° | 100°E | - El | , Ex | | | 180° | 168.00 | 40.50 | 0.00 | 1002.00 | 1994 | 9 | 29 | 18 | |
| 120°E | 140°E | 160°E | | | 120°E | | 140°E | 160 |)°E | 171.00 |) 41.00 | 0.00 | 1000.00 | 1994 | 9 | 30 | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 178.50 |) 41.00 | 0.00 | 992.00 | 1994 | 9 | 30 | 6 | |

40°N

20°N

Tropical Storm Detection Scheme (Vitart et al., 1997, 2003)

- Local relative vorticity maximum at 850hPa > 6.0x10⁻⁵ s⁻¹.
 crit_vort = 6.0E-5
- The closet local minimum sea level pressure is detected and defines the center of the storm.

Must exist within a ~1.4° radius of the vorticity maximum.

It's also for warm core 1st and 2nd center.

crit_dist = $2.0 = -1.4 \times 1.4$ (avoid minus distance for center to center)

- The minimum sea level pressure must increase by 4hPa in all directions from storm center within 4° radius. crit_psl = 200.0 dist_psl = 3.0
- The closest local maximum in temperature averaged between 200hPa and 500hPa is defined as the 1st center of the warm core. The temperature must decrease by at least 0.2 K in all directions from the warm core 1st center within 3°radius. crit_twc = 0.2 dist_twc = 3.0
- The closest local maximum in thickness averaged between 200hPa and 1000hPa is defined as the 2nd center of the warm core. The thickness must decrease by at least 500 m in all directions from the warm core 2nd center within 3°radius. crit_thick = 100.0 dist_twc = 3.0



Tropical Storm Tracking Scheme (Vitart et al., 1997, 2003)

- For a given storm, we examine whether there are storms that appear on the following time step (6hr) at a distance of less than 400 km.
 If there is no such storm, then the trajectory is stopped.
 rcrit = 400.0
- It must satisfy a maximum 10m wind velocity > 10 m/s and warm core at the same time for 4 times during total life time. (not necessarily consecutive) wcrit = 10.0 nwcrit = 4.0
- The genesis point must satisfy maximum 10m wind velocity > 10 m/s



| | N8 72% N7 81% N6 81% N5 88% N4 | Stri | ct <u>w11N5</u> 78% | Hit | Ra <u>w13N5</u> 66% | te | | | | | |
|--------------|--|------------|---------------------------|---------------|--|------|--------------------|------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|
| | Nwcrit | _ | | | | → St | rict | | | | |
| | Wcrit | W10 | W11 | W12 | W13 | W14 | W15 | | | | |
| R400W10N4-vo | 6D20T02TB3P200PB3 | 91% | 91% | 81% | 72% | 66% | 59% | | | | |
| | R500 91% R400 91% R300 91% R200 91% | Strict | | | N8 0% N7 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% 17% Nwcrit Wcrit | W10 | W11N5 4% W11 | W12 | W13N5 5% W13 | W14 | W15 |
| C | at N | -5 | | R400W10N4-vos | D20T02TB3P200PB3 | 17% | 15% | 16% | 18% | 19% | 21% |
| V | VP (3 | 32) | | | Rcrit R500 17% R400 17% R300 15% R200 12% | | Fa | ilse Ra | Ala ate | Irm | |

| | N8 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------------------|------|-------|-----|----------------|----------------|-------|-----|-------|-----|-----|
| | 100% | | | | | | | | | | |
| | N7 | | | | | | • • | _ | | | |
| | 100% | | | | | н | it R | ate | | | |
| | NG | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 100% | | | | | | | | | | |
| | N5 | | W11N5 | | W13 | BN5 | | | | | |
| | 100% | | 100% | | 95 | ; % | | | | | |
| | N4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 100% | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Nwcrit | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Wcrit | W10 | W11 | W12 | 2 W | 13 \ | W14 | W15 | | | |
| R400W10N4-voe | 5D20T02TB3P200PB3 | 100% | 100% | 100 | % 10 | 0% 9 | 95% | 95% | | | |
| | Rcrit | | | | | | · | | | | |
| | R500 | | | | N8 | | | | | | |
| | 100% | | | | 13% | | | | | | |
| | R400 | | | | N7 | | | | | | |
| | 100% | | | | 23% | | | | | | |
| | R300 | | | | N6 | | | | | | |
| | 100% | | | | 26% | | | | | l | |
| | R200 | | | | N5 | | W11N5 | | W13N5 | | |
| | 100% | | | | 31% | | 23% | | 14% | | |
| | | | | | 129 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 438 Numerit | | | | | | |
| | | | | | NWCTIT | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Wcrit | W10 | W11 | W12 | W13 | W14 | W15 |

Cat 1-5 WP (20)

| | N4 | | | - | | - | | | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| | 43% | | | | | | | | | |
| | Nwcrit | | | | | | | | | |
| | Wcrit | W10 | W11 | W12 | W13 | W14 | W15 | | | |
| R400W10N4-vo | 6D20T02TB3P200PB3 | 43% | 41% | 35% | 29% | 27% | 21% | | | |
| | Rcrit | | | | | | | | | |
| | R500 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 43% | | | | | | | | | |
| | R400 | | | alse | | arm | | | | |
| | 43% | | • | | | | • | | | |
| | R300 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 41% | | | R | ato | | | | | |
| | R200 | | | | alc | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |





R400 vs R200 Track Impact



33%35%43%False AlarmRate

D15

D20

D25

43%

| | TOS |
|------------|------------|
| | 0% |
| TB2 | T06 |
| 0% | 6% |
| твз | T04 |
| 43% | 17% |
| TB4 | T02 |
| 46% | 43% |
| Dist_Twc | Crit_Twc |
| Crit_Dist. | Crit_Vort. |
| V06D20T02 | TB3P200PB3 |
| Dist_Psl | Crit_Psl |
| PB4 | P200 |
| 43% | 43% |
| PB3 | P400 |
| 43% | 43% |
| PB2 | |
| | |

| V10T04 |
|--------|
| 9% |
| |

| V02 | V06 | V10 | V15 | V20 | V25 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 43% | 43% | 44% | 33% | 10% | 14% |

V15D10T04 22%

D10

V15D10T04

90%

D10

100%

Cat 1-5 WP (20)

D15

100%

D20

100%

D25

100%

| TB2 | T06 |
|---|--|
| 75% | 85% |
| TB3 | T04 |
| 100% | 100% |
| TB4 | T02 |
| 100% | 100% |
| Dist_Twc | Crit_Twc |
| Crit_Dist. | Crit_Vort. |
| | |
| V06D20T021 | B3P200PB3 |
| VOGD20TO21 Dist_Psl | Crit_Psl |
| VOGD20TO21 Dist_Psl PB4 | Crit_Psl |
| VOGD20TO21 Dist_Psl PB4 100% | rB3P200PB3 Crit_Psl P200 100% |
| VOGD20T021 Dist_Psl PB4 100% PB3 | TB3P200PB3 Crit_Psl P200 100% P400 |
| V06D20T021 Dist_Psl PB4 100% PB3 100% | TB3P200PB3 Crit_Psl P200 100% P400 100% |
| V06D20T021 Dist_Psl PB4 100% PB3 100% PB2 | TB3P200PB3 Crit_Psl P200 100% P400 100% |

T08

| V02 | V06 | V10 | V15 | V20 | V25 |
|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 95% | 60% |

V10T04

100%

Hit Rate

V06 V25 IBT shortest Track



D20 D10 IBT Track Impact



Summary and Discussion

- We need very high resolution reanalysis data without false alarm to:
 - test the reliability of TC detection and tracking scheme
 - compare the different schemes
 - rescale the data and study the spatial scale dependence of criteria and thresholds used in the scheme
- Probably still need to tune the criteria and thresholds when the scheme is applied to different models (even at the same resolution). How to determine optimal criteria and thresholds?
- Not only TC number affected by the criteria and thresholds.
- Should we only target stronger TC (> CatI) that has less ambiguity?

•••••