

A CPT for Cloud Parameterization and Aerosol Indirect Effects

Supported by



Leo Donner, GFDL/NOAA

CLIVAR Meeting, 7-11 July 2013, Annapolis

GFDL and NCAR are both implementing a parameterization for clouds and boundary layers, based on multi-variate PDFs, into atmospheric general circulation models, through a CLIVAR NOAA/NSF Climate Process Team.

> GFDL AM3: Huan Guo Chris Golaz Leo Donner

NCAR CAM5: Pete Bogenschutz Andrew Gettelman Hugh Morrison

University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee: Vince Larson ("CLUBB" Parameterization) Dynamics-Based PDFs for Cloud Parameterization: Motivation

- Moisture-based PDFs are not linked to dynamics of cloud formation and dissipation.
- Key microphysical processes like droplet activation are linked to vertical motions.
- Aerosol-cloud interaction: An example.





Observed dependence of cloud droplets on aerosols



Clean/Maritime

Fig. 5. Aircraft data illustrating the increase in cloud drops with aerosol number concentration. References for the data are as follows: North Sea (28), Nova Scotia and North Atlantic (29), ACE-2 (30), Astex (31), the thick red line is obtained from a composite theoretical parameterization that fits the INDOEX aircraft data for the Arabian Sea (23). The gray-shaded region is the INDOEX aircraft data for the Arabian Sea (32).

Source: Ramanathan et al. (Science, 2001)







Linking Cloud Microphysics and Macrophysics







A: "Statistical" Parameterizations, e.g. Donner (1993, *J. Atmos. Sci.*), Golaz et al. (2002, *J. Atmos. Sci.*)

B: "Classical" Process Studies, e.g., CPT, GCSS

C: Averaging



Linking Cloud Macrophysics and Microphysics in Stratiform Clouds







cf., Ming et al. (J. Atmos. Sci., 2006)





Because N* is non-linear

$$\overline{N}_{\text{activation}} \neq N^* \left(\overline{w}, \overline{p}, \overline{T} \right)$$

However,

$$\overline{N}_{\text{activation}} \cong \int N^* \left(w, \overline{p}, \overline{T} \right) p df(w) \, dw$$

Dynamics-PDF Cloud Parameterization: Overview

- Based on Golaz et al. (2002, *J. Atmos. Sci.*): "CLUBB" (Cumulus Layers Unified by Bi-Normals)
- Joint PDFs for vertical velocity, liquid potential temperature, and total water mixing ratio





Dynamics PDF Parameterization for Stratiform Clouds and Turbulence

- Fit liquid potential temperature, total water, vertical velocity PDFs for range of Cu and Sc PBLs to LES simulations
- LES evaluated using GCSS WG 1 cases (ARM, ATEX, BOMEX, DYCOMS-II RF01 & RF02, FIRE, RICO)
- Prognostic equations for higher-order moments
- Select PDFs based on evolution of higher-order moments
- Extract cloud macrophysics (fraction, liquid content, etc.) from PDFs







BOMEX (cumulus)





AM3 uses Tiedtke (1993, Mon. Wea. Rev.)

(Source: Huan Guo, GFDL)

DYCOMS-II Research Flight 1 (stratocumulus)



Note: 1. shaded areas indicate the range (Min. and Max. bounds) of GCSS LES intercomparisons 2. dots and horizontal bars indicate the obs. (Stevens et al., 2005 MWR)

AM3 uses Tiedkte (1993, Mon. Wea. Rev.)

(Source: Huan Guo, GFDL)

Prediction of cloud drop number (Guo et al., 2010, *Geoscientific Model Development*)

- Added predictive equation for cloud drop number: activation, evaporation and turbulence transport.

- Uniform background aerosol mass concentration.



Physics of entrainmentaerosol interaction similar in CLUBB and LES

Solid:

MVD

PDFs

et al.

(2004,

Nature)



LES range from Guo et al. (2010, GMD)

cf., Guo et al. (2011, GRL)



Parameterization ("CLUBB") in GFDL AM3 (Guo et al., 2013, J. Climate, submitted) substantially reduces errors in shortwave cloud forcing off west coasts of South America and Africa, a long-standing bias in marine stratocumulus in GFDL climate models.



Improved simulation of marine stratocumulus in AM3 holds at horizontal resolutions from about 50 km to 200 km (Guo et al., 2013, J. Climate, submitted).

Figure 8: Annual-mean bias of shortwave cloud forcing (W m⁻²) for AM3-CLUBB and AM3 at different horizontal resolutions of 0.5°, 1°, and 2° over the Peruvian, Namibian, and Californian subtropical stratocumulus regions analyzed by Klein and Hartmann (1993).

GFDL AM3 and NCAR CAM5 perform similarly with respect to key cloud-related global metrics when both use CLUBB (Bogenschutz *et al.,* 2013, *J. Climate,* in revision; Guo *et al.,* 2013, *J. Climate,* submitted).

	AM3-CLUBB	CAM5-CLUBB
Shortwave Cloud Forcing Bias	-2.8 W m ⁻²	-1.8 W m⁻²
Shortwave Cloud Forcing RMSE	10.3 W m ⁻²	12.4 W m ⁻²
Longwave Cloud Forcing Bias	-3.6 W m ⁻²	-4.7 W m ⁻²
Longwave Cloud Forcing RMSE	7.2 W m ⁻²	7.6 W m ⁻²

Bias and RMSE relative to CERES-EBAF (Loeb et al., 2009, J. Climate).



Summary

- Most current state-of-science cloud parameterizations built around moisture PDFs.
- Cloud microphysical and aerosol processes "see" dynamics, suggesting next-generation cloud parameterizations should also include dynamics PDFs.
- Cloud and boundary-layer parameterization using multi-variate PDFs with dynamics ("CLUBB") realistically simulates multiplecloud regimes and aerosol-cloud interactions in single-column tests with high spatial and temporal resolution.
- GFDL AM3 and NCAR CAM5 have both incorporated CLUBB with comparable results for global metrics related to boundary-layer clouds. GFDL AM3 simulations of marine stratocumulus clouds are more realistic than in earlier GFDL models.



