Relating Extreme Weather Events to Large-Scale Meteorological Patterns: Is the Glass half full or half empty?

Steve Vavrus Center for Climatic Research University of Wisconsin



U. S. Climate Extremes Index



Upper-air Circulation Anomalies during Extreme Weather (Chicago)

High-Amplitude Wave Patterns



-250	-200	-150	-100	-50	Û	50	100	150	200	250

10 Hottest Days





10 Wettest Days











Chicago's 10 Coldest Days

500 hPa Anomalies (m)



Chicago's 10 Coldest Days

500 hPa Anomalies (m)











Ú.









500mb Geopotential Helght (m) Composite Anomaly (1981–2010 Climatology) 1/15/94













500mb Geopotential Height (m) Composite Anomaly (1981—2010 Climatology) 12/24/53

Chicago's 10 Hottest Days

500 hPa Anomalies (m)



-80	-60	-40	-20	0	20	40	60	80

Composite Mean

Chicago's 10 Hottest Days

500 hPa Anomalies (m)









NQAA/ESRL Physical Sciences Division 48N 45N 42N 39N 36N 33N 30N 160W







Composite Mean



NCEP/NCAR Reanalysia







500mb Geopotential Height (m) Composite Anomaly (1981-2010 Climatology) 7/24/05



140% 1300 1200 110 1002/1 BÓY 633 500mb Geopotential Height (m) Composite Anomaly (1981–2010 Climatology) $_{6/25/88}$

500mb Geopotential Height (m) Composite Anomaly (1981–2010 Climatology) 7/13/95

Chicago's 10 Wettest Days

500 hPa Anomalies (m)





Chicago's 10 Wettest Days

500 hPa Anomalies (m)













500mb Geopotential Height (m) Composite Anomaly (1981-2010 Climatology) 7/23/11 B/30/01 9/14/08 10/10/69 B/14/67 6/19/09 12/2/B2 1D/13/01 B/22/D2 7/24/10 NCEP/NCAR Reanalysia

30

90



500mb Geopotential Height (m) Composite Anomaly (1981-2010 Climatology) 10/10/59



150/

140/

130M

1209

110/

1000

NQAA/ESRL Physical Sciences Division





500mb Geopotential Height (m) Composite Anomaly (1981-2010 Climatology) B/14/B7



6Ŕ 500mb Geopotential Height (m) Composite Anomaly (1981-2010 Climatology) B/22/02 500mb Geopotential Height (m) Composite Anomaly (1981–2010 Climatology) 9/14/08

Composite Mean

Chicago's 5 Driest Summers

500 hPa Anomalies (m)



Composite Mean

Chicago's 5 Driest Summers

500 hPa Anomalies (m)













SLP and Precipitation on wettest 1% of days in Madison, WI North American Regional Reanalysis 1981-2000



2.5 5 7.5 10 12.5 15 17.5 20 22.5 25 27.5 30 32.5 mm d^{-1}

Holman and Vavrus J. Hydromet., 2012

Simulated SLP and Precipitation on wettest 1% of days in Madison, WI: 20th Century



Holman and Vavrus J. Hydromet., 2012

FIGURE 3.13: Late twentieth century (1981-2000) spatial composites of daily precipitation (mm/day) and sea-level pressure (hPa, contoured every 2hPa) during the wettest 1% of days for

Simulated SLP and Precipitation on wettest 1% of days in Madison, WI: 21st Century



FIGURE 3.14: Same as Fig 3.13 except for the late twenty-first century (2081-2100).

Synoptic Pattern related to Projected Climate Change Springtime Precipitation (mid-21st Century, A1B)



SLP Response (hPa)

Precipitation Change (%)



JGLR 2010

Back to the present day climate...

U. S. Climate Extremes Index

Summer Daily Maximum Temperature (Southwestern U. S.)





Summer Circulation Change since mid-1990s

500 hPa anomaly (post-1994 minus pre-1994)



Synoptic Evolution of Extreme Cold-Air Outbreaks (CAOs)



Midwestern CAOs

FIG. 7. SLP anomaly composites for (a) 10 days, (b) 6 days, (c) 2 days, and (d) 0 days prior to the dates of the 10 cold events in the Midwest (MW) region. Numbers below color bar denote the lower limit of each 2-mb range of magnitudes. Unshaded areas have magnitudes smaller than 2 mb.

Walsh et al. J Climate (2001)

CSM Simulation of a CAO (February 24 - March 8)





Day 2 500 hPa Wind

-50











1040 1050















Role of the Arctic Oscillation in Extreme Cold-air Outbreaks

Positive Phase



Surface Response in + AO (SLP)



Response Aloft in + AO (Z500)



Thompson and Wallace Science, 2002

Role of the Arctic Oscillation in Extreme Cold-air Outbreaks

Positive Phase



Negative Phase



Surface Response in + AO (SLP)



Response Aloft in + AO (Z500)



Surface Response in - AO (SLP)



Response Aloft in + AO (Z500)



Science, 2001

Arctic Oscillation Effect on Winter Temperature

Mean Surface Temperatures



NQAA/ESRL Physical Sciences Division

Cold winters typical in AO negative phase over mid-high latitude land

Arctic Oscillation Effect on Winter Temperature

0.9

D.7

0.5

0.3

0.1

-0.1

-0.3

-0.5

-0.7

-0.9

Mean Surface Temperatures



Dec to Feb: 1950 to 2012: Surface Air Temperature Seasonal Correlation w/ Dec to Feb AO NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis

NQAA/ESRL Physical Sciences Division

Cold winters typical in AO negative phase over mid-high latitude land

Thompson and Wallace Science, 2001 Daily Cold Extremes: Neg AO/Pos AO

Fig. 4. The signature of the NAM in the frequency of occurrence of cold events (daily minimum temperature <1.5 SD below the climatological mean) based on 6-hourly JFM data, JBS8–JB97, from the NCEPNCAR Reanalysis (70). (Top) The ratios of the number of cold events in the high NAM-index sample. (Bottom) As in the top panel, but for the ratios attributable to shifts in mean temperature (71). Shading is drawn for ratios \pm 2:1, 3:1, 4:1, and 6:1. Blue shading indicates more cold events under bow index conditions.

Extreme Surface Temperatures



AO Index

Month

March 2013 Surface Temperature Anomalies



March AO Correlations with Tsfc, 500mb U, 500mb V



March AO Correlations with Tsfc, 500mb U, 500mb V



March 2013 anomalies of Tsfc, 500mb U, 500mb V



Projected Winter Changes resemble Negative Phase of AO

0.9

D.7

0.5

0.3

0.1

-0.1

-0.3

-0.5

-0.7

-0,9

Future Height Changes Aloft Present-day AO Relationship (500 hPa Heights) 5-3 T65 -4 TO .5 T20-0.5 30 Heights OMAMP 4x 2012al Wind MAM Dec to Feb: 1950 to 2012; 500mb Geopotential Height Seasonal Correlation w/ Dec to Feb AO 1 1.5 . 2-2 60 70 NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis

Should we expect slower and more meandering (AO-like) circulation pattern in future?

Upper-air Circulation Response in CCSM4's 4xCO₂ Simulation



<u>Autumn-Winter</u> ridging largely over Arctic Ocean (sea ice loss)

<u>Spring-Summer</u>ridging over high-latitude land

(snow cover loss, lower land heat capacity)

Upper-air Circulation Response in CCSM4's 4xCO₂ Simulation

500 hPa Height Changes by Season



Change in 500 hPa Heights MAM 4xCO2





Change in 500 hPa Heights JJA 4xCO2

(meters)

500 hPa Zonal Wind Changes by Season

Change in 500 hPa Zonal Wind SON 4xCO2





Change in 500 hPa Zonal Wind MAM 4xCO2



Change in 500 hPa Zonal Wind JJA 4xCO2



-3.5 -3 -2.5 -2 -1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 (m/s)

Weakened westerlies on equatorward side of ridging Large-Scale Meteorological Patterns (LSMPs) are clearly important for regulating extreme weather, but they aren't the only show in town.

We need to also recognize the strong thermodynamic role played by surface boundary conditions, such as snow cover and soil moisture...

Role of Snow Cover in Extreme Cold-Air Outbreaks (CAOs)

CCSM3 Simulation with terrestrial snow cover suppressed



Vavrus, 2007 Climate Dynamics

Role of Snow Cover in Extreme Cold-Air Outbreaks (CAOs)

CCSM3 Simulation with terrestrial snow cover suppressed



Vavrus, 2007 Climate Dynamics

Role of Soil Moisture in Extreme Heat Wave: Europe 2003



Fischer et al. J. Climate 2007

Role of Soil Moisture in Extreme Heat Wave: Europe 2003



Surface Temperature Anomalies





Fischer et al. J. Climate 2007

- 1. We can identify the typical LSMPs associated with extreme weather, which provides useful knowledge for diagnosing the events.
- Half full if only a few patterns are associated with particular types of extreme events
- Half full if we can understand the causes of the overriding LSMPs (otherwise half empty)

- 1. We can identify the typical LSMPs associated with extreme weather, which provides useful knowledge for diagnosing the events.
- Half full if only a few patterns are associated with particular types of extreme events
- Half full if we can understand the causes of the overriding LSMPs (otherwise half empty)
- 2. Climate models can reasonably simulate these LSMPs in the current climate and suggest that patterns won't fundamentally change in the future.
- Half full on both counts: models elucidate physical processes and may promote understanding of future extremes through a better understanding of present-day events

- 1. We can identify the typical LSMPs associated with extreme weather, which provides useful knowledge for diagnosing the events.
- Half full if only a few patterns are associated with particular types of extreme events
- Half full if we can understand the causes of the overriding LSMPs (otherwise half empty)

2. Climate models can reasonably simulate these LSMPs in the current climate and suggest that patterns won't fundamentally change in the future.

 Half full on both counts: models elucidate physical processes and may promote understanding of future extremes through a better understanding of present-day events

3. Mean states and extreme events are related, so explaining causes of extreme weather may be facilitated by better understanding of means and modes of variability.

- Half full to the extent that this is true (e.g., negative AO winters promoting colder mean states and CAOs)
- Half empty, in that short-lived but high-impact extreme events and circulation anomalies are sometimes masked in monthly or seasonal averages

- 1. We can identify the typical LSMPs associated with extreme weather, which provides useful knowledge for diagnosing the events.
- Half full if only a few patterns are associated with particular types of extreme events
- Half full if we can understand the causes of the overriding LSMPs (otherwise half empty)

2. Climate models can reasonably simulate these LSMPs in the current climate and suggest that patterns won't fundamentally change in the future.

 Half full on both counts: models elucidate physical processes and may promote understanding of future extremes through a better understanding of present-day events

3. Mean states and extreme events are related, so explaining causes of extreme weather may be facilitated by better understanding of means and modes of variability.

- Half full to the extent that this is true (e.g., negative AO winters promoting colder mean states and CAOs)
- Half empty, in that short-lived but high-impact extreme events and circulation anomalies are sometimes masked in monthly or seasonal averages

4. Other (non-dynamical) factors, such as local snow cover and soil moisture anomalies can also shape weather extremes.

• Half empty, except that LSMPs influence the formation and persistence of these surfacebased anomalies and can in turn be significantly affected by them

March 2013 SLP Anomaly

March AO Correlations with SLP



March AO Correlations with SLP

March 2013 SLP Anomaly

Mar 1950 to 2012; Surface Sea Level Pressure Seasanal Correlation w/ Mar AO NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis



March 2013 Sfc Wind Anomaly



-2

-10

Surface Vector Wind (m/s) Composite Anamaly (1981-2010 Climatology) 3/1/13 to 3/23/13 NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis



Sea Level Pressure (mb) Composite Anomaly (1981–2010 Climatology) 3/1/13 to 3/23/13 NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis

U. S. Climate Extremes Index

Summer Daily Maximum Temperature (Continental U. S.)



Role of Snow Cover in Extreme Cold-Air Outbreaks (CAOs)

CCSM3 Simulation with terrestrial snow cover suppressed



Annual Average

Vavrus, 2007 Climate Dynamics

Synoptic Evolution of Extreme Cold-Air Outbreaks (CAOs)

East Coast CAOs



FIG. 7. SLP anomaly composites for (a) 10 days, (b) 6 days, (c) 2 days, and (d) 0 days prior to the dates of the 10 cold events in the Midwest (MW) region. Numbers below color bar denote the lower limit of each 2-mb range of magnitudes. Unshaded areas have magnitudes smaller than 2 mb.

Midwestern CAOs

Walsh et al. J Climate (2001)