Linking More Prevalent Summer Greenland Blocking to **Retreating Spring North American Snow Cover √**= Verisk[™] **Extent Under Arctic Amplification**

Jonathon Preece^{1*} Thomas Mote¹, Judah Cohen², Lori Wachowicz¹, John Knox¹, Marco Tedesco³, Gabriel Kooperman¹

¹Department of Geography, University of Georgia;

²Verisk Atmospheric and Environmental Research; ³Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University

*Contact: jonathon.preece@uga.edu

UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA

Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY | EARTH INSTITUTE

1. Motivation

- Summer atmospheric circulation over Greenland has been characterized by a more negative North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and an increase in Greenland blocking episodes¹⁻³.
- These atmospheric conditions have been the primary cause of accelerating Greenland Ice Sheet (GrIS) surface runoff^{1,2,4,5}.

5. Prescribed GCM Experiment



• The rapid retreat of spring snow cover extent (SCE) under Arctic Amplification is cited as a possible reason for this change in atmospheric circulation⁶.

2. Snow Cover & **Greenland Blocking**



circulation (ERA5)⁷ over Greenland and antecedent North American SCE area (Rutgers GSL)⁸,

- N. American snow cover set to zero on May 1st on each of ten simulated years in CESM2.
 - Modeled response closely resembles historical results; however stationary wave response occurs one month earlier in June.

Summary

Depleted soil moisture in years of low spring snow cover enhances surface heating over eastern North America, forcing a stationary Rossby wave that favors ridging over Greenland and widespread melt of the GrIS.



 Low spring N. American SCE is followed by more frequent Greenland blocking in July.

3. The Snow Hydrological Effect

- May SCE is linked to July surface conditions via soil moisture.
- Dry soils in eastern N. America cause enhanced surface heating with a warm anomaly that emerges in June and persists into 2 m Air Temperature July.

Volumetric Soil Water

July



CESM2¹⁰ simulated anomalous 300 hPa geopotential height (shading) and associated 3D wave activity flux⁹ (vectors)from May through July in response to prescribed zero May N. American snow cover.

4. Stationary Wave Forcing



 Anomalous surface thermal forcing generates a stationary Rossby wave response that favors ridging

Soil moisture (left) and surface air temperature (right) during June (top) and July (bottom) regressed against inverted May N. American SCE area. Stippling indicates significance (a=0.05). Surfacé variables fróm ERA5 reanalysis⁷. SCE area from Rutgers GSL⁸.



Early depletion

or soil moisture

May



Top: July 300 hPa geopotential height⁷ (contours) regressed against inverted N. American SCE area⁸. Vertical (shading) and horizontal فر (vectors) components of associated 3D wave activity flux⁹. Stippling, flux divergence; hatching, convergence. Bottom: 40-60° N eddy geopotential height anomaly. Westward tilt shows

baroclinic origin of stationary wave.

See our paper in *Nature* Communications for full results: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-39466-6

References: 1. Bevis, M. et al. PNAS 116, 1934–1939 (2019). 2. Fettweis, X. et al. The Cryosphere 7, 241–248 (2013). 3. Hanna, E. et al. International Journal of Climatology 38, 3546-3564 (2018). 4. Hofer, S., Tedstone, A. J., Fettweis, X. & Bamber, J. L. Science Advances 3, e1700584 (2017). 5. The IMBIE Team. Nature 579, 233–239 (2020). 6. Overland, J. E., Francis, J. A., Hanna, E. & Wang, M. Geophysical Research Letters 39, L19804 (2012). 7. Hersbach, H. et al. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 146, 1999–2049 (2020). 8. Robinson et al. (2014) doi:10.7289/ V5N014G9. 9. Takaya, K. & Nakamura, H. J. Atmos. Sci. 58, 608–627 (2001). 10. Danabasoglu, G. et al. (2020) J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst. 12, e2019MS001916 (2020).

This work is funded under NSF OPP-1900324, NSF PLR-1901352 NSF OPP-2115072 NSF OPP-1713072 NSF OPP-1901603 **Heising-Simons Foundation**

HSFOUND 2019-1160