Septembre 9, 2024

## Toward a new parameterization of ocean-atmosphere interactions based on a machine learning approach

Ocean-atmosphere coupling

Nicolas Ernout, Lionel Renault, Ehouarn Simon, Rachid Benshila Sixin Zhang







1.

## CONTEXT

Ocean-Atmosphere coupling



#### Atmospheric and oceanic models



To model accurately some processes:

- High resolution
- Coupling

Ideas for using IA:

- Stochastically predicting processes
- Improve parameterization at interfaces



#### Two main ocean-atmosphere interactions:

- Current feedback (CFB)
- Thermal feedback (TFB)

### **Current feedback**

Mechanic loop between surface current and overlying wind

Part of eddy kinetic energy (EKE) is transferred from ocean to atmosphere (to 30% at mesoscale)





#### To apply atmosphere fluxes to ocean models:

- Simulation coupled to an atmospheric model
- Forced model, no feedback loop
- Forced model, with a parameterization of the CFB

#### Alternative approach:

 Forced model with a neural network that reproduces the effects of atmosphere-ocean coupling



#### To apply atmosphere fluxes to ocean models:

- Simulation coupled to an atmospheric model
- Forced model, no feedback loop
- Forced model, with a parameterization of the CFB

#### Alternative approach:

• Forced model with a neural network that reproduces the effects of atmosphere-ocean coupling



#### To apply atmosphere fluxes to ocean models:

- Simulation coupled to an atmospheric model
- Forced model, no feedback loop
- Forced model, with a parameterization of the CFB

#### Alternative approach:

 Forced model with a neural network that reproduces the effects of atmosphere-ocean coupling





Goal  $\Rightarrow$  Parametrize the mesoscale ocean-atmosphere coupling effects in forced model

Target  $\Rightarrow$  Mesoscale surface stress (no consideration of heat flux)

Consideration  $\Rightarrow$  Large scale atmospheric data and all oceanic data

Methodology:

- Define the data for the NN research
- Find a NN that can reproduce the mesoscale surface stress
- Verify that the founded NN reproduces the coupling effects



Input: u, v, u', v',  $\overline{T_u}$ ,  $\overline{T_v}$ ,  $\overline{Wp}$ ,  $\overline{u_{10}}$ ,  $\overline{v_{10}}$ , sst, sst',  $\overline{pblh}$ Output:  $T_u'$ ,  $T_v'$ 

#### 2 learning areas:

- Kuroshio
- Agulhas Current
- 1 validation area: Gulf Stream

### 2 application areas:

- California coast
- Chile coast



Data :

- Coupled simulation (1/4° (~25 km), PULSATION project)
- Tropical realistic (45° N to 45°S)
- Daily output for 5 years
- Spatial filter: Gaussian filter (size:  $4 \rightarrow 1^{\circ}$  (~100km))

#### Analysis :

- Correlation :
  - Surface currents and their anomalies
  - Magnitude of filtered wind and filtered wind
  - Filtered wind and filtered surface stress
  - Filtered pblh and magnitude of filtered wind
- No correlation: input and output
- Output: concentrated around zero





2.

## RESULTS

Practical application



0.10

Referenc

0.10

0.05

0.00

-0.05

-0.10

-0.15 -0.10 -0.05 0.00 0.05

- $\rightarrow$  Rapid convergence
- $\rightarrow$  Snapshot ok, but can do better
- $\rightarrow$  Average, correct pattern with bias
- $\rightarrow$  Similar variability
- $\rightarrow$  Distribution follows identity line well

 $\Rightarrow$  Encouraging results













66°W 65°W 64°W 63°W 62°W 61°W 60°W

Many models tested (~ 150 models)

Best MSE on Gulf Stream

 $\Rightarrow$  1,619.10<sup>-4</sup> with the following CNN :

• 256-1, 128-3, 64-5, 32-9



Using CNN instead of a classic NN greatly improves the results (if not overfitting)

No logic to find the right model :

⇒ Improvement and selection requires the continuation of an empirical approach in the choice of hyperparameters



California coast

**Gulf Stream** 

S<sub>+</sub>: Surface current curl and surface stress curl

Data : averaged over 29 days

Model: 2D: 256-1, 128-3, 64-5, 32-9

Results : Predicted slopes close to the coupled simulation

S<sub>-</sub>: Surface current curl and surface stress curl

Data : averaged over 29 days

Model: 2D: 256-1, 128-3, 64-5, 32-9

Results: Prediction close to the coupled simulation

Differences: Predicted underestimated for AAC and EBUS



S<sub>str</sub>: SST and surface stress magnitude

Data: averaged over 29 days

Model: 2D: 256-1, 128-3, 64-5, 32-9

Results: Prediction close to the coupled simulation





3.

## CONCLUSION

Continuations and perspectives

## Conclusion

- Using neural network for ocean-atmosphere coupling works well !!!
- CFB and **TFB** parametrization
- But lack fidelity to EBUS and ACC  $\Rightarrow$  Add new learning areas

- Integration of turbulent heat flux to account for all ocean-atmosphere exchanges
- Possibilities for improvement (data augmentation, skip connections, PINNs, and temporal considerations, ...)
- Offline results only at the moment  $\Rightarrow$  Integration of a NN into an ocean simulation

# Thank you for your attention