Revisiting the reanalysis-model discrepancy in Southern Hemisphere winter storm track trends

Joonsuk M. Kang¹, Tiffany A. Shaw^{1,2}, Sarah M. Kang², Isla R. Simpson³, and Yue Yu⁴

¹The University of Chicago ²Max Planck Institute of Meteorology ³Climate and Global Dynamics Laboratory, NCAR ⁴State Key Laboratory

<sciencephoto.com>

SH winter storm track is strengthening, and reanalysis trend seems to fall outside the model distribution



SH winter storm track is strengthening, and reanalysis trend seems to fall outside the model distribution



Many factors can contribute to observationmodel trend discrepancy



Shaw et al. (2024)

Reanalyses SH storm track trends can have large uncertainty



Martineau et al. (2024)

Uncertainty in reanalysis is large



Reanalysis-model trend discrepancy is evaluated using average reanalysis rank



Reanalysis-model trend discrepancy is evaluated using average reanalysis rank



Rank metric suggests very likely discrepancy for CMIP6, but unlikely for AMIP6



Reanalyses and models have different frequency, spatial grids, and ensemble members



Shaw et al. (2024)

Like-for-like comparison suggests reanalysis-AMIP6 storm track trend discrepancy is unlikely



Reanalysis-CMIP6 trend discrepancy is still likely after and like-for-like comparison



Shaw et al. (2024)

CMIP6 models do not capture the trend in the South Pacific



-2.4

-3.0

CMIP6 and AMIP6 difference suggests SST trend discrepancies influence SH storm track trends



CMIP6 and AMIP6 difference suggests SST trend discrepancies influence SH storm track trends



We use CESM2 large ensemble and pacemaker simulations to test the hypothesis



Shaw et al. (2024)

Internal variability is not likely responsible for the coupled model trend discrepancy



CESM2-LE 50 members coupled

GOGA 10 members prescribed SST

Internal variability is not likely responsible for the coupled model trend discrepancy



SST trend discrepancy can be connected to storminess trend through different mechanisms



Southern Ocean pacemakers remove the SST trend discrepancy, and can be used to test the hypothesis



See also Wills et al. (2022), Seager et al. (2022), Lee et al. (2022)

By pacemaking Southern Ocean, reanalysis-coupled model discrepancy is unlikely in the zonal mean



Improving surface energy flux trends enhances storminess trends when Southern Ocean is pacemaked



Improving surface energy flux trends enhances storminess trends when Southern Ocean is pacemaked



Even after pacemaking Southern Ocean, discrepancy is still likely over South Pacific



Pacific pacemakers remove tropical SST trend discrepancy in the tropical Pacific and can be used to test the hypothesis





By pacemaking tropical Pacific, reanalysis-coupled model discrepancy is unlikely in the South Pacific



Capturing La Nina-like teleconnection trends enhances the storminess trends when tropical Pacific is pacemaked



See also Seager et al. (2003), Nakamura et al. (2004), Ashok et al. (2007)

Capturing La Nina-like teleconnection trends enhances the storminess trends when tropical Pacific is pacemaked



Together, pacemakers rectify the SST trend discrepancy in the coupled simulations



When coupled models capture SST trends, storminess trend discrepancy is unlikely



When coupled models capture SST trends, storminess trend discrepancy is unlikely



Take-Away messages

- After accounting for observational uncertainty, model ensemble size, and like-for-like comparison, the storminess trend discrepancy between reanalyses and prescribed SST models are unlikely.
- Pacemaker experiments confirms that SST trend discrepancies in the Southern Ocean and tropical Pacific are connected to storm track trend discrepancy in the coupled models.

Reanalyses and models have different frequency, spatial grids, and ensemble members

Reanalyses	CMIP6 Models
6 hourly instantaneous	Daily-mean
~37 pressure levels	8 pressure levels
~0.5°×0.5° horizontal grid	>1.5°×1.5° horizontal grid
1 realization	Multiple models and realizations

Reanalyses and models have different frequency, spatial grids, and ensemble members

Reanalyses	CMIP6 Models
Daily-mean	Daily-mean
8 pressure levels	8 pressure levels
1.5°×1.5° horizontal grid	1.5°×1.5° horizontal grid
1 realization	26 CMIP6, 32 AMIP6 models and realizations

Coupled model SST trends are discrepant across Tropical Pacific and Southern Ocean



See also Wills et al. (2022), Seager et al. (2022), Lee et al. (2022)

Coupled model SST trends are discrepant across Tropical Pacific and Southern Ocean



See also Wills et al. (2022), Seager et al. (2022), Lee et al. (2022)

Pacific Pacemaker EKE trends



Pacific Pacemaker EKE trends



GOGA and SUM EKE trends



SST nudging area



By pacemaking tropical Pacific, reanalysis-coupled model discrepancy is unlikely in the South Pacific

Capturing La Nina-like teleconnection trends in reanalysis enhances the South Pacific storminess



Seager et al. (2003), Nakamura et al. (2004), Ashok et al. (2007)