## h-NUMO

# A multi-layer shallow water equation model with high-order Discontinuous Galerkin method



Michal A. Kopera Department of Mathematics Yao Gahounzo Ph.D. in Computing Program





Work done in collaboration with

- Eric Chassignet, COAPS
- Alan Wallcraft, COAPS
- Alexandra Bozec, COAPS
- Robert Higdon, Oregon State





## h-NUMO model

- Part of the NUMA family (F. Giraldo, NPS)
- Multi-layer shallow-water equations
- Discontinuous Galerkin (DG) method
- Quadrilateral unstructured mesh
- Arbitrarily high-order solution approximation
- Barotropic-baroclinic splitting (Higdon, 2015)



## **Element-based DG methods**

Nodal points (LGL)



j(x) basis functions - Lagrange
polynomials

Expand the solution in basis  $\psi_i(\mathbf{x})$ 

$$\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x},t) \approx \sum_{j=1}^{N_p} \mathbf{q}_j(t) \,\psi_j(\mathbf{x})$$

Conservative equation

2

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{q}) = S(\mathbf{q}) \quad \text{becomes}$$

$$\int_{\Omega_e} \psi_i \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} d\Omega_e + \int_{\Gamma_e} \psi_i \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{q}) d\Gamma_e - \int_{\Omega_e} \nabla \psi_i \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{q}) d\Omega_e = \int_{\Omega_e} \psi_i S(\mathbf{q}) d\Omega_e$$



#### Multi-layer SWE

- Density constant within the layer
- Layer velocity constant vertically



$$\frac{\partial \Delta p_k}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left( \Delta p_k \mathbf{u}_k \right) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial (\Delta p_k \mathbf{u}_k)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\Delta p_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k) = -\nabla H_k + g(p_k \nabla z_k - p_{k+1} \nabla z_{k+1}) - f \mathbf{k} \times (\Delta p_k \mathbf{u}_k)$$
$$H_k = g \int_{z_k}^{z_{k+1}} p dz$$

## **Barotropic-baroclinic splitting**

#### Higdon (2015)

z

We kept

- Equation and variable splitting
- Pressure treatment at element interfaces
- Baroclinic predictor-corrector time integration



х

 $x + \Delta x$ 

x

## **Barotropic-baroclinic splitting**

#### Higdon (2015)

#### We kept

- Equation and variable splitting
- Pressure treatment at element interfaces
- Baroclinic predictor-corrector time integration

We changed

- Nodal DG implementation
- 2 horizontal directions
- RK35 time integration of barotropic equations





Integrated kinetic energy

weighted by pressure thickness



HYCOM







HYCOM



h-NUMO



HYCOM



h-NUMO

## Parallel performance

Speed-up as a function of number of MPI ranks



#### **Parallel efficiency**



## Parallel performance

Time to solution as a function of number of MPI ranks **CPU-hours** 







## Unstructured grid elements shown without nodal points



Sea surface height at 20 years simulation time



HYCOM



h-NUMO



#### Average kinetic energy as a function of time as a function of resolution 40 40 35 order KE [cm<sup>2</sup>/s<sup>2</sup>]: last 5 years 0 22 05 25 05 55 30 4<sup>th</sup> order KE [cm<sup>2</sup>/s<sup>2</sup>] 12 12 unstructured 4th order 2<sup>nd</sup> order Ъ $-G A_{H} = 50, N = 2$ 10 $-\Box + A_{H} = 50, N = 4$ нусом $-\Box A_{\rm H} = 50, N = 6$ 5 $-\Box$ A<sub>H</sub> = 50, hycom Structured: # elts = 625 • $A_{H} = 50, N = 4$ , unstructured Unstructured: # elts = 400 15 0 10 15 20 25 30 0 5 0 5 10 20 15 Resolution $\Delta \mathbf{x}$ Time [years]

**Kinetic energy** 

## Conclusions

h-NUMO delivers the same result as HYCOM

- with smaller "resolution", i.e. fewer DOFs
- faster and with fewer CPU-hours (high-order)
- with better parallel efficiency
- Unstructured mesh helps, AMR possible
- Need to demonstrate efficiency on global mesh with coastlines



#### Parallel performance – 4 km resolution

Speed-up as a function of number of MPI ranks

#### Parallel efficiency





#### Parallel performance – 4km resolution

Time to solution as a function of number of MPI ranks **CPU-hours** 



