

 \circ

Gefördert vom Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) unter Förderkennzeichen 16ME0679K. Supported by the European Union - NextGenerationEU

- **● Climate models are comprised of two important components:**
	- dynamical core and subgrid models
	- Dynamical core:
		- Grid resolving features
	- Subgrid Model:
		- Parametrization of unresolved features ((Sub)Mesoscale Parametrization, Ice)

- **● Physically, the ocean complex dynamics allows for the energy to be transferred for different scales**
	- Then this subgrid parametrization will play a higher role

- **Climate models are comprised of two import**
	- dynamical core and subgrid models
	- Dynamical core:
		- **Grid resolving features**
	- Subgrid Model:
		- Parametrization of unresolved fea

- **● Physically, the ocean complex dynamics allows for the energy to be transferred for different scales**
	- Then this subgrid parametrization will play a higher role

- **● In order to improve accuracy of climate projections, finer mesh resolution is required**
	- This in turn greatly increases computational cost
- **● Machine Learning has now been rapidly increasing and being investigated and being used in many climate areas**
	- Parametrization (Previous talk Ernout N.)
	- PINN solve free surface (Previous talk Gorenstein I.)
	- **Super-resolution** (image, video processing)
		- This methodology uses Convolutional Neural, Generative Adversarial Network or Diffusion models
		- Super-resolution has been also successfully applied in climate science as image inpainting

- **In order to improve accuracy of climate projections, finer reports**
	- This in turn greatly increases computational cost
- **Machine Learning has now been rapidly increasing and being investigated and state climate areas**
	- Parametrization (Previous talk Ernout N.)
	- PINN solve free surface (Previous talk Gorenstein I.)
	- **Super-resolution** (image, video processing)
		- This methodology uses Convolutional Neural, Generative Adversarial Network or Diffusion models
		- Super-resolution has been also successfully applied in climate science as **image inpainting**

Ground Truth

Machine Learning Prediction

● Can we train a Machine Learning to help numerical models estimate a high resolution output from a low resolution output?

- **● Objective:**
	- Run a shallow water global simulation (in ICON-O) in a coarse mesh while also correcting it with a ML-model trained with high resolution data

- **● ICON-O:**
	- Dynamical Core:
		- Spherical Grid
		- Finite Volume (C-grid)
			- **Based on Admissible Reconstructions (minimizes noise in triangular grids)**
		- Triangular grid (icosahedral based)
		- Solves the invariant form equation

$$
\underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + g \nabla (b + \eta)}_{\text{Advection form}} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \omega \mathbf{v} + \nabla \frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2}{2} + g \nabla (b + \eta)}_{\text{Vector invariant form}} = 0
$$

- **● Initial condition (Galewsky et al. 2014):**
	- Geostrophic jet at the north hemisphere;
	- With an additional perturbation
	- Barotropic Instability
	- Maximum velocity 80 ms-1
		- Atmospheric initial condition, but the overall dynamics is present in the ocean

- **● Initial condition (Galewsky et al. 2014):**
	- The training data (velocity fields):
		- Pair of 2.5km and 20km (with 12 hour integrated comparison output)
			- **Velocity fields substituted HR -> LR**
		- 10 days integration with 12 hour output
		- Jet location core at $~1$ 2 N $~1$ /- 5
		- 8 different perturbation location
		- Total: 23 different conditions with 12 hour output=383 snapshots
	- Coupled Run (Traditional Barotropic Instability):
		- At each 12 hours, the LR output is delivered to the trained ML for correction.

● Neural Network:

- The grid is divided into patches and interpolated into a regular high-resolution grid with 10% overlap between each patch;
- Each patch is set inside a U-Net structure (without biases)

$$
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{abs}} + \gamma \mathcal{L}_{\text{rel}} \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{abs}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} \left(|\bar{u}_{i} - u'_{i}| \right)^{2} + \left(|\bar{v}_{i} - v'_{i}| \right)^{2} \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{rel}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} \left(\min \left[1, \frac{|\bar{u}_{i} - u'_{i}|}{u'_{i} + \epsilon} \right] + \min \left[1, \frac{|\bar{v}_{i} - v'_{i}|}{v'_{i} + \epsilon} \right] \right)
$$

$$
\min_{\theta} \mathcal{L} \left(\Theta(\mathbf{u}(t + \tau)), \mathbf{u'_{\text{hr}}}(t + \tau) \right)
$$

● Result (10day integration):

- Maximum Norm error
	- The coupled run initially loses accuracy in the early time
	- As the instability grows the accuracy is improved
	- The same is observed in the height field (which was not corrected by ML)
	- Overall we achieve 10km in our run (2 times fold)
	- As the optimal (blue line) result indicates, there's still room for improvement in the run

● Result (10day integration):

- Maximum Norm error
	- The coupled run initially loses accuracy in the early time
	- As the instability grows the accuracy is improved
	- The same is observed in the height field (which was not corrected by ML)
	- Overall we achieve 10km in our run (2 times fold)
	- As the optimal (blue line) result indicates, there's still room for improvement in the run

- **● Vorticity (instability day 7):**
	- The low resolution triggers the instability earlier due to unstructured grid error, show faster meanderings
	- The coupled run delays this instability with a lower meandering equivalent to the 10km run

● Energy conservation

- Our choice of assimilation with ML inputs energy into the system;
	- The growth does not seem constant as it decreases after day 6
	- The noise present in the vorticity is possibly being an added energy in the system
- Potential energy conservation is not violated with our methodology (given that the height is not changed)

● Spectral energy

- The energy spectrum is violated for lower wavenumbers than the other runs
	- The observed input of energy observed is mostly concerned in the smallest scales
	- It does not seem to leak into the largest scales of the grid
- The Enstrophy is not significantly improved, but it is not damaged by the assimilation
- Non-dissipative simulation
	- In a more real dissipative simulation, these noises may spuriously contribute to mixing

Gefördert vom Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) unter Förderkennzeichen 16ME0679K. Supported by the European Union - NextGenerationEU

● Summary

Summary

- Our ML methodology for shallow water show potential use for increasing the accuracy of fluid simulations
- \circ The use CNN (possibly due to its necessity of regular grids) provides spurious noise to the system
	- These can, however, be mitigated by low order pass filters
	- Use ML **Transformers Network**? (ongoing)
- The assimilation (of substituting variables) may also be improved by diminishing this noise and increase the accuracy (4Dnet, nudging, etc.)
- **○ Future Work:**
	- Investigate improvements in data-assimilation technique for the ML coupled runs (preliminary)
	- Investigate different frequencies of assimilation (ongoing)
	- Apply results in a real 3D ocean

SPONSORED BY THE **Funded by DKRZ** Federal Ministry **TUHH** of Education the European Union and Research DEUTSCHES
KLIMARECHENZENTRUM NextGenerationEU

Gefördert vom Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) unter Förderkennzeichen 16ME0679K. Supported by the European Union - NextGenerationEU

● Summary

Summary

- Our ML methodology for shallow water show potential use for increasing the accuracy of fluid values for the accuracy of fluid values of flu simulations
- \circ The use CNN (possibly due 42.5
	- **■** These can, howeve 42.0
	- Use ML **Transform** ^{41.5}
- \degree The assimilation (of substi^{41.0} increase the accuracy $(4D_{40,0}^{100})$
- **○ Future Work:**
	- Investigate improvements in data-assimilation technique for the ML coupled runs (preliminary)
	- Investigate different frequencies of assimilation (ongoing)
	- Apply results in a real 3D ocean

43.0 2.0 km $0.5 km$ 2.0 km $\overline{}$ 3 Ω $\overline{}$ 3 $\overline{}$ ŋ