Ocean simulations with ClimaOcean.jl







Simone Silvestri and the Clima Ocean team COMMODORE, Boulder, September 12th, 2024





ClimaOcean.jl and Oceananigans.jl

Oceananigans.jl

- Finite volume engine
 - Grids
 - Fields
 - Operators

Utilities for numerical experiments

- OutputWriters
- Diagnostics
- Callbacks



- Domain-Specific numerics and physics
- Coriolis, Equation of State, Parameterizations...
- Pressure / free surface solvers...
- Time stepping schemes





ClimaOcean.jl

Package for ocean-sea-ice simulations

- Bathymetry interpolation
- Surface flux computation
- Ocean-specific Diagnostics





ClimaOcean / Oceananigans developers and advisors



Rewriting a new ocean model



Simulate physics from meterto global-scale

Support rapid prototyping of parameterizations

Easy to use for process studies



Possibility of high-resolution Necessary for global calibration

"A fast model can be a good model, but a good model must be a fast model! **Computational** efficiency is crucial...."

(https://www.gfdl.noaa.gov/fv3/)



Oceananigans: Easy to use and Accessible





Used in more that 20 scientific papers 10 from the MIT group

55+ contributors to the codebase

"...I have never ex done as easily as It not only has a s fast...".

Linux magazine

Ramadhan et al, JOSS, 2020



User interface:

- "...I have never experienced getting a useful calculation
- done as easily as I was able to do with Oceananigans.
- It not only has a sophisticated interface, but it is remarkably
- Programmatic vs namelist
- Designed so code "reads like a paper"

st s

Dynamical core algorithmic implementation 🚿





GPU Parallelization





 $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_h}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_h} = \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ ∂t $\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_h$ $\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = b$ $\partial \boldsymbol{U}_b$ **—**… ∂t $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{G_{\theta}}{G_{\theta}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \kappa_c \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}$ ∂S ∂

GPU execution model: expose parallelization!

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \kappa_u \frac{\partial u_{\mu}}{\partial z}$$



3D computation of tendencie

3D kernel: each thread holds a computational cell

Implicit vertical diffusion

2D kernel: each thread holds a computational column

W and P integral computation

2D kernel: each thread holds a computational column

2D barotropic solver

2D kernel: each thread holds a computational cell

S	
n	

Memory Leanness

advection of temperature





"classic" Fortran-style temp arrays on CPU are cheap

temporary array temporary array temporary array

Oceananigans GPU-friendly kernel fusion no memory allocation

```
tendency_T(i, j, k) = - div_uT(i, j, k) - div_vT(i, j, k) - div_wT(i, j, k)
```

i, j, k = @index(Global, NTuple) rhs_T[i, j, k] = tendency_T(i, j, k) end

we launch as few kernels as possible: only one for the tendency of each prognostic quantity

$$\frac{\partial vT}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial wT}{\partial z} + \dots$$



```
@kernel function calculate_tendency_T!(rhs_T)
```

 $1/4^{\circ}$ horizontal resolution 50 vertical levels 15 GB memory footprint



fits **easily** on I Nvidia VI00 GPU





Compute bound numerical schemes?



CLIMATE MODELING ALLIANCE

WENO reconstruction schemes



WENO schemes



scale vorticity

Grid

Centered schemes





$$\tilde{c}_{i+1/2} = \sum_{S} \omega^{S} \tilde{c}_{i+1/2}^{S}$$
$$\omega^{S} = f(c_{i-N} \dots c_{i+N})$$

 $c_{i+1/2}^{S} = linear reconstruction within stencil S...$

Why they are appealing:

- avoid explicit diffusion
- preserve gradients
- minimal diffusion with minimal noise?

Important for mesoscale resolving simulations?

What is the downside:

- low control on the dissipation
- diapycnal diffusivity?



Upper ocean mixing: WENO vs SGS





CLIMATE MODELING ALLIANCE

0-20 -40 -60 -80 -80 -80

- Coarse grid: 2 meters Fine grid: 0.5 meters
- Coarse grid: SGS
 Coarse grid: WENO9
 Fine grid: SGS
 Fine grid: WENO9





WENO reconstruction schemes







 10^{0}

Multi-GPU parallel implementation

Hide GPU-GPU communication! Easy for 3D baroclinic variables

Take advantage of memory leanness for the 2D barotropic solver

CIMATE MODELING ALLIANCE



 $1/4^{\text{th}}$ resolution, 4 GPUs





Scaling performance (dynamical core)



Possible bottlenecks to optimize in ClimaOcean

- Asynchronous I / O : passing memory between GPU and CPU is heavy!
- Surface fluxes computation
- Sea ice?

CIMATE MODELING ALLIANCE





I/48° horizontal resolution + 100 vertical levels ~ 2x10¹⁰ points Run on 32 Nvidia A100 GPUs



Mesoscale resolving ocean simulations



Near-global ocean simulation at 1/12° with 100 vertical levels on **2 GPU nodes** (~ 1.5 SYPD) - 8 GPUs



Testbed for performance and stability

- Surface Forcing:
 - Prescribed fluxes
 - Restoring (T, S)
- 20 years run
- Semi-idealized



Kinetic energy in the model



CIMATE MODELING ALLIANCE

Diapycnal mixing in re-entrant channel



CIMATE MODELING ALLIANCE

Hill et al. 2012, Ocean Modelling

Dissipation due to diffusive flux $P_x^n = \mathcal{D}_x \delta_x (T^{n+1} - T^n)$ Dissipation due to advective flux $P_{\chi}^{n} = \mathcal{A}_{\chi} \delta_{\chi} (T^{n+1} - T^{n}) - U \delta_{\chi} (T^{n+1} T^{n})$

Allows calculating pointwise dissipation caused by implicit numerical schemes.

Configuration:

- Restoring at the north
- Differential heating and cooling
- Parabolic zonal wind stress
- No background diffusivity





Diapycnal mixing in re-entrant channel



v-vel 0.0





Case 2

- Momentum:
 - 2nd Order
- Horizontal Tracer:
 - WENO 9th order

Case 3

- Momentum:
 - WENO (vector invariant)
- Horizontal Tracer:
 - WENO 9th order



Case 3

0.5















Moving forward?

Surface temperature ($^{\circ}\mathrm{C})$

- CliMA is writing a new ocean model called ClimaOcean
- We are leveraging modern programming languages and architectures
- Targeting high-resolution eddying configuration







Summary

Thank you!







CLIMATE MODELING ALLIANCE

Evolution over 20 years

Diapycnal mixing in re-entrant channel







$$\begin{split} \kappa_{d} &= -\frac{\langle P_{d} \rangle}{2 \langle \partial_{d} T^{2} \rangle} \qquad d = x, y, z \\ \kappa_{i} &= -\frac{\langle P_{x} \rangle + \langle P_{y} \rangle + \langle P_{z} \rangle}{2 \langle \partial_{z} T^{2} \rangle} \end{split}$$

<u>Conclusion</u>

- We need high-order schemes in the horizontal
- Vertical advection plays little role in diapycnal mixing
- Improving the momentum scheme affects spurious mixing very little

Points of concern

- Top boundary?
- Bottom region