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Estimating (limits on) Predictability

• What are the limits of predictability in the observable system – the glass ceiling?
• Is this an open ended or even a well posed question?
• How our efforts in improving models and observing system improving predictability estimates?
Why one cares?

• Managing expectations
  – Users
  – Managers

• Allocating limited resources
  – Challenges in improving models to harvest known predictability
  – Investigations in sources of predictability
  – Optimizing modeling framework (ensemble size; hindcast period; resolution;...) to extract maximum amount of predictability
Science Based Limitations on Estimating/Realizing Predictability and Prediction Skill

- Establishing indications of predictability based on known teleconnections to modes of climate variability
  - ENSO SSTs
  - MJO
  - Soil moisture (hot spots)
  - Snow & sea-ice
  - Stratosphere – troposphere connections

- Either the modes of climate variability cannot be well simulated; or well predicted; or issues with replicating teleconnections

- Model development challenge
Science Based Limitations on Estimating/Realizing Predictability and Prediction Skill

• Possible issues
  – Cross-validation in the estimate of prediction skill
  – Differentiating between impact vs. consistency → A false sense of predictability
Noise Based Limitations on Estimating Predictability and Prediction Skill

• Deterministic chaos due to errors in the specification of initial condition
• Uncertainty is a fundamental feature; it can never be removed but could be better quantified
• Highly controversial issue
  – Should this even be tried?
  – Potential predictability assessments leading to widely different estimates

- It is not possible to quantify a true limit of predictability for the climate system.
- Quantitative statements can be made regarding the lower bounds of predictability, as derived by the performance of existing forecast systems. If a forecast system shows quantitative skill according to some metric, then at least that much predictability must exist in nature.
The Basic Question of Estimating Predictability

• Observed variance and its decomposition into predictable and noise component
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Methods for Estimating Predictability: Observational

- Methods based on daily time-series
  - Underlying assumptions (but could be verified)
- Analog approach (limited by data)
- Predictor – Predictand relationships
  - Generally linear approaches
    - Regressions
    - CCA
- Simple; Unbiased, but non-linearity is hard to incorporate
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Methods of Estimating Predictability: Models

- Ensemble can be used to estimate signal and uncertainty (spread)
- Not limited by linear assumptions
- Resource intensive
- Estimates are influenced by model biases
- Simulations from multiple models can be used to improve estimates of predictability
Estimates of Potential Predictability differ widely
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Model 2
Multi-model Estimate of Predictability

\[ RMSE_a = \langle (F_{ej} - O_j)^2 \rangle \]

\[ RMSE_a = \sigma_i^2 + \langle (\mu_{ej} - \mu_{oj})^2 \rangle \]

- Positive definite
- Minimum value is the noise in observations
- Pick up the minimum value from estimates based on different models
Multi-model Estimate of Predictability
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Can we really not estimate predictability?

- Progression of predictability estimates
  - Linear regression with Nino 3.4 (observations)
  - Estimates based on daily data (observations)
  - Ensemble mean (single model)
  - Ensemble mean (multiple models)

- Various estimates are in same ball park
- Similar to Taylor’s expansion...for a convergent series, higher order terms contribute less and less
- What above means is a matter of interpretation
Linear: Variance Accounted by Nino3.4

Ensemble Based
Dangers of Estimating Potential Predictability (PP) Based on Models

- PP estimates differ widely from one model to another
- If model is a perfect rendition of observational variability, then [actual skill = perfect prog skill]
- If model has biases then there are no constraints which what PP AC can go. Perfect prog AC skill can be lower or higher than actual skill
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Some Other Comments

• Is the question of estimating predictability even a well posed question?
  – There is an upper limit
  – NRC report statement

• There is a difference between *understanding* and its usefulness for *prediction*...Understanding can as well be about what is not predictable

• Recognizing that there is a considerable low-frequency variability; predictability estimates could easily vary from one epoch to another
Recommendations

• Develop a time history for the estimates of predictability (and prediction skill) to chart progress. Repeat the exercise after every \(n\) years.

• Estimates should follow an open and agreed upon procedures.

• US CLIVAR could develop a summary paper of various methodologies for estimating predictability, including their pros and cons.